MCCABE, ANDREW G. (DO) (FBI)

 From:
 MCCABE, ANDREW G. (DO) (FBI)

 Sent:
 Wednesday, May 11, 2016 1:51 PM

To: PRIESTAP, E W. (CD) (FBI)

Co: COMEY, JAMES B. (DO) (FBI)

Subject: RE: Midyear Exam --- UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Thanks very much Bill. Great feedback.

Andrew G. McCabe
Deputy Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Work:
Mobile

From: PRIESTAP, E W. (CD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 7:17 PM
To: MCCABE, ANDREW G. (DO) (FBI)

Subject: RE: Midyear Exam --- UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Sir:

I will be out of town next week, and so wanted to provide you my initial thoughts.

- The piece is superb.
- If anything, the Director may wish to add a sentence or two in his conclusion about the FBI's obligations
 more generally. In other words, something not specifically about this case, but about what the FBI aims
 to do in all of our cases. He could do this after the first sentence in his last paragraph. For example,
 something like:

"The American people entrust the FBI with impartially investigating all matters of crime involving people from all walks of life. We take that obligation extremely seriously, and "I can assure you that this investigation was done competently, honestly, and independently. No outside influence of any kind was brought to bear. I know there were many opinions expressed by people who were not part of the investigation — including people in government — but none of that mattered to us. Opinions are irrelevant, and they were all uninformed by insight into our investigation, because we did the investigation in a professional way. Only facts matter, and the FBI found them here in an entirely apolitical and professional way. I couldn't be prouder to be part of this organization.

I suggest this only to emphasize the point that this investigation was conducted in a manner consistent

with the fairness aims of all of our investigations, (i.e., no one is above the law, etc.).

- The piece contains information that indicates the Secretary did not meet federal record requirements. Before deciding on the final wording of such information, the Director may wish to have someone study the impact his statements could have on any/all administrative inquiries relative to federal record obligations. I suggest this only so he's aware of any potential fallout in that regard. I assume such administrative inquiries aren't really a big deal, but if I was him I'd just want to be certain before deciding on the final wording.
- I saw two typos on page 4, which I highlighted in red and yellow.

Thank you for sharing the below with me.

From: MCCABE, ANDREW G. (DO) (FBI) Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 5:32 PM

To: PRIESTAP, E W. (CD) (FBI); STRZOK, PETER P. (CD) (FBI); MOFFA, JONATHAN C. (CD) (FBI)

(OGC)

(FBI)

Subject: FW: Midyear Exam --- UNCLASSIFIED

Importance: High

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Folks:

The Director composed the below straw man in an effort to compose what a "final" statement might look like in the context of a press conference. This was really more of an exercise for him to get his thoughts on the matter in order, and not any kind of decision about venue, strategy, product, etc.

The Director asked me to share this with you four, <u>but not any further</u>. The only additional people who have seen this draft are Jim Rybicki and Jim Baker. Please do not disseminate or discuss any further.

I do not know if the boss will want to discuss this at the Monday update but please review it before the meeting just in case.

Thanks

Mobile:

Andrew G. McCabe
Deputy Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Work:

From: COMEY, JAMES B. (DO) (FBI) Sent: Monday, May 02, 2016 7:15 PM

To: MCCABE, ANDREW G. (DO) (FBI); BAKER, JAMES A. (OGC) (FBI); RYBICKI, JAMES E. (DO) (FBI)

Cc: COMEY, JAMES B. (DO) (FBI)

Subject: Midyear Exam --- UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

TRANSITORY RECORD

RYBICKI, JAMES E. (DO) (FBI)

From: RYBICKI, JAMES E. (DO) (FBI)

Sent: Friday, June 10, 2016 1:14 PM

To: COMEY, JAMES B. (DO) (FBI)

Subject: MYE --- UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

Attachments: MYE thoughts 06-10-16.docx

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

DELIBERATIVE PROCESS PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

I've been trying to imagine what it would look like if I decided to do an FBI only press event to close out our work and hand the matter to DOJ. To help shape our discussions of whether that, or something different, makes sense, I have spent some time crafting what I would say, which follows. In my imagination, I don't see me taking any questions. Here is what it might look like:

Good afternoon folks. I am here to give you an update on our investigation of Secretary Clinton's use of a private email system, which began in late Augustmid-July.

After a tremendous amount of work, the FBI has completed its investigation and has referred the case to the Department of Justice for a prosecutive decision. What I would like to do today is tell you three things: (1) what we did; (2) what we found; (3) what we have recommended to DOJ.

But I want to start by thanking the many agents, analysts, technologists, and other FBI employees who did work of extraordinary quality in this case. Once you have a better sense of how much we have done, you will understand why I am so grateful and proud of their efforts.

So, first: what we have done over the last eight months.

The investigation began as a referral from the Intelligence Community Inspector General in connection with Secretary Clinton's use of a private email server during her time as Secretary of State, focused on whether classified information was transmitted on that private system.

Our investigation focused on whether there is evidence that classified information was improperly stored or transmitted on that private system, in violation of a federal statute that makes it a felony to mishandle classified information either intentionally or in a grossly negligent way, or a second statute that makes it a misdemeanor to knowingly remove classified information from appropriate systems or storage facilities.

Consistent with our counterintelligence responsibilities, we have also investigated to determine whether there is evidence of computer intrusion in connection with

Commented [p1]: Consider whether it is more appropriate to call this a "personal" or "privately-owned" email server. "Private" may denote a commercial email service, such as gmail or hotmail. Use of these private commercial services was widespread at State before and during her tenure.

the private email server by any foreign power, or hackers on behalf of a foreign power.

I have so far used the singular term, "email server," in describing the referral that began our investigation. It turns out to have been more complicated than that. Secretary Clinton used several different servers and providers administrators of those servers during her four years at the State Department, and used numerous mobile devices to view and send email on that private domain. As new servers and equipment s and providers were employed, older servers were taken out of service, stored, and decommissioned in various ways. Piecing all of that back together to gain as full an understanding as possible of the ways in which private email was used for government work has been a painstaking undertaking, requiring thousands of hours of effort.

For example, when one of Secretary Clinton's original private servers was decommissioned in $20\underline{13}$ **, the email software was removed. Doing that didn't remove the email content, but it was like removing the frame from a huge finished jigsaw puzzle and dumping the pieces on the floor. The effect was that millions of email fragments end up unsorted in the server's un-used – or "slack" – space. We searched went through all of it to see what was there, and what parts of the puzzle could be put back together.

FBI investigators have also read all 34,000 of the approximately 30,000 emails provided by Secretary Clinton to the State Department in spring 2015 December 2014. Where an email was assessed as possibly containing classified information, the FBI referred the email to the any U.S. government agency that was the a likely "owner" of the information in the email so that agency could make a determination as to whether the email contained classified information at the time it was sent or received, or whether there was reason to classify the email now, even if its content was not classified at the time it was sent (this is the process sometimes referred to as "up classifying").

From that group of 34,00030,000 emails that had been returned to the State Department in <u>late 20145</u>, the FBI sent xxxx emails to agencies for classification determinations. Of those, xxxx have been determined by the owning agency to contain classified information at the time they were sent or received. Xxxx of those contained information that was Top Secret at the time they were sent; xxxx contained Secret information at the time; and xxxx contained Confidential information. Separate from those, a total of xxxx additional emails were "up

Commented [p2]:

Commented [p3]: Some emails were sent to multiple agencies.

Commented [p4]: Ultimately, the number of emails determined to be classified will be a small percentage of those sent for review. We think this point will need to be addressed by explaining that we took an overly expansive and generous position in deciding what to send out for review.

classified" to make them Secret or Confidential; the information in those had not been classified at the time the emails were sent.

The FBI also discovered ***xxx**-several thousand work-related emails that were not in the group of \$4,000\(\frac{30,000}{000}\) that were returned by Secretary Clinton to State in 201\(\frac{45}{5}\). We found those additional emails in a variety of ways. Some had been deleted over the years and we found traces of them on devices that supported or were connected to the private email domain. Others we found by reviewing the archived government email accounts of people who had been government employees at the same time as Secretary Clinton, including high-ranking officials at other agencies, with whom a Secretary of State might naturally correspond. This helped us recover work-related emails that were not among the \$\frac{34,000\(\frac{30,000}{30,000}\)}{1000} produced to State. Still others we recovered from the laborious review of the millions of email fragments dumped into the slack space of the server decommissioned in \$2013**x.

All told, we found *** thousands of emails that were not among those produced to the State Department last yearin late 2014. Of those, we assessed that *** possibly contained classified information at the time they were sent or received and so we sent them to other government agencies for classification determinations. To date, agencies have concluded that *** xxx* of those were classified at the time they were sent or received, *** xxx* at the Secret level and *** xxx* at the Confidential level. There were no additional Top Secret emails found. Finally, *** xxx* none of those we found have since been "up classified."

I should add here that we found no evidence that any of the additional work-related emails we found were intentionally deleted in an effort to conceal them. Our assessment is that, like many users of private email accounts, Secretary Clinton periodically deleted emails or emails were purged from the system when devices were changed. Because she was not using a government account, there was no archiving of her emails, so it is not surprising that we discovered emails that were not on Secretary Clinton's system in 20154, when she produced the 34,00030,000 emails to the State Department.

It could also be that some of the additional work-related emails we recovered were among those deleted as "personal" by Secretary Clinton's lawyers when they reviewed and sorted her emails for production in 20145. We have conducted interviews and done technical examination to attempt to understand how that sorting was done. Although we do not have complete visibility because we are not

fully able to reconstruct the electronic record of that sorting, we believe our investigation has been sufficient to give us reasonable confidence there was no intentional misconduct in connection with that sorting effort.

The lawyers doing the sorting for Secretary Clinton in 20145 did not individually read the content of all of her tens of thousands of emails, as we did for those available to us; instead, they used search terms to try to find all work-related emails among the reportedly more than 60,000 total emails remaining on Secretary Clinton's private system in 20154. It is highly likely their search terms missed some work-related emails, and that we found them, for example, in the mailboxes of other officials or in the slack space of a server. It is also likely that there are other work-related emails that they did not produce to State and that we did not find elsewhere, and that are now gone because they deleted all emails they did not return to State, and the lawyers cleaned their devices in a such a way as to preclude forensic recovery.

And, of course, in additional to our technical work, we interviewed many people, from those involved in setting up and maintaining the various iterations of Secretary Clinton's private server to staff members with whom she corresponded on email, to those involved in the email production to State, and finally, Secretary Clinton herself.

Lastly, we have done extensive work with the assistance of our colleagues elsewhere in the Intelligence Community to understand what indications there might be of compromise by hostile actors in connection with the private email operation.

That's what we have done. Now let me tell you what we found.

Although we did not find clear evidence that Secretary Clinton or her colleagues intended to violate laws governing the handling of classified information, there is evidence that they were extremely careless in their handling of very sensitive, highly classified information. There is evidence to support a conclusion that Secretary Clinton, and others, used the private email server in a manner that was grossly negligent with respect to the handling of classified information. For example, seven email chains concern matters that were classified at the TS/SAP level when they were sent and received. These chains involved Secretary Clinton both sending emails about those matters and receiving emails from others about the same matters. There is evidence to support a conclusion that any reasonable

Formatted: Highlight

person in Secretary Clinton's position, or in the position of those government employees with whom she was corresponding about these matters, should have known that an unclassified system was no place for such an email conversation. Although we did not find clear evidence that Secretary Clinton or her colleagues intended to violate laws governing the handling of classified information, there is evidence that they were extremely eareless in their handling of very sensitive, highly classified information.

Similarly, In addition to this highly sensitive information, we also found the sheer volume of information that was properly classified as Secret by the U.S. Intelligence Community at the time it was discussed on email (that is, excluding the "up classified" emails). This is especially concerning because all of these emails were housed on servers not supported by full-time security staff, like those found at Departments and Agencies of the U.S. Government. supports an inference that the participants were grossly negligent in their handling of that information.

While not the focus of our investigation, we also developed evidence that the security culture of the State Department in general, and with respect to use of unclassified email systems in particular, was generally lacking in the kind of care for classified information found elsewhere in the government.

With respect to potential computer intrusion by hostile actors, we did not find direct evidence that Secretary Clinton's personal email system, in its various configurations since 2009, was successfully hacked. But, given the nature of the system and of the actors potentially involved, we assess that we would be unlikely to see such direct evidence. We do assess that hostile actors gained access to the private commercial email accounts of individuals with whom Secretary Clinton was in regular contact from her personal private account. We also assess that Secretary Clinton's use of a private personal email domain was both known by a large number of people and readily apparent. Given that combination of factors, we assess it is reasonably likely possible that hostile actors gained access to Secretary Clinton's private personal email account.

So that's what we found.

Finally, with respect to our recommendation to the Department of Justice. In our system, the prosecutors make the decisions about whether charges are appropriate based on evidence the FBI has helped collect. Although we don't

Commented [p5]:

normally make public our recommendations to the prosecutors, we frequently make recommendations and engage in productive conversations with prosecutors about what resolution may be appropriate, given the evidence. In this case, given the importance of the matter, I think unusual transparency is in order.

In looking back at our investigations in similar circumstances, we cannot find a case that would support bringing criminal charges on these facts. All the cases prosecuted involved some combination of: (1) clearly intentional mishandling of classified information; (2) vast quantities of materials exposed in such a way as to support an inference of intentional misconduct; (3) indications of disloyalty to the United States; or (4) efforts to obstruct justice. All charged cases of which we are aware have involved the accusation that a government employee intentionally mishandled classified information. We see none of that here.

Although there is evidence of potential violations of the statutes regarding the handling of classified information, my judgment is that no reasonable prosecutor would bring such a case. Prosecutors necessarily weigh a number of factors before bringing charges. There are obvious considerations, like the strength of the evidence. But they must be balanced against things like the intent and context of the person's actions. To be clear, this is not to suggest that in similar circumstances, an individual who engaged in this activity would face NO consequences. To the contrary, such individuals are often subject to security or administrative sanctions. But that decision is not what is before me now.

Although there is evidence of potential violations of the statute proscribing gross negligence in the handling of classified information and of the statute proscribing misdemeanor mishandling, my judgment is that no reasonable prosecutor would bring such a case. At the outset, we are not aware of a case where anyone has been charged solely based on the "gross negligence" prohibition in the statute. In looking back at our investigations in similar circumstances, we cannot find a case that would support bringing criminal charges on these facts. All the cases prosecuted involved some combination of: (1) clearly intentional misconduct; (2) vast quantities of materials exposed in such a way as to support an inference of intentional misconduct; (3) indications of disloyalty to the United States; or (4) efforts to obstruct justice. We see none of that here.

Accordingly, although the Department of Justice makes final decisions on matters such as this, I am completing the investigation by expressing to Justice my view that no charges are appropriate in this case.

Commented [p6]: We changed none of this text, we simply reordered it. The original text is below, struck-out.

Commented [p7]:

I know there will be intense public disagreement in the wake of this result, as there was throughout this investigation. What I can assure the American people is that this investigation was done competently, honestly, and independently. No outside influence of any kind was brought to bear. I know there were many opinions expressed by people who were not part of the investigation – including people in government – but none of that mattered to us. Opinions are irrelevant, and they were all uninformed by insight into our investigation, because we did the investigation in a professional way. Only facts matter, and the FBI found them here in an entirely apolitical and professional way. I couldn't be prouder to be part of this organization.

###

COMEY, JAMES B. (DO) (FBI)

From: COMEY, JAMES B. (DO) (FBI)

Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2016 9:50 AM

To: RYBICKI, JAMES E. (DO) (FBI)

Subject: Current draft --- UNCLASSIFIED

Attachments: MYE JBC June 30 2016.pdf

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Good morning. I'm here to give you an update on the FBI's investigation of Secretary Clinton's use of a personal email system during her time as Secretary of State.

After a tremendous amount of work over the last year, the FBI has finished its investigation and referred the case to the Department of Justice for a prosecutive decision. What I would like to do today is tell you three things: what we did; what we found; and what we have recommended to the Department of Justice.

This will be an unusual statement in at least a couple ways. First, I am going to include more detail than I ordinarily would, because I think the American people deserve those details in a case of intense public interest. Second, I have not coordinated or reviewed this statement in any way with the Department of Justice or any other part of the government. They do not know what I am about to say.

But I want to start by thanking the many FBI employees who did remarkable work in this case. Once you have a better sense of how much we have done, you will understand why I am so grateful and proud of their efforts.

So, first, what we have done:

The investigation began as a referral from the Intelligence Community Inspector General in connection with Secretary Clinton's use of a personal email server during her time as Secretary of State. The referral focused on whether classified information was transmitted on that personal system.

Our investigation looked at whether there is evidence that classified information was improperly stored or transmitted on that personal system, in violation of a federal statute that makes it a felony to mishandle classified information either intentionally or in a grossly negligent way, or a second statute that makes it a misdemeanor to knowingly remove classified information from appropriate systems or storage facilities.

Consistent with our counter-intelligence responsibilities, we have also investigated to determine whether there is evidence of computer intrusion in connection with the personal email server by any foreign power, or other hostile actors.

I have so far used the singular term, "email server," in describing the referral that began our investigation. It turns out to have been more complicated than that. Secretary Clinton used several different servers and administrators of those servers during her four years at the State Department, and used numerous mobile devices to view and send email on that personal domain. As new servers and equipment were employed, older servers were taken out of service, stored, and decommissioned in various ways. Piecing all of that back together -- to gain as full an understanding as possible of the ways in which personal email was used for government work -- has been a painstaking undertaking, requiring thousands of hours of effort.

For example, when one of Secretary Clinton's original personal servers was decommissioned in 2013, the email software was removed. Doing that didn't remove the email content, but it was like removing the frame from a huge finished jigsaw puzzle and dumping the pieces on the floor. The effect was that millions of email fragments end up unsorted in the server's un-used – or "slack" – space. We searched through all of it to see what was there, and what parts of the puzzle could be put back together.

FBI investigators have also read all of the approximately 30,000 emails provided by Secretary Clinton to the State Department in December 2014. Where an email was assessed as possibly containing classified information, the FBI referred the email to any U.S. government agency that was a likely "owner" of information in the email, so that agency could make a determination as to whether the email contained classified information at the time it was sent or received, or whether there was reason to classify the email now, even if its content was not classified at the time it was sent (that is the process sometimes referred to as "upclassifying").

From the group of 30,000 emails returned to the State Departmen emails i email chains have been determined by the owning agency to contain classified information at the time they were sent or received. Eight of those chains contained information that was Top Secret at the time they were sent; 36 chains contained Secret information at the time; an contained Confidential information, which is the lowest level of classification. Separate from those, about 2,000 additional emails were "up-classified" to make them Confidential; the information in those had not been classified at the time the emails were sent.

The FBI also discovered several thousand work-related emails that were not in the group of 30,000 that were returned by Secretary Clinton to State in 2014.

We found those additional emails in a variety of ways. Some had been deleted over the years and we found traces of them on devices that supported or were connected to the private email domain. Others we found by reviewing the archived government email accounts of people who had been government employees at the same time as Secretary Clinton, including high-ranking officials at other agencies, people with whom a Secretary of State might naturally correspond. This helped us recover work-related emails that were not among the 30,000 produced to State. Still others we recovered from the laborious review of the millions of email fragments dumped into the slack space of the server decommissioned in 2013.

With respect to the thousands of emails we found that were not among those produced to State, agencies have concluded that **xx** of those were classified at the time they were sent or received, x at the Secret level and **xx** at the Confidential level. There were no additional Top Secret emails found. Finally, **xx** of those we found have since been "up-classified."

I should add here that we found no evidence that any of the additional work-related emails were intentionally deleted in an effort to conceal them. Our assessment is that, like many email users, Secretary Clinton periodically deleted emails or emails were purged from the system when devices were changed. Because she was not using a government account – or even a commercial account like Gmail – there was no archiving at all of her emails, so it is not surprising that we discovered emails that were not on Secretary Clinton's system in 2014, when she produced the 30,000 emails to the State Department.

It could also be that some of the additional work-related emails we recovered were among those deleted as "personal" by Secretary Clinton's lawyers when they reviewed and sorted her emails for production in 2014.

The lawyers doing the sorting for Secretary Clinton in 2014 did not individually read the content of all of her emails, as we did for those available to us; instead, they relied on header information and used search terms to try to find all work-related emails among the reportedly more than 60,000 total emails remaining on Secretary Clinton's personal system in 2014. It is highly likely their search terms missed some work-related emails, and that we later found them, for example, in the mailboxes of other officials or in the slack space of a server. It is also likely that there are other work-related emails that they did not produce to State and that we did not find elsewhere, and that are now gone because they deleted all emails they did not return to State, and the lawyers cleaned their devices in such a way as to preclude complete forensic recovery.

We have conducted interviews and done technical examination to attempt to understand how that sorting was done by her attorneys. Although we do not have complete visibility because we are not fully able to reconstruct the electronic record of that sorting, we believe our investigation has been sufficient to give us reasonable confidence there was no intentional misconduct in connection with that sorting effort.

And, of course, in addition to our technical work, we interviewed many people, from those involved in setting up and maintaining the various iterations of Secretary Clinton's personal server, to staff members with whom she corresponded on email, to those involved in the email production to State, and finally, Secretary Clinton herself.

Last, we have done extensive work to understand what indications there might be of compromise by hostile actors in connection with the personal email operation.

That's what we have done. Now let me tell you what we found:

Although we did not find clear evidence that Secretary Clinton or her colleagues intended to violate laws governing the handling of classified information, there is evidence that they were extremely careless in their handling of very sensitive, highly classified information.

For example, seven email chains concern matters that were classified at the Top Secret/Special Access Program level when they were sent and received. These chains involved Secretary Clinton both sending emails about those matters and receiving emails from others about the same matters. There is evidence to support a conclusion that any reasonable person in Secretary Clinton's position, or in the position of those government employees with whom she was corresponding about these matters, should have known that an unclassified system was no place for that conversation. In addition to this highly sensitive information, we also found information that was properly classified as Secret by the U.S. Intelligence Community at the time it was discussed on email (that is, excluding the later "upclassified" emails).

None of these emails should have been on any kind of unclassified system, but their presence is especially concerning because all of these emails were housed on unclassified personal servers not even supported by full-time security staff, like those found at Departments and Agencies of the U.S. Government – or even with a commercial service like Gmail.

Separately, it is important to say something about the marking of classified information. Only a very small number of the emails containing classified information bore markings indicating the presence of classified information. But even if information is not marked "classified" in an email, participants who know or should know that the subject matter is classified are still obligated to protect it.

While not the focus of our investigation, we also developed evidence that the security culture of the State Department in general, and with respect to use of unclassified email systems in particular, was generally lacking in the kind of care for classified information found elsewhere in the government.

With respect to potential computer intrusion by hostile actors, we did not find direct evidence that Secretary Clinton's personal email system, in its various configurations since 2009, was successfully hacked. But, given the nature of the system and of the actors potentially involved, we assess that we would be unlikely to see such direct evidence. We do assess that hostile actors gained access to the private commercial email accounts of people with whom Secretary Clinton was in regular contact from her personal account. We also assess that Secretary Clinton's use of a personal email domain was both known by a large number of people and readily apparent. She also used her personal email extensively while outside the United States, including from the territory of sophisticated adversaries. That use included an email exchange with the President while Secretary Clinton was on the territory of such an adversary. Given that combination of factors, we assess it is possible that hostile actors gained access to Secretary Clinton's personal email account.

So that's what we found. Finally, with respect to our recommendation to the Department of Justice:

In our system, the prosecutors make the decisions about whether charges are appropriate based on evidence the FBI has helped collect. Although we don't normally make public our recommendations to the prosecutors, we frequently make recommendations and engage in productive conversations with prosecutors about what resolution may be appropriate, given the evidence. In this case, given the importance of the matter, I think unusual transparency is in order.

Although there is evidence of potential violations of the statutes regarding the handling of classified information, our judgment is that no reasonable prosecutor would bring such a case. Prosecutors necessarily weigh a number of factors before bringing charges. There are obvious considerations, like the strength of the evidence, especially about intent. Responsible decisions also consider the context of a person's actions, and how similar situations have been handled in the past.

In looking back at our investigations into mishandling or removal of classified information, we cannot find a case that would support bringing criminal charges on these facts. All the cases prosecuted involved some combination of: clearly intentional and willful mishandling of classified information; or vast quantities of materials exposed in such a way as to support an inference of intentional misconduct; or indications of disloyalty to the United States; or efforts to obstruct justice. We do not see those things here.

To be clear, this is not to suggest that in similar circumstances, a person who engaged in this activity would face no consequences. To the contrary, those individuals are often subject to security or administrative sanctions. But that is not what we are deciding now.

As a result, although the Department of Justice makes final decisions on matters like this, we are completing the investigation by expressing to Justice our view that no charges are appropriate in this case.

I know there will be intense public debate in the wake of this recommendation, as there was throughout this investigation. What I can assure the American people is that this investigation was done competently, honestly, and independently. No outside influence of any kind was brought to bear.

I know there were many opinions expressed by people who were not part of the investigation – including people in government – but none of that mattered to us. Opinions are irrelevant, and they were all uninformed by insight into our investigation, because we did the investigation the right way. Only facts matter, and the FBI found them here in an entirely apolitical and professional way. I couldn't be prouder to be part of this organization.

COMEY, JAMES B. (DO) (FBI)

From: COMEY, JAMES B. (DO) (FBI)

Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2016 4:24 PM

To: RYBICKI, JAMES E. (DO) (FBI)

Subject: Most recent 4:22 pm --- UNCLASSIFIED

Attachments: MYE JBC June 30 2016 1622.pdf

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Good morning. I'm here to give you an update on the FBI's investigation of Secretary Clinton's use of a personal email system during her time as Secretary of State.

After a tremendous amount of work over the last year, the FBI has finished its investigation and is referring the case to the Department of Justice for a prosecutive decision. What I would like to do today is tell you three things: what we did; what we found; and what we are recommending to the Department of Justice.

This will be an unusual statement in at least a couple ways. First, I am going to include more detail than I ordinarily would, because I think the American people deserve those details in a case of intense public interest. Second, I have not coordinated or reviewed this statement in any way with the Department of Justice or any other part of the government. They do not know what I am about to say.

I want to start by thanking the many FBI employees who did remarkable work in this case. Once you have a better sense of how much we have done, you will understand why I am so grateful and proud of their efforts.

So, first, what we have done:

The investigation began as a referral from the Intelligence Community Inspector General in connection with Secretary Clinton's use of a personal email server during her time as Secretary of State. The referral focused on whether classified information was transmitted on that personal system.

Our investigation looked at whether there is evidence that classified information was improperly stored or transmitted on that personal system, in violation of a federal statute that makes it a felony to mishandle classified information either intentionally or in a grossly negligent way, or a second statute that makes it a misdemeanor to knowingly remove classified information from appropriate systems or storage facilities.

Consistent with our counter-intelligence responsibilities, we have also investigated to determine whether there is evidence of computer intrusion in connection with the personal email server by any foreign power, or other hostile actors.

I have so far used the singular term, "email server," in describing the referral that began our investigation. It turns out to have been more complicated than that. Secretary Clinton used several different servers and administrators of those servers during her four years at the State Department, and used numerous mobile devices to view and send email on that personal domain. As new servers and equipment were employed, older servers were taken out of service, stored, and decommissioned in various ways. Piecing all of that back together -- to gain as full an understanding as possible of the ways in which personal email was used for government work -- has been a painstaking undertaking, requiring thousands of hours of effort.

For example, when one of Secretary Clinton's original personal servers was decommissioned in 2013, the email software was removed. Doing that didn't remove the email content, but it was like removing the frame from a huge finished jigsaw puzzle and dumping the pieces on the floor. The effect was that millions of email fragments end up unsorted in the server's un-used – or "slack" – space. We searched through all of it to see what was there, and what parts of the puzzle could be put back together.

FBI investigators have also read all of the approximately 30,000 emails provided by Secretary Clinton to the State Department in December 2014. Where an email was assessed as possibly containing classified information, the FBI referred the email to any U.S. government agency that was a likely "owner" of information in the email, so that agency could make a determination as to whether the email contained classified information at the time it was sent or received, or whether there was reason to classify the email now, even if its content was not classified at the time it was sent (that is the process sometimes referred to as "upclassifying").

From the group of 30,000 emails returned to the State Departmen emails i email chains have been determined by the owning agency to contain classified information at the time they were sent or received. Eight of those chains contained information that was Top Secret at the time they were sent; 36 chains contained Secret information at the time; an contained Confidential information, which is the lowest level of classification. Separate from those, about 2,000 additional emails were "up-classified" to make them Confidential; the information in those had not been classified at the time the emails were sent.

The FBI also discovered several thousand work-related emails that were not

in the group of 30,000 that were returned by Secretary Clinton to State in 2014. We found those additional emails in a variety of ways. Some had been deleted over the years and we found traces of them on devices that supported or were connected to the private email domain. Others we found by reviewing the archived government email accounts of people who had been government employees at the same time as Secretary Clinton, including high-ranking officials at other agencies, people with whom a Secretary of State might naturally correspond. This helped us recover work-related emails that were not among the 30,000 produced to State. Still others we recovered from the laborious review of the millions of email fragments dumped into the slack space of the server decommissioned in 2013.

With respect to the thousands of emails we found that were not among those produced to State, agencies have concluded that **xx** of those were classified at the time they were sent or received, x at the Secret level and **xx** at the Confidential level. There were no additional Top Secret emails found. Finally, **xx** of those we found have since been "up-classified."

I should add here that we found no evidence that any of the additional work-related emails were intentionally deleted in an effort to conceal them. Our assessment is that, like many email users, Secretary Clinton periodically deleted emails or emails were purged from the system when devices were changed. Because she was not using a government account – or even a commercial account like Gmail – there was no archiving at all of her emails, so it is not surprising that we discovered emails that were not on Secretary Clinton's system in 2014, when she produced the 30,000 emails to the State Department.

It could also be that some of the additional work-related emails we recovered were among those deleted as "personal" by Secretary Clinton's lawyers when they reviewed and sorted her emails for production in 2014.

The lawyers doing the sorting for Secretary Clinton in 2014 did not individually read the content of all of her emails, as we did for those available to us; instead, they relied on header information and used search terms to try to find all work-related emails among the reportedly more than 60,000 total emails remaining on Secretary Clinton's personal system in 2014. It is highly likely their search terms missed some work-related emails, and that we later found them, for example, in the mailboxes of other officials or in the slack space of a server. It is also likely that there are other work-related emails that they did not produce to State and that we did not find elsewhere, and that are now gone because they

deleted all emails they did not return to State, and the lawyers cleaned their devices in such a way as to preclude complete forensic recovery.

We have conducted interviews and done technical examination to attempt to understand how that sorting was done by her attorneys. Although we do not have complete visibility because we are not able to fully reconstruct the electronic record of that sorting, we believe our investigation has been sufficient to give us reasonable confidence there was no intentional misconduct in connection with that sorting effort.

And, of course, in addition to our technical work, we interviewed many people, from those involved in setting up and maintaining the various iterations of Secretary Clinton's personal server, to staff members with whom she corresponded on email, to those involved in the email production to State, and finally, Secretary Clinton herself.

Last, we have done extensive work to understand what indications there might be of compromise by hostile actors in connection with the personal email operation.

That's what we have done. Now let me tell you what we found:

Although we did not find clear evidence that Secretary Clinton or her colleagues intended to violate laws governing the handling of classified information, there is evidence that they were extremely careless in their handling of very sensitive, highly classified information.

For example, seven email chains concern matters that were classified at the Top Secret/Special Access Program level when they were sent and received. These chains involved Secretary Clinton both sending emails about those matters and receiving emails from others about the same matters. There is evidence to support a conclusion that any reasonable person in Secretary Clinton's position, or in the position of those government employees with whom she was corresponding about these matters, should have known that an unclassified system was no place for that conversation. In addition to this highly sensitive information, we also found information that was properly classified as Secret by the U.S. Intelligence Community at the time it was discussed on email (that is, excluding the later "upclassified" emails).

None of these emails should have been on any kind of unclassified system, but their presence is especially concerning because all of these emails were housed on unclassified personal servers not even supported by full-time security staff, like those found at Departments and Agencies of the U.S. Government – or even with a commercial service like Gmail.

Separately, it is important to say something about the marking of classified information. Only a very small number of the emails containing classified information bore markings indicating the presence of classified information. But even if information is not marked "classified" in an email, participants who know or should know that the subject matter is classified are still obligated to protect it.

While not the focus of our investigation, we also developed evidence that the security culture of the State Department in general, and with respect to use of unclassified email systems in particular, was generally lacking in the kind of care for classified information found elsewhere in the government.

With respect to potential computer intrusion by hostile actors, we did not find direct evidence that Secretary Clinton's personal email domain, in its various configurations since 2009, was successfully hacked. But, given the nature of the system and of the actors potentially involved, we assess that we would be unlikely to see such direct evidence. We do assess that hostile actors gained access to the private commercial email accounts of people with whom Secretary Clinton was in regular contact from her personal account. We also assess that Secretary Clinton's use of a personal email domain was both known by a large number of people and readily apparent. She also used her personal email extensively while outside the United States, including from the territory of sophisticated adversaries. That use included an email exchange with another senior government official while Secretary Clinton was in the territory of such an adversary. Given that combination of factors, we assess it is possible that hostile actors gained access to Secretary Clinton's personal email account.

So that's what we found. Finally, with respect to our recommendation to the Department of Justice:

In our system, the prosecutors make the decisions about whether charges are appropriate based on evidence the FBI has helped collect. Although we don't normally make public our recommendations to the prosecutors, we frequently make recommendations and engage in productive conversations with prosecutors

about what resolution may be appropriate, given the evidence. In this case, given the importance of the matter, I think unusual transparency is in order.

Although there is evidence of potential violations of the statutes regarding the handling of classified information, our judgment is that no reasonable prosecutor would bring such a case. Prosecutors necessarily weigh a number of factors before bringing charges. There are obvious considerations, like the strength of the evidence, especially about intent. Responsible decisions also consider the context of a person's actions, and how similar situations have been handled in the past.

In looking back at our investigations into mishandling or removal of classified information, we cannot find a case that would support bringing criminal charges on these facts. All the cases prosecuted involved some combination of: clearly intentional and willful mishandling of classified information; or vast quantities of materials exposed in such a way as to support an inference of intentional misconduct; or indications of disloyalty to the United States; or efforts to obstruct justice. We do not see those things here.

To be clear, this is not to suggest that in similar circumstances, a person who engaged in this activity would face no consequences. To the contrary, those individuals are often subject to security or administrative sanctions. But that is not what we are deciding now.

As a result, although the Department of Justice makes final decisions on matters like this, we are completing the investigation by expressing to Justice our view that no charges are appropriate in this case.

I know there will be intense public debate in the wake of this recommendation, as there was throughout this investigation. What I can assure the American people is that this investigation was done competently, honestly, and independently. No outside influence of any kind was brought to bear.

I know there were many opinions expressed by people who were not part of the investigation – including people in government – but none of that mattered to us. Opinions are irrelevant, and they were all uninformed by insight into our investigation, because we did the investigation the right way. Only facts matter, and the FBI found them here in an entirely apolitical and professional way. I couldn't be prouder to be part of this organization.

COMEY, JAMES B. (DO) (FBI)

From: COMEY, JAMES B. (DO) (FBI)

Sent: Monday, May 02, 2016 7:15 PM

To: MCCABE, ANDREW G. (DO) (FBI); BAKER, JAMES A. (OGC) (FBI); RYBICKI, JAMES E.

(DO) (FBI)

Cc: COMEY, JAMES B. (DO) (FBI)

Subject: Midyear Exam --- UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

TRANSITORY RECORD

I've been trying to imagine what it would look like if I decided to do an FBI only press event to close out our work and hand the matter to DOJ. To help shape our discussions of whether that, or something different, makes sense, I have spent some time crafting what I would say, which follows. In my imagination, I don't see me taking any questions. Here is what it might look like:

Good afternoon folks. I am here to give you an update on our investigation of Secretary Clinton's use of a private email system, which began in late August.

After a tremendous amount of work, the FBI has completed its investigation and has referred the case to the Department of Justice for a prosecutive decision. What I would like to do today is tell you three things: (1) what we did; (2) what we found; (3) what we have recommended to DOJ.

But I want to start by thanking the many agents, analysts, technologists, and other FBI employees who did work of extraordinary quality in this case. Once you have a better sense of how much we have done, you will understand why I am so grateful and proud of their efforts.

So, first: what we have done over the last eight months.

The investigation began as a referral from the Intelligence Community Inspector General in connection with Secretary Clinton's use of a private email server during his time as Secretary of State, focused on whether classified information was transmitted on that private system.

Our investigation focused on whether there is evidence that classified information was improperly stored or transmitted on that private system, in violation of a federal statute that makes it a felony to mishandle classified information either intentionally or in a grossly negligent way, or a second statute that makes it a misdemeanor to remove classified information from appropriate systems or storage facilities.

Consistent with our counterintelligence responsibilities, we have also investigated to determine whether there is evidence of computer intrusion in connection with the private email server by any foreign power, or hackers on behalf of a foreign power.

investigation. It turns out to have been more complicated than that. Secretary Clinton used several different servers and providers of those servers during her four years at the State Department, and used numerous mobile devices to view and send email on that private domain. As new servers and providers were employed, older servers were taken out of service, stored, and decommissioned in various ways. Piecing all of that back together to gain as full an understanding as possible of the ways in which private email was used for government work has been a painstaking undertaking, requiring thousands of hours of effort.

For example, when one of Secretary Clinton's original private servers was decommissioned in 20xx, the email software was removed. Doing that didn't remove the email content, but it was like removing the frame from a huge finished jigsaw puzzle and dumping the pieces on the floor. The effect was that millions of email fragments end up unsorted in the server's un-used – or "slack" – space. We went through all of it to see what was there, and what parts of the puzzle could be put back together.

FBI investigators have also read all 34,000 emails provided by Secretary Clinton to the State Department in spring 2015. Where an email was assessed as possibly containing classified information, the FBI referred the email to the U.S. government agency that was the likely "owner" of the information in the email so that agency could make a determination as to whether the email contained classified information at the time it was sent or received, or whether there was reason to classify the email now, even if its content was not classified at the time it was sent (this is the process sometimes referred to as "up classifying").

From that group of 34,000 emails that had been returned to the State Department in 2015, the FBI sent xxxx emails to agencies for classification determinations. Of those, xxxx have been determined to contain classified information at the time they were sent or received. Xxxx of those contained information that was Top Secret at the time they were sent; xxxx contained Secret information at the time; and xxxx contained Confidential information. Separate from those, a total of xxxx additional emails were "up classified" to make them Secret or Confidential; the information in those had not been classified at the time the emails were sent.

The FBI also discovered xxxx work-related emails that were not in the group of 34,000 that were returned by Secretary Clinton to State in 2015. We found those additional emails in a variety of ways. Some had been deleted over the years and we found traces of them on devices that supported or were connected to the private email domain. Others we found by reviewing the archived government email accounts of people who had been government employees at the same time as Secretary Clinton, including high-ranking officials at other agencies, with whom a Secretary of State might naturally correspond. This helped us recover work-related emails that were not among the 34,000 produced to State. Still others we recovered from the laborious review of the millions of email fragments dumped into the slack space of the server decommissioned in 20xx.

All told, we found xxxx emails that were not among those produced to the State Department last year. Of those, we assessed that xxxx possibly contained classified information at the time they were sent or received and so we sent them to other government agencies for classification determinations. To date, agencies have concluded that xxxx of those were classified at the time they were sent or received, xxx at the Secret level and xxxx at the Confidential level. There were no additional Top Secret emails found. Finally, none of those we found have since been "up classified."

I should add here that we found no evidence that any of the additional work-related emails we found were intentionally deleted in an effort to conceal them. Our assessment is that, like many users of private email accounts, Secretary Clinton periodically deleted emails or emails were purged from the

system when devices were changed. Because she was not using a government account, there was no archiving of her emails, so it is not surprising that we discovered emails that were not on Secretary Clinton's system in 2015, when she produced the 34,000 emails to the State Department.

It could also be that some of the additional work-related emails we recovered were among those deleted as "personal" by Secretary Clinton's lawyers when they reviewed and sorted her emails for production in 2015. We have conducted interviews and done technical examination to attempt to understand how that sorting was done. Although we do not have complete visibility because we are not fully able to reconstruct the electronic record of that sorting, we believe our investigation has been sufficient to give us reasonable confidence there was no intentional misconduct in connection with that sorting effort.

The lawyers doing the sorting for Secretary Clinton in 2015 did not individually read tens of thousands of emails, as we did; instead, they used search terms to try to find all work-related emails among the more than 60,000 total emails remaining on Secretary Clinton's private system in 2015. It is highly likely their search terms missed some work-related emails, and that we found them, for example, in the mailboxes of other officials or in the slack space of a server. It is also likely that there are other work-related emails that they did not produce to State and that we did not find elsewhere, and that are now gone because they deleted all emails they did not return to State, and the lawyers cleaned their devices in a such a way as to preclude forensic recovery.

And, of course, in additional to our technical work, we interviewed many people, from those involved in setting up and maintaining the various iterations of Secretary Clinton's private server to staff members with whom she corresponded on email, to those involved in the email production to State, and finally, Secretary Clinton herself.

Lastly, we have done extensive work with the assistance of our colleagues elsewhere in the Intelligence Community to understand what indications there might be of compromise by hostile actors in connection with the private email operation.

That's what we have done. Now let me tell you what we found.

There is evidence to support a conclusion that Secretary Clinton, and others, used the private email server in a manner that was grossly negligent with respect to the handling of classified information. For example, seven email chains concern matters that were classified at the TS/SAP level when they were sent and received. These chains involved Secretary Clinton both sending emails about those matters and receiving emails from others about the same matters. There is evidence to support a conclusion that any reasonable person in Secretary Clinton's position, or in the position of those government employees with whom she was corresponding about these matters, should have known that an unclassified system was no place for such an email conversation. Although we did not find clear evidence that Secretary Clinton or her colleagues intended to violate laws governing the handling of classified information, there is evidence that they were extremely careless in their handling of very sensitive, highly classified information.

Similarly, the sheer volume of information that was properly classified as Secret at the time it was discussed on email (that is, excluding the "up classified" emails) supports an inference that the participants were grossly negligent in their handling of that information.

We also developed evidence that the security culture of the State Department in general, and with respect to use of unclassified email systems in particular, was generally lacking in the kind of care for classified information found also where in the covernment

With respect to potential computer intrusion by hostile actors, we did not find direct evidence that Secretary Clinton's personal email system, in its various configurations since 2009, was successfully hacked. But, given the nature of the system and of the actors potentially involved, we assess that we would be unlikely to see such direct evidence. We do assess that hostile actors gained access to the private email accounts of individuals with whom Secretary Clinton was in regular contact from her private account. We also assess that Secretary Clinton's use of a private email domain was both known by a large number of people and readily apparent. Given that combination of factors, we asses it is reasonably likely that hostile actors gained access to Secretary Clinton's private email account.

So that's what we found.

Finally, with respect to our recommendation to the Department of Justice. In our system, the prosecutors make the decisions about whether charges are appropriate based on evidence the FBI has helped collect. Although we don't normally make public our recommendations to the prosecutors, we frequently make recommendations and engage in productive conversations with prosecutors about what resolution may be appropriate, given the evidence. In this case, given the importance of the matter, I think unusual transparency is in order.

Although there is evidence of potential violations of the statute proscribing gross negligence in the handling of classified information and of the statute proscribing misdemeanor mishandling, my judgment is that no reasonable prosecutor would bring such a case. At the outset, we are not aware of a case where anyone has been charged solely based on the "gross negligence" prohibition in the statute. All charged cases of which we are aware have involved the accusation that a government employee intentionally mishandled classified information. In looking back at our investigations in similar circumstances, we cannot find a case that would support bringing criminal charges on these facts. All the cases prosecuted involved some combination of: (1) clearly intentional misconduct; (2) vast quantities of materials exposed in such a way as to support an inference of intentional misconduct; (3) indications of disloyalty to the United States; or (4) efforts to obstruct justice. We see none of that here.

Accordingly, although the Department of Justice makes final decisions on matters such as this, I am completing the investigation by expressing to Justice my view that no charges are appropriate in this case.

I know there will be intense public disagreement in the wake of this result, as there was throughout this investigation. What I can assure the American people is that this investigation was done competently, honestly, and independently. No outside influence of any kind was brought to bear. I know there were many opinions expressed by people who were not part of the investigation -- including people in government – but none of that mattered to us. Opinions are irrelevant, and they were all uninformed by insight into our investigation, because we did the investigation in a professional way. Only facts matter, and the FBI found them here in an entirely apolitical and professional way. I couldn't be prouder to be part of this organization.

###

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

						The state of the s		
Date UTC Correction	Internal Phone	Type of Message	From	오	RECIPIENTS JSON	Body	Туре	Attachment file tvoe
2015-08-16 20:52:54, Sun	20	ОСТВОХ		+1 202-		Love this line in the article: "the fbi and chs are in charge of tracking the activities of foreign govt agents inside the US" Uh, in what universe is that dhs's job?!		
2015-08-16 20:53:26, Sun	20	OUTBOX		+1 202-			Sms	
2015-08-16 20:54:36, Sun	202	INBOX	+1 202-			son said it isgotta assume it's some titon of immigration responsibilities	Sms	The state of the s
2015-08-16 21:31:37, Sun	202	ООТВОХ		+1 202.		t	, was	Total variation of the state of
2015-08-16 21:37:53, Sun	202	INBOX	+1 202-			.	Sms.	The state of the s
2015-08-30 18:18:49, Sun	202	ООТВОХ		+1 202-	-	Not related, but this is also outrageous. I mean, come on. The woman needed all this outside employment? \n\nAn article to share: How Huma Abedin operated at the center of the Clinton universe\nHuma Abedin operated at the center of the Clinton universe\nhttp://wapo.st/1JAoft\k	Į SE	
2015-10-14 01:11:52, Wed	202	INBOX	+1 202-				Sms	***
2015-10-14 01:14:29, Wed	202	OUTBOX	T.	+1 202-		how little I care right now.	sms	
2015-10-14 01:17:12, Wed	202	INBOX	+1 202-			Kind of a foregone conclusion but so much more substantive than the Rep debates	sms	
2015-10-14 02:22:05, Wed	202	INBOX	+1 202.		-	Ooh hillary, Bernie throw down on 215	sms	
2015-11-01 23:19:31, Sun	202	оптвох		+1 202-		Hey, I assume going forward that it's okay to send entirely innocuous news articles, right?	SIIS	

PS = intox LP = entlox

-

2015-11-01 23:21:36, Sun	202	OUTBOX	<u>+ </u>	+1 202-		Anyway, I sent one. And I hope Paul Ryan fails and crashes in a blaze of glory.	
3:04,	202		+1 202-			Yes, And, me too. At some point the Rep party needs to pull their head out of their *ss. Shows no sign of occurring any time soon.	
5-12-13 6:54,	202	оотвох		2022 H 2024	[t"modified":14499706 ffirstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. Etrzok", 'notes."[],"orga And funny re nizations".[],"numbers" suspected of i nizations".[],"numbers" suspected of i ["2024 a looooong tit ["2024 a looooong tit	[t"modified":14499706 firstName":"Peter","las firstName":"peter","las firstName":"peter","las firstName":"peter","las firstName":"la firstName fir	
2015-12-21 01:19:26, Mon		QUTBOX		202. tt	("modified":14506507 66726, "uniqueid":299," firstName":"Peter", "las (Strzok", "notes":[], "urga nizations":[], "umbers" :["202] ["202] emails":[[("modified":14506607 66726, "uniqueid":299," ffrstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. II Strzok", "nores":[], "arga What an utter idiot. \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
2015-12-21 01:47:08, Mon	202	INBOX	202		(l'madified":14506624 29240, "uniqueid":299, " firstName":"Peter", "jas tName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nitations":[], "numbers" :["202.	No doubt. \U0001f612\n\n\n\to gmail some pics?	
2015-12-28 18:26:22, Mon	202	OUTBOX		202	[("modified":14513271 83340,"uniqueid":299," firstName":"Peter","las fixrok":"notes":[],"orga inizations":[],"numbers" ["202] "emails":	It's sick, but I really like policy issues. And having tight ams ideadlines. Sigh	

		<u> </u>		
			_	_
sms	SE SE		Sms	Ë
	·	No. it's just implicated a much bigger policy issue. [']l explain later. Might even be able to use it as pretext for a call :}		 Yikes babyi\n\nYeah, that's what everyone says.\n\nOkay, really going to bed now
	P;)	policy is		yone sa
	approved?;}	n bigger		hat even
¿ pa		d a much	eakshov	that's w
lg] want	r oconu	uplicated	ey's a fr	(nYeah,
s that what doj wanted?	You get all our oconus	's just in Might e	Martin O'Malley's a freakshow	 Ylkes baby{\n\n\eap, th
		No. it		
451330 eid";299 eter", "is eter", "is ".!], "org	451330 eid":299 eter", "a "::[], "org numbers numbers ":202	451330; sid":299, ster", "la ster", "la ":[], "org numbers numbers la"	4530862 sid":299, ster", "la ":[], "org "202	4530869 id*:299, iter", "les iter", "lorg lumbers lumbers s";
[{"modified":14513302 92169, "uniqueid":299," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers": ["207"] "emails":	(f"modified":14513303 14011,"uniqueid":299," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"p. [],"orga Strock", "notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"unmbers" i"202 "emails".	"modified":14513303 82934,"uniqueid":299," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. Strok", "notes":[],"orga rizations":[],"nombers" "202 "emails"	[['modified":14530868 17492, "uniqueid":299," firstName":"Peter","las firstName":"Peter","las Strook", "notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" i"202	[["modified":14530869 01.168, "uniqueid":299," firstName!".Peter", "las Khame"."P. II, "orga nizations".[], "numbers" rizations".[], "numbers" :["202
If"moo 92169 92169 firstNe tName Strzok nizatio :["202	[{"moc 14011, firstNa firstNa tName Strzok' nizatio :["202		If"mod 17192 firstNa firstNa firstNa tName Strzok nizatio :["202	[{"mor 01168, firstNa firstNa tName Strzok nizatio :["20:
		202		202
202 202	202		201	N_
50	202		502	
INBOX	INBOX	OUTBOX	INBOX	OUTBOX
20	2	<u>지</u>	<u>N</u>	
202	202	202	202	202
			•	
	28 3,	- 78	8 T .s	
2015-12-28 19:18:11, Mon	2015-12-28 19:18:32, Mon	2015-12-28 19:19:41, Mon	2015-01-18 03:13:35, Mon	2016-01-18 03:14:59, Mon

-			
sms	sms	Sms.	SUS
[{"modified":14534850] 36.202, "uniqueid":299," firstName":"Peter", "las Yeah, sorne extremely offensive video screens set up in front Strzok", "notes":[]," orga of dist ct. Thank goodness D can't read and wasn't paying Strzok", "notes":[]," rumbers" attention. Blood and guts and gore. I truly hate these "202	And 1*sus Martin O'Malley, Just pack it in and 80 home!	OW HOW THE F CAN HE BE A REPUBLICAN? 1?1?	i have absolutely no idea. Still, he is so very interesting.
[{"modified"-14534850 35202, "uniqueid";299," firstName": "Peter", "las tName": "P. Strzok", "notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" i"202	(l'modified":14537674 15196, "uniqueid":299," firstName": Peter","135 Strzok", "notes":[],"0rga nizations":[],"numbers" ["202	[l'modified":14558482 46411,"uniqueid":109," firstName":"Peter","las frzok", "notes":[],"orga nizators":[],"numbers" :["202	[{"modified":14558482 79516,"uniqueid":109," firstName":"Peter","las tName":4P. Il Strzok","notes":[[],"orga p02 nizations":[[],"numbers" :["202
202 1 202 204 1			(202)
	202	(202)	
OUTBOX	NBOX	INBOX	
202		202	203
2016-01-22 17:50:34, Fri	2016-01-26 00:16:54, Tue	2016-02-19 02:17:26, Fri	2016-02-19

		,	
-		į	
sms	sms	sms	SES
<u>~</u>			
	بن	÷	
	ou not D	ose Hilla	
outd.	Would y	ddns w	
Yes, they should.	Seriously?! Would you not D?	l don't know. I suppose Hillary	. O nonid
	68816 ":211," r", r'as], orga mbers" [(202)		
ffed":14568 "uniqueid":2 ne":"Peter", ''p. II ,"notes":[],"numb 15":[],"emails".	fled":14568 uniqueid":2 ne":'Peter" ;"P. II "notes":[],"numk s":[],"numk (7	fied":14568 uniqueid":; ne"; Peter" : "p. !! "notes":[]; 'numi s":[]; 'numi	fied":14568 uniqueid":? ne":"Peter" :"p, II "notes":[],"numl s":[],"numl
[{"modified":14568816 11411, uniqueid":211," ifirstName":"Peter", "las tName":"Peter", "las 202 nizations":"[], "numbers" :["202 ":"[], "numbers" :["202 ":"], "emails":	[{"modified":14568816 29803, "uniqueid":211," firstName": "Peter", "las tName": "P. II Strzok", "notes": [], "orga 202 nizations": [], "numbers" :["202 nizations": [], "numbers" 'emails":	("modified":14568816 40024,"uniqueid":211," firstName.":Peter","las tName":"p. II, "orga stzok","notes:"[],"orga firztions":[],"numbers" ("202) "emails";	Il"modified":14568816 46521,"uniqueid":211," firstName":"peter","las tName":"P. Il" Strzok", "notes":[],"orga mizations":[],"numbers 'emails":
202	202	S A t t t i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	14 4 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
(202)	(2022)	202	202
		(202)	(202)
OUTBOX	OUTBOX	INBOX	XOGNI
8		2	
			- 2
202	202	202	202
., -02		9,	02 6,
2016-03-02 01:20:11, Wed	2016-03-02 01:20:29, Wed	2016-03-02 01:20:39, Wed	2016-03-02 01:20:46, Wed

-								
							·	SCOMEN .
		ļ						
-								
-		Sins.		Sms		SITIS		sms
				}		i		
		he?				ht now		
		an R, does		.Xex.X		for her rig		Itive Dem
		nk you're		to her an		dn't vote		a conserve
		He's doesn't think you're an R, does he?		VA's going to go to her anyway.		He thinks I wouldn't vote for her right now		He's knows I'm a conservative Dem
-	1,1 as as 2)	He's d	2 * 8 B 1 C	VA's g	93 es :: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5:		91 8 89 8	
	":14568816 queid":211, "Peter", "las II tes":[], "org: I, "numbers"	"emails"	":14568816 queid":211, "Peter", "las II tes":[],"org:],"numbers"],"numbers"	"emails"	":14568816 queid":211, "Peter", "las II tes":[], "org:], "numbers"	"ernails".	".1456881 queid":21; "Peter","] Ites":[],"or I,"numbel	"(202)
	[{"modified":14568816 61132, "uniqueid":211, " firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. Ji Strzok", "notes":[], "orga 202 nizations":[], "numbers" ;["202	"em	("modified":14568816 65043,"uniqueid":211," firstName":Peter","las tName":Poter","las tName":Poter":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" "(202)	"err	[("modified":14568816 77261, "uniqueid":211, " firstName":"Peter", "[as tName":"P. II Strzok", "notes:[]," orga nizations":[], "numbers"		("modified":14568816 84732, 'uniqueid":211," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. II Strook", 'notes":[], 'norga nizations":[], 'numbers'	:["202 "em
-	(202) (1) (1) (1) (202) (202) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1		<u> </u>				_ = 8 # # N C	-vi
	2.		(202)		(202)		(202)	
-		ŏ	. 5	×	2	×	<u>.</u>	×
-		ООТВОХ		INBOX		INBOX	·	INBOX
	,					-		
-		202	•	202		202		202
	2016-03-02	01:21:00, Wed		2015-03-02 01:21:04, Wed		2016-03-02 01:21:17, Wed		2016-03-02 01:21:24, Wed
L	2016	01:21 Wed		2016 01:21 Wed		2016 01:21 Wed		2016- 01:21 Wed

_	_				-			
		,						
-	······································							
ŀ	·	sms		sms	<u> </u>	sms		sms
				į			•	
		ļ	·		,	ıman.		
						God trump is a loathsome human.		•
		wonder.				e is a foat		w.in
		But now I wonder.		Got it.		god trum		Yet be may win
-	568816 I":211," er", 'las I], 'orga embers''	(202)	568817 4":211," er","las [],"orga Imbers"		570574 d":211," :er", "las :[], "orga .mbers"	(202)	570574 d":211," ter", "las :[], "orga umbers"	[,(202)
	["modified":14568816 97711,"uniqueld":211," firsthame."Peter","has thame"."P. II Strock", "notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers"	emails":	[("modified".14568817 05622,"uniqueid".211," firstName";"Peter","las tName";"P. I Strzok,"notes";", orga 202 nizations";1," orga	"emails"	[{"modified":14570574 50611, "uniqueid":211," firstName"."Peter", "las tName"."P Strzok", "norea".[], "orga 202 nizatious":[], "numbers"	"emails"	("modified":14570574 87127,"uniqueid":211," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga	2. "emails"
	[{"moc 97711, firstNa tName Strzok nizatio	:["202	[["moo 05622 firstNa tName Strzok 02 nizatie	:["202	[f"mo 50517 firstN: tNam Strzob 02 nizati	202	[("mo 8712; firstN tNam Strzol nizati	:["202
	2		(202)		(202)	_		,
	(202)						(202)	
		INBOX		OUTBOX		OUTBOX		XOBNI
		<u> </u>		ō		ō		<u>_</u>
		202				202		202
-		<u> </u>		7.	!	<u>73</u>	<u></u>	~ ~
		2016-03-02 01:21:37, Wed		2016-03-02 01:21:45, Wed		2016-09-04 02:10:50, Fri		2016-03-04 02:11:26: Fri
L		2016- 01:21 Wed		2016- 01:21 Wed		2 2		2 8 6

2016-03-04 02:11:35, Fri	202	XOBN	(202)	W1 No. 44 C7 L. 100	Il"modified":14570574 95877,"uniquaid":211," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202			
2016-03-04 02:11:51, Fri		OUTBOX	9	(202) S 202 n 202	[{"modified":14570575 11998, "uniqueid":211," firstName"."P. firstName"."P. Strzok", "notes":[], "orga 	It is,	4. E.	
2016-03-04 02:12:46, Fri		NBOX	(202)	— Ψ ← 4 V E π	[{"modified":14570575 66651, "uniqueid":211," firstName":"Peter", "bas firsok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" r["202"], "emails"	Would he be a worse president than cruz?		
2016-03-04 02:13:14, Fri	202	оптвох	5	(202) P. (20	[{"modified":14570575 94254, "uniqueid":211, " firstName": Peter", "las tName": P. !! Strzok", "notes": [], "orga :["202" "[202]" "[202]	Trump? Yes, think so.	¥,	

(

2016-03-04 02-13-37 Ed	202	INROX	(202)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	("modified":14570576 18013, "uniqueid":211," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[],"orga mizations":[],"numbers" :["202] "emails"; "emails";	in not sure	
2016-03-04 02:20:04, Frl	202		(202)	If!" first	[{"modified":14570580 04250, "uniqueid":211," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. Strok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["202]	ı idiot	
2016-03-04 02:20:33, Fri	202	ООТВОХ	. 8	(10") 14" (10") 14" (10") 14" (10") 15" (10")	[("modified":14570580 34165,"uniqueid":211," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[], "orga 202 nizations":[], "umbers" ["202]	He's awful.	
2016-03-04 02:21:02, Fri	202	INBOX	(202)	1	(l'modified":14570580 63039, 'uniqueid":211," firstName":"Peter", 'las Strzok", 'notes":[], 'orga nizations':[], 'numbers" :[''202	America will get what the voting public deserves sms	

		Į						
	,	sms		sms	•	sms		Sms
		;					·	
				on? !?!				
				tal Protecti				0-000'000
		fraid of.		nvironmen				d win 100,
		That's what I'm afraid of.		Department of Environmental Protection? 171				God Hillary should win 100,000,000 - 0.
	580		881 11," las irga irga 02)	Depa	781," 1985 1985 1985 1987	Ę Ę	11, " 11, " 12, " 13, " 14, " 15, " 15, " 15, " 15, " 16, " 17, " 17, " 17, " 18, " 17, " 18, " 17, " 18, "	\$ P09
	[{"modified":14570580 97168,"uniqueid":211," firstName":"Peter","Jas tName":"P. IJ Strzok","notes":[],"orga ;202 :["202	"emails":	"modified": 24570581 31427, "uniqueid": 211, " firsthame": "peter", "las tName": P. !! Strzok", "notes": [], "orga nizations": [], "numbers" : ["202]	"emaîls"	[{"modified":14570581 53325,"uniqueld":211," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. [Strzok","notes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers": ["202]	"emails"	(f"modified":14570582 65613, "uniqueid":211, " firstName". Peter", "las tName". P. Il Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers"	"emails"
	[{"modified"; 97168,"uniqu firstName"; P. II tName"; P. II Strzok", "note 2 nizations"; [], "		[l"modified":: 31427, uniqu firstName":'P tName":'P. II Strok", note nizations":[].		[{"modified":3 533.25, "uniqu firstName":"P tName":"P. II 5frzok", "notea [nizations":[],"	2	[{"modified": 65613,"uniq firstName":"P. II tName":"P. II strzok","note nizations":[],"	#",
	(202)	į.			(202)			
			(202)				(202)	
		OUTBOX		INBOX		OUTBOX		INBOX
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	202		202		202		202
		2016-03-04 02:21:36, Fri		2016-09-04 02:22:11, Fri		2016-03-04 02:22:33, Fri		2016-03-04 02:24:25, Fri
L		2016		2016		2016		2016 02:24

sws	sms	·	
lknow.	Also did you hear him make a comment about the size of his d*ck earlier? This man can not be <u>preside</u> nt.	Yes I did. In relation to the size of his hands.\n\nAnd all the	
(l"modified":14570583 17456, "uniqueid":211, " firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":II, "orga nizations":II, "numbers" :["202]	[{"modifieel":14570588 97140, uniqueid":211," firstName:"Peter","las 'tName:"P. II Strzok","notes':[], orga cnizations':[],"numbers" ["202] "emalis": Ali	[I'modified":14570590 27021, "uniqueid":211," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"I," orga nlzations":[J," numbers" :["202] "(202)" "emails"; "emails";	(l'modified":14570590 88809, "uniqueid":211," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. 1] nizations":[],"orga- nizations":[],"numbers" :["202
(202) (202) (10 kg m) (10	(202) (202) (203) (203) (203)		
		(202)	(202)
OUTBOX	оптвох	INBOX	
202		202	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	14		
2016-03-04 02:25:16, Fri	2016-03-04 02:34:56, Fri	2016-03-04 02:37:06, Fri	

			(202)	[{"modified":14570591 15246, "uniqueld":211," ffrstName": "Peter", "las tName": "P. I Strzok", "notes": [], "orga ;202 nizations": [], "numbers" :["202			
2016-03-04 02:38:35, Fri	202	OUTBOX		"emails":	I know. Detroit is really a beautiful city. Camden was too.	sms	
			(202)	{{"modified":14570601 70.125,"uniqueld":211," firstName":'Peter","las tName":'P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations':[],"numbers" :["20]	,		
2016-03-04 02;56:09, Fri	202	INBOX		''emails	Ok I may vote for Trump \n\n;}	sms	
			(202)	[{"modified":14570602 21215, "unique(d":211," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[[," orga nizations::[],"numbers" :["202			
2016-03-04 02:57:00, Fri	202	NBOX		"emails":	"And look, I'M OLD"	sms	
			(202)	[{"modified":14570603 19786,"uniqueid":211," firstName";"Peter","las kName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers" [1'202]	-		
2016-03-04 02:58:39, Fri	202	OUTBOX		],"emails":	What?!\n\nPoor Kasich. He's the only sensible man up there.	SIIIS	

2016-03-04 70-10-43 Ed		ADDIN	(202)	<b> </b>	[{"modified":14570603 94063, "uniqueid":211," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["202]	He was pretty much calling for death for Snowden, I'm a	a	
	·		(202)		[["modified":14570604 23340, "uniqueid":211," firstName";"Peter", "las tName";"P. !! Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["202]		Sws	
2016-03-12 20:58:35, Sat		ООТВОХ	2	(202) es 202 p.i.	[("modified":14578163 15583, "uniqueid":211," firstName":" Peter", "las tName":"P. 11 Strzok", "notes":[]," orga [202] nizations":[]," numbers" ("202] "emails"	What the f is wrong with people?\n\nA Texas Candidate Fushes the Boundary of the Far Right http://nyti.ms/1QT9Bqj	sws	
2016-03-12 21:0S:11, Set	202	INBOX	(202)	2.142365	(l'modified":14578167 11329, uniqueid":211, " firstName":"P. II Strame":"P. II Strack", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["202,""emails"	That Texas article is depressing as hell. But answers how we could end up with President trump	Sms	

<del></del>							
	-14.						
	sms	·	n Sms		sms		SIIS
	Wasn't it? Seriously, how are people so incredibly ignorant?		I have no idea, but it depresses me. Same people who drive more when they get extra daylight from daylight savings, I'm guessing,		\UCOO11621\nTrump Clarifies, and It\u2019s Worse - NYTimes.com\nbttp://mobile.nytimes.com/2016/03/12/opi nion/trump-clarifies-and-its-worse.htmi?_r=0		Don't listen to npr this morning - Richard Clarke is an uninformed douche, \U0001651\U0001621
[["modified":14578168 70463,"uniqueid":211," firstName":Peter","las tName":P. II Strzok", notes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers" ["202]	],"emails"	[{"modified":14578171," 66608, "uniqueid":211," firstName."!Peter", "las fframe":"P. (! Strzok", "notes":[]," orga nizations":[]," numbers" :("202	,"emails"	liffed":14 , "uniquelt ime";"Pet i":"P. II ;"notes"; if. notes";	/(202)" (202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202)" (1.202		1/"202 "(202) 1/"202 "(202) 1/"202 "(202) 1/"202 "(202) 1/"202 "(202)
(202)						(202)	707
****		(202)		(202)		<u> </u>	
	ООТВОХ		INBOX		INBOX		OUTBOX
	202		202		202		
						· <del></del>	
·	2016-03-12 21:07:50, Sat		2016-03-12 21:12:46, Sat		2016-03-12 21:21:03, Sat		2016-03-14 11:53:08, Mon

	·		•
-			
			1
<u> </u>	<u>م</u>	·	Sus
sms	sins.	Lis.	. us
ę.		and we can get	appy to w what he will when
did he say	,	w pue	and I am h oeso't kno did. \n\nI this am.
im, what	š awful,		t is life, and who dard who dard who dare ever
don't like 1	essary. He	ne about ether.	ng or weak is a blowh tanymore,
Yeah, J really don't like him, What did he say?	Totally unnecessary. He's awful	You can tell me about indignant together.	It is not boring or weak, it is life, and I am happy to help \( 1, \) it has a blowhard who dosen't know what he is palking about anymore, if he ever did. \( 1, \) it will when I can. Not sure what his schedule is like this am.
[f"modified":14579564 23520, "uniqueld":211," firsthame ":"Petar", "las tWarne":"P. 11 Strokt", "notes:1], "orga nizations":1], "numbers" :1"2024371838; "(202) 324 45521," emails":1"Peter .Strok@ic.fbi.gov"]]]		fled":14 'uniquek ne":"Pet '"p. 1i '"notes": 's":[],"nu	[["modified":14579574, 70357, "uniqueid":211, "firstName":19-ter","las tName":19-ter", "las Strzok", "notes":[],"numbers clastications":[],"numbers clastications":[],"numbers clastications:[],"numbers
[["modified":: 23520, uniqu firstName": P tName": P. II Strzok", inote nizations ".[], " :["202437133 324- 4552"], emai	I("modified":1 80005,"uniqu firstName";"P. II tName";"P. II Strok", note Z nizations";"J, "are "emai"	[{"modified":1 18953,"uniqu firstvame":"p. il tvame":"p. il Strzek", note nizations":[], :["202	[["mod 70357, firstNa firstName 1Name Strzok' 02 nizatio :["202
	(202)		(202)
(202)		(202)	
NBOX	оивох	INBOX	ОСТВОХ
4			
202	202	202	2002
		,	-
41	77	47	1.14
2016-03-14 11:53:43, Mon	2016-03-14 11:54:59, Man	2016-03-14 11:58:38, Mon	2016-03-14 12:11:09, Man

						A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	
ากเลาลาธ				(202) 51 (202) 52 (202) 11 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	[("modified":14581015 11904,"uniqueid":21," firstName":"Peter","[as tName":"P. II Strok","notes":[],"orga 220 rizations":[],"numbers"		
04:11:51, Wed	202	OUTBOX			], "emails": [*	I can not believe Donald Trump is likely to be an actual, serious candigate for president.	
2016-04-02 01:19:29, sat	203	OUTBOX	2	(202) 11 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	"modified":14595599   70236,"uniqueid":211,"   firstName":"Peter","las   tName":"P.      5720k","notes:"!],"orga   202 nizations":[],"numbers"   1"202		
2016-04-02 01:20:30, Sat	202	INBOX	(202)	<b>三条件的 10 字</b>	{"modified":14595600 30794,"uniqueid":211," firstlame"."Peter","las tName"."P. II Strock", "nores".[],"orga nizations".[],"unmbers" !("202	Right. But did you say anything other than work? I did, but may Jigve only gotten smiles or blushes back sms	
2016-04-09 01:18:28, 5at	207	INBOX	202	<b>三日の存むなます</b>	If modified":14601647 08322, untqueid":211," firstName"."P."las tName"."P."las traton.":[],"lorga intations":[],"umbers" :["202	[l'modified":14601647 08322, 'uniqueid":211,' All this from N:\nwww.greenpeace.org/uss/campaign-firstName".'Peter", 'las updates/hilleny-clintons-connections-oil-gas. firstName".'P. II industry\n\Nevyrthing sanders said abour Clinton is true. If Strzok", 'notes":[], 'lorga you ready through their fact check they don't state a single nizations":[], 'numbers" thing of his is 'lfalse", however they argue that it is completely false because 1.4 million from fossil fuel lobbyists and 3.3 million directly from large donors "emails":[ connected to the fossil fuel industry, a total of 4.5 million alone in 2016 as "hot much" compand to ther total raising a single	

2016-04-09			(202)	If"modified":14601647 16071,"uniquela":211," firstName":"Peter", llas tName":"P. Il Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["2024	This is clear and utter bias by the media specifically the NYTINES, WAPO, and CNN who if you look at all of them	,	, <u> </u>
44	202	NBOX	(202)	[{"modified":;4601647 25920, "uniqueid":211," firstName": Peter", llas tName": "P. Il Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["202	have large donors for Clinton	SEE5.	
01:18:45, Sat	202	INBOX	(202)	[l'modified":14623224 52120,"uniqueld":211," firstName":"Peter","Jas tName":"Pete","Jas Strzok","notes":[l,"orga		Sws	
2016-05-04 00:40:51, Wed	202	CUTBOX			nd holy shit Cruz just dropped but of the race. It's going to be a Clinton Trump race. Unbellevable.	SIUS	
2036-05-04			70z (202)	[{"modified":14623224 84539, 'uniqueid":211," firstName?"Peter", 'las tName"; 'P. II Strzok", 'notes':[], 'orga nlzatlons':[], 'numbers': :["202			
00%11.24, Wed	202	NBOX			What?!?!??	sms	

	***************************************								
2016-05-04 00:41:37, Wed	203	отво		(202)	[{"modified":14623224 97801, "uniqueid":211," firstName"; Peter", "las tName"; P. ", "notes \$trzok", "notes"; [], "orga \$trzok", "notes"; [], "orga ; ["202 nizations"; [], "numbers" ; ["202]	You heard that right my friend.	S. C.		
2016-05-04 00:41:37, Wed	. 2072	XOBNI	(202)		(l'modified".14623224 97753,"uniqueld".211," firstName"."Peter","las strack","notes".[],"orga nizations".[],"numbers". ("202	saw trump won, figured it would be a bit	sms		1,29,14
2016-06-12 01:49:47, Sun	202	NBOX	(202)		["modified":14656961 88957, "uniquekd":211,"   firstName":"Peter", "Jas tName":"P.      Strock", "notes": [], "orga   nizations": [], "numbers"   ["202]    "emails":	They fully deserve to go, and demonstrate the absolute bigoted nonsense of Trump	SU: SU: S		
2016-06-12 01:49:47, Sun	20	INBOX	(202 202	T 3 (V G 2) W W	If"modified":14656961 88893, "uniqueid":211, " firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"Pii Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["202	Truk	\$5 \$5	•	

			•
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
en sms	st us	m M	
eople a	ri this	hear	000
ot of pr	ske faw 11621	i walt te	, Ke
howa	7 we ma	s. Can'l	
in, and	w. How	congres	
t Clinto Ping.	ting no lirresp	ATHE c	ույսուն
g abou	η mee	rby 1 Co	, pub.
e talkin	aving n	호 된 호	this wa
Now we're talking about Clinton, and how a lot of people are hodding their breath, hoping.	Hi. Just feaving my meeting now. How we make faw in this country is offensive and irresponsible. (JU0001621	know it is, its why I LOATHE congress. Can't wait to hear	Thousekt this was snot on An'untillary Clinton: Maku2011 9am
fied"::14662005 uniqueid':211, uniqueid':211, "P.!! "Potes":[],"nugi "totes":[],"numbers s':[],"numbers s':[],"numbers	fled":14666 'uniqueid":2 'neter"; 'p. Jl 's. [], 'uumt 's". [], 'uumt	fled":14666 "uniqueid":2 ne";"Peter" ;"P. II "notes":[]," "(;"]," "(["]"	ified":14678 "uniqueid":4678 "eter" "p.    ","notes" ],"numi
If"modi 76312," firstNan tName" Strzok" nization f"202.		R"moc 17529 firstNa firstNamic Strzok nizatio :["202	[{"mod   [{"mod   96027,   16stNai   tName   5trzok"   5trzok"   5trzok"   5trzok"   5trzok   5trzok
	(202)		202)
(202)		202	
S <b>F</b> F		<u> </u>	·
NBOX	OUTBOX	INBOX	
202	202	702	
,		7	2
2016-06-17 21:56-15. Fri	2016-06-22 16:39:25, Wed	2016-06-22 Wed	2016-07-07
2011	2016- 16:39 Wed	2016- 16541	201

			1	F			
2016-07-08 22:45:38, Fri	202	XÖBN	(202	<u> </u>	{"modified":14580179 39983, "uniqueid":497, " firstNeme": Peter", "las tName": P. II Strzok", "notes": [], "orga nizations": [], "numbers" :["202 "(202) "	And meanwhile, we have Black Lives Matter protesters, right now, chanting "no justice no peace" around DoJ and the Sms	
2016-07-08 23:15:13, Fri	200	ООТВОХ	2)	(702) 14 (202) 15 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10) 16 (10)	[{"modified":14680197, 14390, "uniqueid":497, "  firstName":"Peter", "las tNarre":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[], "unga 202 nizations":[], "numbere":["20]   ["20]   ["20]   ["20]		
2016-07-10 01:22:24, Sun	202	XÓBN	(202)	对条件 电 및 点 计 <b>■ ■</b>	[f"modified":14681137 45048, uniqueid":497," firstName": "Peter", "las flane": "P. II strock", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["202	I didn't get the chance to ask about the 88s. But I did sit and stare at the portrait of Ellot Richardson staring at me.	
2016-07-10 01:22:44, Sun	202	NBOX	(202)	<b>76425</b>	(l'modified":14681137 firstName":"Peter", "les firstName":"Peter", "les ftName":"P. Strzok", "notes": [], "orga inzations": [], "oumbers" ("202	it's next to the portrait of Eric Holder, which is wildly offensive.	

			52	("") 347 (firs (202) 202 (162	[("modified":14684600 34702,"unqueid":f672," ffrstName":'Peter", las tName":P. II 8trok"; "notes":[],"orga 202 rications":[],"orga :["202,"],"orga	•	·
2016-07-14 01:33:53, Thu	202	оглвох			"emails":	Have you read this? It's really frightening. \n\n\for Whites Sensing Decline, Donald Trump Unleashes Words of Resistance http://nytl.ms/29\VQu5!	
2016-07-14 (01:35:35, Thu	20	INBOX	(202)	77 8 86 67 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	(l"modified":14684601 36245, uniqueld":672," firstName": "peter", "las firstName": "P. II Strok", "notes" [], "orga nizations": [], "numbers" i["202" "[202]	I have not. But I think It's clear he's capturing all the white, goor voters who the mainstream Republicans abandoned in all but name in the quest for the almighty \$\$\$\$	
2016-07-14 01:36:32, Thu	2002	OUTBOX	iz.	(("') 1933 1032 1032 1072 1072	[("modified":14684601 93390,"uniqueld":672," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. !! Strzok", "notes":[],"orga 58tzok", "notes":[],"orga ["202]	Yeah, it's not good \n\nAnyway.	
2016-07-14 11:04:45, Thu	202		(202)	공 년 32 년 1년 1월 1년 1월 1년 1월 1일	Il'modified":14684942 86367/"uniqueid":672," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. Il Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202] (202)	Poll Finds Emails Weigbing on Hillary Clinton, Now Tied With Sms	

sms	SWS	SLUS	
	And are you kidding me? Duck Dynasty now Scott Baio? Ridiculous,	E E	
	y now S	Walt, is that who is speaking at the convention?!	
	. Dynast	it the co	
	e Duck	eaking a	
	E Sujust	of) 약.	
	e you ki	s that w	· .
<u>म</u> स्			
684943 d":572," er","las er","lorga mbers"	688871 d":804,* er",*las ill,*lorga imbers*	688880 d";804," ser","las 1[],"orga mbers"	688880 d":304," ter","las ter","las ter","(20) [,"(202)
[{"modified":14684943 16437, "Inlqueid":572," ffrstName"://peter","las tName":/"p. Il Strzok", "notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" il"202	(f'modified":1468887.1 40729, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"peter","las firstName":"peter","las firstName":"peter","las firstName":"peter","las firstName":"peter","las firstName":"peter","las firstName:"peter fi	[["modified":14688880 26599, "uniqueld":804, " firstName":"96ter","las tName":"9." las Stroke", "notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers": ["202]	(l'modified":1468880 45751, unqueid":804, " firstName":"peter", "las tName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["202
[["modified":14684943 16437, "uniquaid":572," firstName";"Peter","las tName";"P.    Strzok", "notes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers" :["202"]"(202)"	(f"modified";", unique ";", unique ";", unique ";", il stratklame ";", il stratklame ";", il stratk", il con il stratk", il con il stratk", il con il stratk " emai	[["modified":14688880 26599, "uniqueld";804, " firstName";"Peter","las tName";"?, " Strzok", "notes".[],"orga 202 nizations";[],"numbers" :["202	l"modified":: 45751, unique firstName":P tName":P, II Strzok", note nizations":[].
202		202	
	(202)		(202)
ŏ		ŏ	
OUTBOX	INBOX	OUTBOX	200
202	202	202	
		4.	
7.14	7-19 19,	61	77-19
2016-07-14 11:05:15, Thu	2016-07-19 00:12:19, Tue	2016-07-19 00:27:05, Tue	2016-07-19 00:27:24,

<u>-</u>			
SUS	SEE	sws	SILIS
		σ,	
do?! Lmfi		ot an.	
t they can		ged it's n	
r's the bes		od. Thank	
vge?! Tha		able, My g	
Charles in Charge?! That's the best they can do?! Lmfao	It's PATHETICI	That unbellevable, My god. Thank god it's not on	ts on PBS
188880 1".804," 1".0183 1]."orga (202)	88880 ":204," "orga mbers" (202)		BB8B1 ':204," ':204," 
	ete ete	रहें हैं हैं हैं है	146) ete s":[ 'nur
[["modified":" 48697,"uniqu firstName":"p, II tName":"p, II Strzok","nore ("Izations":[]," ["202]	[("modified";] 5859,"uniqu flrstName";"P. II tName!;"P. II Strzok","note nlzatloms';[]," :["2022	[{"modified":1 80131, "uniqu firstName": P. II Strzok", "note nizations':[]," ["2024	!!"modified": 24979,"uniqu firstName":"P. II Strzok", "note il"202
(202) 203		(202)	
	(202)		(202)
ООТВОХ	INBOX	ООТВОХ	INBOX
	Anna		
2020	202	50	202
9	<u></u>	<b>9</b>	.53
2016-07-19 00:27:27, Tue	2016-07-19 00:27:37, Tue	2016-07-19 00:27:59, Tue	2016-07-15 00:28:44, Tue

Sus	See	SOUE	S H
<u>.</u>			
What did you do? Republican snark. \\U0001636	AND COME ON!!!! TURN ON THE CONVENTION!!!!		
b snark. \	· · THE CON	î N	
Republica	TURN ON	NOJ I WILL NOT BE SLICKED IN	
you do?	ME ONITII	LL NOT BE	NO.
What dig	. AND COR	IM I ION	TURN IT ON PES.
4688883 vid":804," ster", las rumbers" (202)	4688885 sid":804," ster","las ":[],"orga umbers" "(202)	4688886 sid*:804," ster", las tumbers" (202)	("modfiled":14688390 36511, "uniqueid":804," firstName,":"Peter", "las tName:"P. "Peter", "las tName:"P. "I", "noga nizations":[], "numbers" il'202 "(202) "emelis"
[{"modified":14688831 97543, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"peter", "las tName":"p. II Scrock", "notes":[],"orga nizations:" ],"numbers" i["202]	fl"modified":14688883 79595,"uniqueid":824," firstName!"Peter","las tName!"P. II Strock:","otes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" fl"202	[tmodified".:14689886 73925, "uniqueld":804," firstname "," Peter", "las tName "," P. 1. Strok", "notas".; [l." anga Strok", "inotas".; [l." anga il"203	("modhled":14688890 36511, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. II, "orga strook", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" ("202) "(202)
If"mod 97543, firstNa firstName Scrzok' nizatio	If"mod 79595, firstNa tName Strzok' nizatio :[*202]	202	If more 36511, firstNa firstNa tName Strzok' nizatio it ["202
25	202	(202)	, 203
(202)	(202)		(202
МВОХ	NBOX	OUTBOX	XOBNI
		,	H
202	202	202	202
	.,,		
			<del></del>
2016-07-19 (00:29:56, Tue	2016-07-19 00:36:18, Tue	2016-07-19 00:37:52, Tue	2016-07-19 00:43:55, Tue
਼ ਲਰਵ	122	ਬਠਵੀ	<b>85</b> 4

· 	·		
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
·			
·			
E	sms	Sms	
		поге	ARE
· _		kely to come down sharby so we can bust through more this sh*t. Just fyl.	Oooh, TURN IT ON, TURN IT ON!!! THE DOUCHEBAGS ARE ABOUT TO COME OUT. \n\nYou can tell by the excitable
		bust	DOUCE
:		we can	III THE
	here. :	os Agu	a\nachulan
	ot me.t	wn sha ?	, TURN
	YOUE	ome da	NITON
	Well Christ. YOU got me there. :D	kely to come dow of this sh't. Just fyl.	Gooh, TUR
0 2 8 8 6		6	
688890 id":804,' ter",'las ter",'las '[],'org: ''[202]	688891   10":804,     10   10   10   10   10   10   10	4688891 id":804," id":04," idmbers idmbers	4688898 ild":804," eter","las eter","las eter","las eter","las eter","las eter","las eter","las
"unkqueld" "unkqueld" me":"Pete ":"P. Jl ;"notes":[ ns":[]."nur	fled":146 'uniqueld ne":"petc "."p. II "notes":  se":[],"nu	ified":146 "uniqueid me";"Pete ","P. II ","notes":  ns":[],"nu	("modified":14688898 76249, "uniqueld":804, " firstName": "Peter", "las Name": "P. II Strzok", "notes": [], "orga nizations": [], "numbers": ["1202] 'emaiis"
[("modified":14688890 80677, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","ibs tName":"P. il Strzok", "notes":[],"lorga 202 nizations":[],"unimbers" (["202 "Z02"],"aumbers")	[{"modified":1468891 04289,"uniqueid":804," firstName","peter","las Name":"p. I. Stzok", "notes":[],"ouga nizations":[],"numbers" "["202]	I("modified".14688891, 26065, "uniqueid":804, " firstName": Peter", "las tName": P. II Strzok", "notes": [], "numbers" (".202 "Emails":	(f'modified":1,4688898 76249, "uniqueld":804, " firstName"."Peter", "las tName"."P. II Strzok", "notes":[], "numbers" rizations":[], "numbers": "["202]
202		202	
702)	703	(202)	202
	(202)		(202)
XO	<u> </u>	OUTBOX	
. OUTBOX	INBOX	<u> </u>	
202	202	202	
N	7		
<u> </u>	65	on Eff	. 19
2016-07-19 00:44:39, Tue	2016-07-19 00-45:03, Tue	2016-07-19 00:45:25, Tue	2016-07-19 00:57:55,
2016 00:4	1882	782	192

		,	
			,
			T Wand A
-bru	SES	any.	se/ gn sms
My god, I'm so embarrassed for them. These are like second-	선	Hi. How was Trump, other than a douche? Melania? And any luck with home purchases? \n\n  have this morolog.	Trump barely spoke, but the first thing out of his mouth was "we're going to win sooco big." The whole thing is like living In a bad dream.
These an	And waw, Donald Trump is an enormous d*uche.	che? Mela	s aut of hi
for them,	in enorme	Hi. How was Trump, other than a douc luck with home purchases? \n\ni have	first thing g." The w
barrassed	Esi d <b>m</b> oj is a	chases؟ ر	e, but the
I'm so em	. pjeuoQ ʻ	was Trumi home pu	arely spok sing to wli fream.
My god, I	■ And waw	Hi. How was luck with ho \U00001f61d	Trump barely si "wæ're going to in a bad dream.
[{"modified":14688902 87450,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"peter","las tName":"p. 11 \$trzok","notes":[],"onga 202 nizations":[],"numbers" :["203	[["modified":14688950 10321, "uniqueid":804," flistName":Peter", "las tName":"P. II Strok!", "notes":[], "orga 202 nizations":[], "numbers" :["202 "'emails":	fl'modified":14689233 89188,"uniqueld":804," firstName":"Peter","les Kirzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "notes":[]," ("202	[["modified".14689235 12571, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P, II Strzok", "notes":[], "numbers" :["202] "emalls":
202	[("mod 10321, first/la first/la tName 5trz0\$, 202 nizato	If"mod 84188, firstNan tName' Strok" nizatio	ff moc 12571, firstNa firstNa trName Strzok' (1"202
(202)	(202)	20%	(202)
		(202)	
OUTROX	XOUTBOX	NBOX	OUTBOX
20	202	202	ন্ত
m.	ø.	<b>a</b>	an an
2016-07-19 01:04:46, Tue	2016-07-19 02:23:29, Tue	2016-07-19 10:16:23, Tue	2015-07-19 10:18:31, Tue

2015-07-19 10:19:41, Tue	202	INBOX	(202)	F 28 E 3 H 2 H	("modified";14689235 82708, "uniqueld":804," firstName","Peter","las tName","P. "I, "orga nizations";[],"numbers" ("202] 'emails"	SILIS	
2016-07-19 10:20:06, Tue		OUTBOX	8	(10 (2002) 14 (10 (2002) 15 (10 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (2002) 16 (200	[["modified":14689236 07407,"uniqueld":204," :firstName":"Peter","Jas tName":"P. Ji \$trzok","notes":[]".orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers": :["202"],"emails]	lie was perfectly fine, except the whole point of the eraising is to reveal those personal storles, what a uman the candidate is, There was none of that.	
2016-07-19 10r21:20, Tue	202	ховиг	202 (202)	<u> </u>	K"modifled".14699236 81261, uniqueld":804," firsthame":"Peter", "las tthame":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers": ["202]	That was her job! What the hell did she talk about? Winning younge?	
2016-07-19 10:22:12, Tue	202	ООТВОХ	(3	2027 151 151 151 151 151 151 151 151 151 15	Il"modified":14689237 33422,"uriqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II strzok","hotes":[],"orga 02 nizations":[],"numbers": ["202"] emails"	floot's know. Lots of my husband is great, but no description to back it up.	

Andrew State Control of the Control			
SILES	sms.	sms	
omg. You listening to npr? Apparently Melania's speech had passages lifted from Michele Obana'sunbelievable		God, it's just a two-bit organization. I do so hope his disorganization comes to bite him hard in November.	
[l'modified":14689271 27581, 'uniqueid":804, 'i firstName":"Peter", 'ibs tName":"P. il Strock", 'notes':1], 'orga nizations':[], 'numbers' 'l'ensils'	[("modfled":14689271 66021, "uniqueid":804," firstName","Peter", "las tName", "p. 1 \$trzok", "totes", [], "orga nizations", [], "numbers" :["202."] ("(202)	(("rroddfad":14689272 07665, "uniqueid":804," firstName": Peter", "las tName": P. II Strzok", "notes": [], "umbers" (P.202 mirations": [], "umbers" (P.202 mirations": [], "umbers"	(l'modfiled":14689272 74701,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"peter","las tName":"p. li", orga strock", "notes":[], 'orga nizations":[], "numbers" (l'202
	(202)	(202)	٨
(202)			(202)
. NBOX	оитвох	OUTBOX	
202	202	20,	
2016-07-19 11:18:46, Tue	2016-07-19 11:19:25, Tue	2016-07-19 11:20:06, Tue	2016-07-19 11:23:13,

The state of the s	And the second							
	,		(202)	[{"modified":14689736 44056,"uniqueid":804," firstName"://peter","las tName":*P. (! Strook,"4notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"umbers" :["202	·			
2016-07-20 00:14:02, Wed	202	INBOX		*emails	Hopefully you get home in time for crazy - ass grain storage pyremid Ben Carson tonight.	sms		
			(202)	[["modified",14689736 \$1767, "uniqueid",804," firstName","Peter","las tName","P. II \$frzok","notes",![],"orga nizations",:[],"numbers" :["202]				-
2016-07-20 50:14:10, Wed	202	NBOX		"emails".	Pence being introduced	sms		
			8	[("modified":14689771 24001, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II 202] Strok", "notes":[],"orga 102 Inizations":[],"numbars" ["202]				
2016-07-20 01:12:03, Wed	202	ОПТВОХ		"emails".	Mitch McConnell always reminds me of a turtle.	sms		
<u> </u>			8	(I''modified":14689772 95503,"uniqueld":504," fistName";"Peter","jas tName";"P. ( 202) \$trzok", "notes";[], "orga 2020 fizations":[], "numbers"			•	
2016-07-20 01:14:54, Wed	20	ООТВОХ		"emails"	My god, the crowd looks soocoopo bored.	sus		

2016-07-20			(202)	<u>~ ≎ ∉ ⊅                                 </u>	[{"modified":14689773 05381,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P.   Strzok", notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202	·		
01:15:04, Wed	202	INBOX			"emails":[	Droopy dog	mms	
2016.07.20	•	· ,	(202)	<u> </u>	[I'modified":14689777 44340,"uniqueid":804," firstName,"/Peter","las tName,"/Poter"]],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202			
01:22:23, Wed	202	INBOX			"emails";[" An	Amd Peul Ryan's a jerky	sms	
2016-07-21 08:52:19, Thu	202	QUTBOX	2 <b>4 4 4 4</b>	(202) H # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	[{"modified":14690911 40788, "uniqueid":804, " firstName": "Peter", "las tName": "P. II Strzok", "notes": II, "numbers" 202 atzations': II, "numbers" : :["202 atzations': II, "numbers" : :["202 atzations': II, "numbers"] : :["202 atzations': II, "numbers"]	This is really shocking.\n\nDonald Trump Sets Conditions for Defending NATO Allies Against Attack	SMS	
2016-07-21 09:09:58, Yhu	202	XORLAO	<u> </u>	(202) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	ы <del>г. о</del> 5.5 ■	This campaign is like watching a train wreck happen over and over again.\n\nHow Donald Trump Picked His Running Mate http://f.net.mc/?a8_rig	- E	

Sms	sws	sms	sws
And hey. Congrats on a woman nominated for President in a major party!\n\nAbout damn time! Many many more returns of the day!\UO00160a	VUOGOZIGOa That's cute, Thanks. \UOGOZIG36	\UG001f60a That's cute. Thanks, \U0001f636	l had to hold the hand when Bemie Just now moved for her nomination, \U0001636\U0001636\U0001636\U0001636\
[{"modified":14695772 84264,"uniqueid":804," firstName","peter","las tName","notes","las strzok,"notes",","orga nizations",","numbers :["202[""]","]"	("modified":14695774 18480, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"Peter", "las trations":"[], "numbers" ill, "numbers" ill."202	[["modified":14695774 72858,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las thame":*P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers" ["202]	(l'modified":14695775 01197, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter", "las tName": "Il, "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["202]
	(202)	(202)	
(202)			(202) 202
NBOX	OUTEOX		INBOX
202		202	202
2016-07-26 23:54:42, Tue	2016-07-26 23-56:57, Tue	2016-07-26 23:57:51, Tue	2016-07-26 23:58:20, Tue

	·		
sms	sms	sms.	
I'm not watching. What happened?	They want thru roll call, she got enough votes about an hour ago. Vermont went last, they cast their votes. Then introduced Bernie, who called for some procedural things then moyed for HRC to become the Democratic Nominee.	Chills, Just because I'm a homer for American democracy that way, \U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\	if they played patriotic music or did something with the flag
[{"modified":14695775 29824,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"unumbers" nizations":[],"u(202)	(l'modified":14695777 29469, "uniqueid":804," firstName"."Peter","las Stzok", "notes";[],"orga nizations":[],"unibers" ["202	If"modified":14695777 62238,"uniqueid":804," ffrstName"."Peter","las tName"."P. II Strook","nores":[],"numbers" nizations":[],"numbers" :["202]	(f'modified":14695777 87947, "uniqueid":804," firstName"."Peter", "las tName"."P. Il Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "oumbers" :["202."", "(202)
202)			
	(202) 202	(202) 202	(202)
QUIBOX	XOBNI	NBOX	
202	202	202	
2016-07-26 23-58:48, Tue	2016-07-27 00:02:08, Wed	2016-07-27 00:02:41, Wed	2016-07-27 (00:03:06,

				[{"modified":14695779 1980, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter", "las			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			(202)	tName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[],"orga		_	
			202	nizations":[], "numbers" :["202			·
2016-07-27 00:05:18, Wed	202	KO8N		*emails**:["	Turn on pbs!		
				[{"modified":14695780 35248,"uniqueld":804,"	•		1
				firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II			
			(202)	,"notes ns":[],"r			
2016-07-27				:["202			
00:07:14, Wed	202	INBOX		4 emails":('	Oh God, Holder! Turn it off turn it off turn it offil!!		
				[{"modified":14695783 08875,"uniqueid":804,"			
							·
			9	(202) Strzok", "notes": [], "orga 202 nizations": [], "numbers" : 1"202			
2016-07-27 00:11:47, Wed	202	XOBLINO		"emails":{"	Yeah. I saw him vesterday and hoped at the tv. NHODM 60a.		
					1		
				1/545, uniqued : 204; firstName": "Peter", "las			
				(202) Strzok", "notes":[], "orga			
				202 nizations":[],"numbers"			
2016-07-27							
00:11:56, Wed	202	OUTBOX		"emails":[	Sigh, Thank you, \U00001636	,	

			Ĭ
sms	, sms	sms	
Yeafi, it is pretty cool. She just has to win now. I'm not going to lie, I got a flash of nervousness yesterday about trump. I'm sandernistas have the potential to make a very big mistake here	I'm not worried about them. I'm worried about the anarchist Assanges who will take fed information and disclose it to disrupt.	So sad, the comment about more yesterdays than tomorrows. \U0001f622\n\nAnd i don't like Chelsea! Her husband even less\U0001f612	
[{"modified":24635789 00402,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. !! Strzok","notes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers" :["202	[{"modified":14695795 30708,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P, las tName":"P, las tName":"P, las tName":"Peter","las tName":"Peter","las [1"202	[["modified":14695880 58703,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter", "las tNrok", "notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202	[{"modffled":14695882 28690,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. 1. Strzok", "notes":[],"orga 202. nizations":[],"numbers" [["202.
(202)	[302]	(202)	(202)
OUTBOX	(22) (22) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20)	(20 Page 1997)	
202		202	
2016-07-27 00:21:39, Wed	2016-07-27 00:32:09, Wed	2016-07-27 02:54:17, Wed	2016-07-27

_		<del></del>	,
	,	·	
SC	<u>د</u>	2	
Sms	hat sms	SE S	
e hasn't	e war so t hey're idic		•
mething s	ng no mor m sorry, t		
Serves so	ers shouti		<u></u>
els she de	e support		्र इंट्र
Self antitled. Feels she deserves something she hasn't	Stupid*ss Bernie supporters shouting no more war so that he couldn't be heard hardly at all. I'm sorry, they're idiots.	They really are.	an like la sidan
("modified":14695884 19329,"uniqueid":804,"las firstName":"peter","las tName":"peter","lorga fizations":[],"numbers" ["202] ["202] ["202]	[["modified":14696675 43952, "uniqueid":804, " firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P.   Strzok", "notes":[], "numbers" [202 nizations":[], "numbers" [3"202 nizations":[], "numbers" [4 emails":["]	(l'modified":14696676 88232,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las firstName":"Peter","las Strzok", "notes":[],"orga nizatons":[],"umbers" ["202] "[202] "emails":["] "]	eid"; eter eter s":[], num s":[];
("modified":: 19329, "uniqu firstName!"!" It tName!"?" It tName!" (") inote inizations" ([]." ("202	[l'modified": 43952, unique firstName: "F. II Strzok", nor nizations": [], 1"202	(l'modified"; 88232,"uniqu firstName"."P. II Strzok", "note nizations":[],"	(l'modified";; 82102, "uniqu flistName";'p. II Strzok", "note rizations":[], " ["202
	(202)		(202) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1
(202)		202)	
	X		) N
NBOX	OUTBOX	XOBNI	OUTBOX
202(	202	202	202
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
2016-07-27 03:00:18, Wed	2016-07-28 00:59:03, Thu	2016-07-28 01:01:27, Thu	2016-07-28 01:18:03,
2016 03:00 Wed	2016 00:55 Thu	2016 01:0	2016 01:11

İ			
-			
sms	इ.स.इ.	sms	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Was literally grabbing phone to say Joe's doing great!	He's just a really sincare guy.	said he was absolutely beloved by Delaware State Police. And funny story about him and gtwn basketball team in China a few years ago. Too long for here.	Opened on Trump? If Hillary did, you know 5 field offices
{"madified":14696690 50983,"uniqueid":264," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok": "notes":[],"arga nizations":[],"numbers" nizations":[],"numbers"  ?202	[f"modified";14696691 75210,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","jas tName":"Peter","jas tTook","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"orga nizations":[],"(202) :["202	Il"modified":14696693 55281, "uniqueid":804, " firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. Il. Strock", "nores":[]," orga nizations":[]," numbers" il" 202	[l'modified":14696706 75744, "uniqueid":804, " firstName"."Peter", "las tName"."P. Il Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["202
	(202)		
(202)		(202)	(202)
NBOX	оитвох	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	P. C. L. C.
202	202		
2016-07-28 01:24:09, Thu	2016-07-28 01:26:14, Thu	2016-07-28 01:29:15, Thu	2016-07-28 01:51:10,

		<del> </del>	
sms	sms	sms	Ě
article highlights the thing I mentioned to you earlier,	I mean seriously. What in the hell is this guy talking about? Vn/nDonald Trump Gives Questionable Explanation of Events in Ukraine http://nyti.ms/2arMCyV	Jesus. You should read this. And Trump should go f himself. NhynMoment in Convention Glare Shakes Up Khans\u2019 American Ufe http://nyti.ms/2ahiuLE0	God that's a great article. VOOD1f621VUD001f61e\U0001f61e\u2764\n\nThanks for sharine \n\nAnd FTrimn
[("modified":14696708 61681, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["202] :["202] This		## modified":14704942 90616, "uniqueid":804, " firstName": Peter", "las firstName": P. 11 Strzok", "notes": [], "orga nizations": [], "numbers":	[l'modified":14704952 17938,"unqueid":804," firstName"."Peter","las tName"."P. II Strzok", "notes".[]," orga nizations".[],"numbers" :["2024
F 22 17 S S S S F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	[f"] 04,0 fire 1202) - Ste	(202) Pro (202)	77 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
(202)	<u> </u>		202)
(2)	ООТВОХ	ОИТВОХ	(2 NBOX
N.		no O	2
202	20	202	202
2016-07-28 01:54:20, Thu	2016-08-01 01:38:23, Mon	2016-08-06 14:38:09, Sat	2016-08-06 14:53:36, Sat

2016-08-06 14:55:00, Sat	202	OUTBOX	N N	(202)	[{"modified":14704953 01312,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"peter","las tName":"p. ["], notes":[]," orga nizations":[]," numbers: ["202]	And maybe you're meant to stay where you are because you're meant to protect the country from that menace. To that end, read this:	sms	
2016-108-106		,	-	(202)	E . 21 E . 3			
14:55:19, Sat	202	OUTBOX	-1		<u>=</u>	http://nyti.ms/2afakry	sms	
2016-08-06 15:04:43, Sat	202	XOGNI	(202)		(l'modified":14704958 '84167, "uniqueid":804, "firstName":"Peter", "las Strack", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" il"202	Thanks. It's absolutely true that we're both very fortunate. \n\nAnd of course I'll try and approach it that way. I just know it will be tough at times.\n\n\d can protect our country at many levels, not sure If that helps	sms	
2016-08-06 15:05:51, Sat	202	OUTBOX		(202)	(l'modified":14704959 52221, "uniqueld":804, " firstName":"Peter", "las firstName":"Peter", "las firstName":"Peter", "las Strzok", "notes":[]," orga nizations":[]," numbers" (l'202	i know it will too. But it's just a job. It's not a reflection of your worth or quality or smarts.	SITS.	

sms		sms		sms		a W
to know		meto		<del>-</del>		
I really like this:\nHe appears to have no ability to experience reverence, which is the foundation for any capacity to admire or serve anything bigger than self, to want to learn about anything beyond self, to want to sand deeply frongr the people arround you.		Sigh. That's the paragraph, upon reading, that caused me to want to send it to you. \U00b1f636		He's not ever going to become president, right? Right?!		
no abilit undatio oigger th self, to v		ing, tha		ent, righ		יי ר
to have s the for sything the beyond around a ground		on read 1635		e presid		o free
ppears : which i serve an aything		raph, up .\uooo		becom		sat Trum
s:\nHe a sence, mire or about a		e parag It to you		going to		OMG did vou hear what Trumn linet said?
f really like this:\nHe appears to have no a experience reverence, which is the founde capacity to admire or serve anything biggs want to learn about anything beyond self, and deeply honor the people around you.	l	Sigh. That's the paragraph, upon res want to send it to you. \Ugo01f635		ot ever		did vor
		Sigh. want	= 10	He's n	D = 10 10 2	
[l'modified":14704973 32207, "uniqueid":804," firstName": "Peter", "las tWame": "P. Strzok", "notes": [], "orga nizations": [], "numbers"   realiy like this:\nHe appears to have no ability to nizations": [], "numbers"   realiy like this:\nHe appears to have no ability to capacity to admire or serve anything bigger than want to learn about anything bigger than anyt	[{"modified":14704974 60415,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II -Strzok","notee":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers" :["202		[f"modified":14707131 87285, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","Jas tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers" :["202	1	("modified":14707797 71230, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. II Strzok!," notes":[], "orga nizations":[]," numesra	r, (202)
ified":147( "uniqueid" me":"Petei ";"P. 11 ","notes":[],"nun ns":[],"nun	[{"modified":1. 60415,"unique firstName":"P.  I Strzok","notes nizations":[],"r	"emails":["	[f"modified":1. 87285, "unique firstName":"P. II Strzok", "notes nizations":[],"?	emajs":["	[{"modified":171230,"unique firstName":"P, II tName":"P, II Strzok", "notes	email
[{"modified"; ]22.107,"uniqu firstName"; firstName"; firstName"; Strzok","note nizations":[]; ["202.4"] "emai	[{"mod 60415, firstNa firstName Strzok' nizatio		If"moc 87285, firstNa firstName tName Strzok nizatio i["202	Ų	[{"moc 71230 firstNe firstNe tNeme Strzok nizatio	1 202
	(202)		(202)		•	
(202)		<b>-</b>			(202)	
		ř		ŏ		
INBOX		OUTBOX		OUTBOX		INBOX
202		202		202		. 202
<u> </u>		2		N		
j						
2016-08-06 15:28:50, Sat		2016-08-06 15:30:59, Sat	Ç.	25,		08-09
2016-08-06 15:28:50, Sc	•	2016-08-06 15:30:59, Se	50	2016-08-0 03:26:25, Tue		2016-08-09 21:56:09, Tue

		-					
INBOX	ğ <b>1888</b>	(202)	<u> </u>	(f"modified"::4708768 27378, "uniqueid":804, " firstName":"Peter", "las firstName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["202	Why Latitude? (other than it sounds badass, and you came	Sms	
OUTBOX		2	(202) (202) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203)	oo *, ≥	thing loosely milltary, without being	Sins	
INBOX	<b>EDERE</b> (S	202)	246 TN C 2 1	[f"modified":14708770 18143, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter", "las firstName":"P. il Strock", "notes":[], "urga nizations":[], "numbers" ["202] "" (202) "" (202)	YUUUUGE.\n\nThough we may save that for the may save that for the	SmS	
INBOX	Z Z	(202)	E T E T N E T E	(l'modified":14708770 48774, uniqueid":804, " firstName":P. II Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["202["", "["]", "["]]] "" "emaiis":["]	OMG I CANNOT BELIEVE WE ARE SERIOUSLY LOOKING AT THESE ALLEGATIONS AND THE PERVASIVE CONNECTIONS		

<del></del>		<del></del>	
sms	·	SIUS	y u
What the hell has happened to our country!?!?!??	God this makes me so angry.\n\nDonald Trump Is Making America Meaner http://nyti.ms/2b6gG38	And I am worried about what Trump is encouraging in our behavior. The things that made me proud about our tolerance for dissent - what makes us different from Sunnis and Shias losing each other up - is disappearing.	ו"m worried about what happens if HRC is elected. \ח\חAnd
[{"modified":14708770 62689, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"peter", "las tWarne":"p. 1 Stzck", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "unmbers": ["202] ["emails":["	[{"modified":14711721 24580,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzek","notes":[]"orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers" :["202 nizations":[],"numbers" :["202 nizations":[],"[202]	(l'modified":1471,1724 47765, "uniqueid":804, " firsthame":"Peter", "las tthame":"P. Il' Strook", "notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :['202	["modified":14711725 15367, "uniqueid":804, " firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. [I], "lorga Strzok", "notes": [], "orga rizations": [], "(202) [["202]] ", "(202)
	(202)		
(202)		(202)	(202)
NBOX	OUTBOX	XOAN	XOGNI
2	0		
202	202	20 20 1	20%
2016-08-11 00:57:41, Thu	2016-08-14 10:55:22, Sun	2016-08-14 11:00:46, Sun	2016-08-14 11:01:54, Sun

·				<del></del>	
			<u></u>		
***					
		·			
E c c	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	go smm			mmš
I want to believe the path you threw out for consideration in Andy's office - that there's no way he gets elected - but I'm afraid we can't take that risk, It's like an insurance policy in the unlikely event you die before you're 40		An article to share: Trump shakes up campaign, demotes top adviser\nTrump shakes up campaign, demotes top adviser\nHtup://wapo.st/2bzAUGD			Just reading it
	297 04," "las orga ers"		297 04," "las orga eers"	9766 92,"fir sst.Na sst.Na ("num	
[{"modified":14712569 96237,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tWame":"p. II STXOK", "notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" i"202[##],","[202]	fl'modified":14714297 17586, "uniqueid":804, " firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. 1 Strzok": "[], "numbers" nizations": [], "numbers" :["202	"emails":["" "modified":1471429717 778."uniqueid":892,"ftr stName."","notes":[],"nom "organizations":[],"num bers":["(202) ","(202) ","emails":[]]	(l'modified":14714297 66248, "uniqueld":804, " firstName!."Peter!, "las tName!"P. II tName!"P. II tName!"P. II iztrok', "notes":[],"orga	emails";["]],["]],["]],["],["],["],["],["],["],[	"], "emails" (]}]
		(202)			
(202)		0 0 0		(202) 20 2) 20 2) 20 2) 20 2) 202	
		OUTBOX			×
INBOX					INBOX
202		202			202
2016-08-15 10:29:55, Mon		2016-08-17 10:28:37, Wed		2016-08-17	Wed

:			
sus.	sms	sus .	sms
	1		
	Just went to a southern Virginia Walmart. I could SMELL the Tramp support	We both hate everyone and	I want to be there and hate with you, or charm you back to happy InynLooked for the two trump yard signs I saw on the way out to take a picture, but couldn't see them
	rt. I cou	ate eve	804," ","las "orga less"  [202]   want to be there and hate with you, or charm y happy \n\n\n\coked for the two trump yard signs     wan out to take a picture, but couldn't see them
	Waima	a both h	h you, o crump y
	Virginia	×	nate with
	nuthern 	h with	re and hed for t
	t to a sc	.to lunc	be the holook
	Just went to a sou Tramp support	Yup. Out to lunch with everything.	want to
4714317 eter","las eter","las ":[],"orga numbers" ","(202)		sid":804," ster","las tter","las numbers" ","(202) ","(202)	
ffed":1471.4 "uniqueid":2 "uniqueid":2 "i"p. ji "i"notes":[]"numi "i","numi	odfied"::14722 7,"uniqueid":8 Bame";"peter" k", "notes":[], "n ions":[], "num! 2	fied"::14722 uniqueid":: ne":"peter" "notes":[], s":[],"rumf ","(" emais":["	fifted":14722 me":"peter" ":"p. if ":"p. if "s":[]."numi f","f
[("modified":14714317 52558, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"las tName":"p. Il Strock", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["202] ", "(202)	(l'modified":14722297 62697,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las Strzok", "notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers": ["202"],"emails":["] "],"emails":["]	[{"modified":14722304 61303, "uniqueid":804," firstName": "peter", "las tName": "p. II. Strzok", "notes":[], "orga ;202 nizations":[], "umbers" :["202 nizations":[" ", "(202)	fl'modified":14722309 73957, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. !! \$Tzok":"P. inces":[]," orga nizations":[]," numbers :["202
S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	子26年34年产 <b>2</b>	202	別では 状体 は 江
		(302)	
(202)	(202)		(202)
×	٠. ێ	XO	*
INBOX	. INBOX	au au au an	IN BOX
202	202	202	202
8-17	8-26 10, Fri	18-26 18, Fri	18-26 52, Fri
2016-08-17 11:02:31, Wed	2016-08-26 16:42:40, Fri	2016-08-26	2016-08-26 17:02:52 Fri

	·			
				··
	sms	sms	iti sms	r nms
			ian 8/30/us/pol	visers and o visers and ian ian ian a speecf ge, a prom, who aying the aying the election ca election ca isers say th
	ur country.		ince of Russ. B.L. om/2016/0	tions betw I current add relf, prompt in his letter r, Carter Pa ry giant Gaz toward ople keep s ople keep s aid. \u2014ci ing him the u2019s adv
	ss that is on	n here	Cites Evide nd Seeks F. .nytimes.cc	the connect former and former and bould, by its lindicectly ump advise on the energing hors policy and his per Mr. Reid style are telliful. Mr. Trumply Mr. Trumply for. Trumply for. Trumply for. Trumply for. Trumply for.
	the hot me	iry reaf dow	nHarry Reid U.S. Vote, a tp://mobile	argued that mp\u2019s adership sh adership sh He referred He referred a by one Trr d investor I can sanct 201cTrump ged\u2014u201d Hr\u201d I that unnan Hillary Clin Hillary Clin
	Just riffing o <u>n the hot mess that is our country.</u>	Yeahit's scary real down here	Here we go:\nHarry Reid Cites Evidence of Russian Tampering in U.S. Vote, and Seeks F.B.I. Inquiry\n\nhttp://mobile.nytimes.com/2016/08/30/us/politi cs/harry-reid-russia-tampering-election-fbi.html	But Mr. Reid argued that the connections between some of Donald J. Trump\u2019s former and current advisers and the Russian leadership should, by itself, prompt an investigation. He referred indirectly in his letter to a speech given in Russia by one Trump adviser, Carter Page, a consultant and investor in the energy giant Gazprom, who criticized American sanctions policy toward Russia.\n\n\u2014C01cTrump and his people keep saying the election is rigged\u2014u201d Mr. Reid said.\u2014cWhy is he saying that? Because people are telling him the election can be messed with.\u2014c01d Mr. Trump\u2019s advisers say they are concerned that unnamed elites could rig the election for his opponent, Hillary Clinton.
Ì				725503 in the cert, "185 co cert," 185 co cert, "185 co cert," 185 co cert, "185 co cert," "186 co cert," "186 co cert," (202) se cert," (202)
	[{"modified":14722446 73868, "uniqueid":804, " firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. II - Strzok", "notes":[], "orga 202 nizations":[]," numbers" ["202 nizations":[]," (202) ["202 nizations":[]," (202)	("modified":14722447 49866, "uniqueid":804, " firstName": "Peter", "jas tVame": "P. I. Strzok", "notes": [], "umbers" il"202	(l'modified":14725502 91743, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. II. tName":"P. III., "orga trizations":[[,"numbers" ["202] ","(202] "], "emails":["	But Mr. Reid argued that the connections between some on Donald J. Trump\u2019s former and current advisers and the Russian leadership should, by itself, prompt an the Russian leadership should, by itself, prompt an investigation. He referred indirectly in his letter to a speech 20865, "uniqueld":804," given in Russia by one Trump adviser, Carter Page, a firstName"."Peter", "las consultant and investor in the energy giant Gazprom, who criticized American sanctions policy toward criticized American sanctions policy toward criticized American sanctions and his people keep saying the nizations":[], "normal Russia.\n\n\n\n\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u2014\u20
	(202) (173 (202) (173 (202) (173 (173 (173 (173 (173 (173 (173 (173	1. St. St.		7 X 1 X X 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		202)	(202)	202)
	OUTBOX	( (	( [	) ) Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Va Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Va Value Va Value Va Value Va Value Va Value Va Va Value Va Va Va Va Va Va Va Va Va Va Va Va Va
		Z	Ξ	<u>2</u>
		202	202	707
	12, Fri	18-26 28, Fri	78-30 50,	
	2016-08-26 2015-112, Fi	2016-08-26 2052:28, Ft	2016-08-30 09:44:50, Tue	2016-08-30 09:45:20, Tue

	·		(202)	[["modified":14725503 45614, "uniqueid":804, ": firstName": "Pater", "las tName": "P. 18. 202 nizations": [], "numbers" ; "202			
2016-08-30 09:45:44, Tue	202	хоялло		,"emails'	called him and told him he would be sending a letter.	sms	
			(202)	[{"modified":4725503 77291, "uniqueld":804," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. [ Strzok", "notes":]], "orga nizations":[], "unmbers - *0703			
2016-08-30 09:46:15, Tue	202	INBOX		"emails":	Trying isn't enough! \n\nOr rather, it may be, but I'm not content with trying. \n\n\nSeriously. I'm walking over and paying for it today. You need to tell mg a time.	sms	
2016-08-30 09:46:29,			(202)	[{"modified".14725503 90383, "uniqueld";804," firstName"."Peter", "las tName"."P.   Strzok", "notes".[],"orga nizations".[],"numbers" ;["202			
Tie	202	XOBNI			Bill didn't mention it \U0001f612	sms	
			(202)	[f"modified":14725507 17103, uniqueld":804, firstName", "peter", "jas tName", p. [l Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["202	- B 10 10 =		
2016-08-30 09:51:55, Tue	202	N BOX		*emalls";	And holy cow, let me send you the Reid letter!	Sms	

				[{"modified":14726140 37482,"uniqueid":804,"		
			(202)	202	Did you ever look at this? It's incredibly powerful, And really,	
2016-08-31 03:27:14, Wed	202	OUTBOX		j,"emalls":	really depressing. Nn/nAt Least 1.10 Republican Leaders Won/u2019t Vote for Donald Trump. Here/u2019s When They Reached Their Breaking Point. http://nyti.ms/2btNAbb  sr	SMS
			(202)	11 mouned		
2016-08-31 11:37:05, Wed	202	оптвох		Strzok", "notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202]	Strzok", "notes":[]," orga nizetions." []," orga nizetions." []," numbers". Re the case, Jim Baker honks you should have it. But l'm sure :["202"] (202) andy would defer to bill. I won't mention.	sms
2M6.08.31			(202)	"uniquei me": "Pet ': "P. II		
11:39:54, Wed	202	INBOX		strzok , notes :[J, orga nizations":[J, numbers" :["202]	JS	sms
2016-09-03 14:28:55, 5at		INBOX	(202) ;202	[{"modified":14729129 36384,"Uniqueid":804," firstName"."Peter","las tName"."P.   SYZOK","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"umbers" :["202("mails":	I've been busy distracting myself, waiting on N to get up, not wanting to spin, reading the Federalist Papers looking for a great foreign influence quote. Yeah, I'm a nerd.	sms
			(202)	skied":14" "uniqueic nme":"Pet ";"P. II ","notes":"		
2016-09-12 11:25:13, Mon	202	INBOX		"emails":	Npr. says Trump hotel opens today. It doesn't look ready	sms

SES SES	STTR 8	sms	, sms
That's one place I hope I never stay in.	Agreed. Hope it fails harribly, it wont, but still.	Did you read this? It's scathing. And I'm scared.\n\nWhy Donald Trump Should Not Be President http://nyti.ms/2dbQpuR	Man, I should have started drinking earlier. I'm genuinely stressed about the debate.
[{"modified":14736795 77884,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"peter","las tName":"P. II \$trzok","inctes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202	[{"modffled":14736797 64099,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. !! Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":],"numbers" :["202	[f"modified":14749368 25368, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"Peter", "las trzok", "notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202] :["202] "(202)	[t"modified"::4749368 44910, 'uniqueid':894," frstName"."Peter", "las tName":"P. Il Strzok", "notes":[], "organizations":[], "unmbers":["2022":"], "(202)":"emails":
(202)		(202)	(202)
	(202)		
олвох	NBOX	OUTBOX	ОСТВОХ
202	202	202	
·			
2016-09-12 11:26:16, Mon	2016-09-12 11:29:23, Mon	2016-09-27 00:40:23, Tue	2016-09-27 00:40:43, Tue

		,	
:			
			· ·
sms	sms.	sms	
n? Why		ve to	Маубе
Scussio		id l'd ha	er jobs?
b s'yeb		that, an	nto oth
t yester		out of	intree is
on abou	,	nat   get	Better e
questic t DoJ. N	!	:see wh	ctions.
k you a	tical?	. i don't	Count
Can I ask you a question about yesterday's discussion? Why	Too poittical?	No way. I don't see what I get out of that, and I'd have to deal with all the political BS.	Political connections. Better entree into other jobs? Maybe
	id":804,* ter", 'las ter", 'las umbers" [,''(202)		
iffied":1474 "uniqueid" sme";"Pete s";"P, 11 ","notes":[],"nun ins":[],"emails":	iffled":1477 "unqueid" me","Pete ","P. II" ","notes":[],"nun ","emalis":[	lified":1477 "unqueid" me";"Pete ";"P. II ","P. II "s"motes";[ "s","emails"; "emails";	jiffed":1477 "uniqueid" "me":"Pete ","notes":[" ","notes":[" ","emails":
[{"modified":14749726 75375,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"peter","las firstName":"peter","las Strzok", "notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202]	(f"modified":14749726 94689,"uniqueid":804,° firstName"."Peter","las tName"."P. II.,"orga nizations"."(),"numbers" :("202	[["modified":14749727 85351, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. !! Skrzok", "notes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"mmbers" :["202 ],"emails":	(l'modified":14749728 34476,"uniqueid":804," firstName."!'Peter","las ttName.".". II. Strzok", "notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers": ["202] ["202] ["202]
	FF 94 Tr	202	Str tin 15 Str
		(2022)	
202	202	ļ	(202)
		×	
INBOX	NBOX	OUTBOX	X C B N
_			
2	21		2
	. 202	20.2	Š
	2	·	
2016-09-27 10:37:52, Tue	2016-09-27 10:38:13, Tue	2016-09-27 2016-09-27 10:39:43, Tue	2016-09-27 10:40:32,
2016 10:37 Tue	2016 10:3: Tue	2016 2036 103	2016 10:40

	·		
<b>V</b>			2
phic sms	end ais all	are. sms	· Es
And suddenly i'm realizing, they're like Trump demographic		Please don't, I really don't want to know whet is out there.	,
ike Trump	et jes*s l ıg. The lib know, Trı	now whal	
, they're I	\nOh swe een sendir ause, you	want to k	
n realizing		sally don't	is crazy, btw
And suddenly i'm realizing, they're th	Uke Wat I [!!!!!!!\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	don't. J re	is crai
[{"modified":14751114 30732,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok", "notese"[], "orga 202 nizations":[], "numbers" :["202 ","(202)	("modified":14751114 30837, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"Peter", "las Strzok", "notes":[], "unibers" nizations":[], "numbers" :["2024	[("modified":14751115 00556,"uniqueld":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strokk","notes":[],"orga 202 nizations':[],"numbers" [:"202 ","[],"numbers"	[["modified":1475.1116 27478, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"peter", "las tName":"p. II Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "1202] ["202] "emails":
(f"modified":: 30732, "uniqu firstName":P tName":P: Strzok", "note nizations":[], -["202	("modified": "unique"; "unique"; "unique"; "p. Il	("modified":: 00556, "unique": firstName":"P. II tName":"P. II tT202. :["202.	[["modified": 27478, "uniqu firstName"."P. II Strzok", "note nizations":[]." ["202
(202) St 202 in 202 in 1	= # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	202) 11 202 12 13 14 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	
2	202)	<u> </u>	(202)
XQ		XXX	
OUTBOX	INBOX	OUTBOX	NBOX
202	202	202	202
2016-09-29 01:10:28, Thu	2016-09-29 01:10:29, Thu	2016-09-29 01:11:38,	2016-09-29 Ozza:46, Thu
2016 01:10	2016 01:11 Thu	2016 01:13	201 0113

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
SM	П.	
		·
He's a R		
baffling.		
Varts in		
affiliatio		
s politica		
know. Hj	Knowi	
	51118 ":804," r", "las "," orga nhers" (202)	7551119 1":804," er","las [],"orga mbers" ,"(202)
fled":147 uniqueld ne":"Pete ""p. II "notes":  s":[[,"nui	fied":147 uniqueid ne";"Pete ;"P. II "notes";[ s":[],"nu	14 14 15 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14
[["modi 68092," firstNan firstNane" Strzok", nizatlor :["202	[{"modi: 97651," firstNan thame" Strzok", nization :["202	(f"modified": 10415,"uniqu": firstName":"P. II strzok","note nizations":[j," :["202
202)		
	202	202
OOTA MATO	INBOX	
202	20.	
•		Φ.
2016-09-29 01:17:46, Thu	2016-09-25 01:18:16,	2016-09-29 01:18:29,
	(202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202) (202)	

, ,	202	NBOX	(202) 202	开 4	[("modified":14751119 48073, "uniqueid":804," ffirstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. [! Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["202	You're very persuasive. \n\nWe'll have to stay here as my IPhone is apparently syncing and downloading for the rest of the night \U0001f612	
	202	XOSIN	202)		("modified":14751140 09697, uniqueid":804, " firstName":Peter", "las Name": "p. II Strzok": "p. II "orga nizations": [,"numbers' :["202		
	202	ООТВОХ	2	(202) St (202)		So, 1 think \U00001628	
	202	INBOX	(202)	Z	If modified".14757959 27018, "uniqueid".804," firstName": "Peter", "las tName": "P. II. Strzok", "notes".[], "orga nizations".[], "numbers" ["202] "[202]	אוסי איז שוחן איז	

		_						
OUTBOX			(202) 11 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	[{"modifiled":14757960 19053, "uniqueid":804, " firstName": "Peter", "ias tName": "P. 11 Strzok", "notes": [], "orga nizations": [], "numbers" \ !["202	[f"modified":14757960 19053,"uniqueid":804," firstName"."Peter","las tName"."P. 11 Strzok", "notes". ],"orga [202 nizations". ],"numbers" We got a list of kids with their parents' names, How many :["202 nizations". ],"numbers" We got a list of kids with their parents' names, How many :["202 nizations".]], "numbers" We got a list of kids with their parents' names, How many :["202 nizations".]], "numbers" we got a list of kids with their parents' names, How many :["202 nizations".]], "numbers" but a list of kids with their parents' names, How many :["202 nizations".]], "emails". "Epotrate I list of kids with their parents' names, How many :["202 nizations".]], "emails". "Epotrate I list of kids with their parents' names, How many :["202 nizations".]], "emails". "Epotrate I list of kids with their parents' names, How many :["202 nizations".]], "emails". "Epotrate I list of kids with their parents' names, How many :["202 nizations".]], "emails". "Epotrate I list of kids with their parents' names, How many :["202 nizations".]], "emails". "Epotrate I list of kids with their parents' names, How many :["202 nizations".]], "emails". "Epotrate I list of kids with their parents' names, How many :["202 nizations".]], "emails". "Epotrate I list of kids with their parents' names, How many :["202 nizations".]], "epotrate I list of kids with their parents' names, How many :["202 nizations".]], "epotrate I list of kids with their parents' names, How many :["202 nizations".]], "epotrate I list of kids with their parents' names, "epotrate I list of kids with their parents' names, "epotrate I list of kids with their parents' names, "epotrate I list of kids with their parents' names, "epotrate I list of kids with their parents' names, "epotrate I list of kids with their parents' names, "epotrate I list of kids with their parents' names, "epotrate I list of kids with their parents' names, "epotrate I list of kids with their parents' names, "epotrate I list of kids with their parents' names, "epotrate I list of kids with their parent	Sms		·
20 54 15		2516	(202 c) r) (100 c) (10	[["modified":14757960 69964,"uniqueid":804," firstName"."Peter","las tName"."P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers" [202]				
V 100	i .	(202)	との年 中 万 百 元	";804," er", "las l], "orga mbers" "(202)	Found what I think might be their address too.	sus:		
INBOX			-	,"emails":	He's TOTALLY schlubby! Dont you remember?	sms	***************************************	
		8	(202)	[{"modified":14757961 52840,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers" :["202	,			
OUTBOX					Wife is Found address looking for her. Lawyer.	sws		

sms	sms	ສະເມຣ	sms
	Good on him, he started writing		
	n him, he		ds for
	o poog		noonie.
	per.	thiok.	k up If it i
දු	Thay met at at at the school paper.		3 Just have to look up if it is inbounds for
Address?		od = 10	Just ha
[{"modified":14757962 49928,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"peter", lla Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" ri"202	[("modified":14757962 76810, "uniqueld":804," ffrstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. II Stzok", "notes":[]," orga 202 nizations":[]," numbers" :["202 mizations":[]," numbers": :["202 mizations":[]," numbers":[]	[{"modified":14757962 99437,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"peter","las tName":"p. il Strzok","notes!:[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202	[f"modified":14757964 02856,"uniqueid":804," ffrstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers :["202]
[l'modified":147 43928, "uniqueid firstName": Pete tName": P. Il Strok", "notes":[], "nu nizations":[], "nu :["202	(f'modified":1475 76810, "uniqueld" ffrstName": "Peter tName": "D. Strzok", "notes":]] ffr202 fframe":], "emails":[]	[{modified":1473 99437,"uniqueid" ffrstName":"Pete tName":"C."] Strzok", 'notes":[] nizazion":[],"nun i?202	[!"modified":14 02856, uniquei firstName": Per tName": Per ixzeton": [], "n rizations": [], "n :["202
[{"mod 43928, firstNai tName, Strzok" nizatioi :["202	02	202	202
	(202)	(202)	(202)
(202)			
INBOX	OUTBOX	OUTBOX	OUTBOX
Ž	<u></u> 5	<u> </u>	Ō
2	্র	202	202
202	202	8	35
			5.
2016-10-05 23:24:01, Thu	2016-10-06 23:24:34, Thu	2016-10-06 23:24:58, Thu	2016-10-06 23:26:39, Thu
2016-10-C 23:24:01, Thu	2016-10-0 23:24:34, Thu	2016-10-0 23:24:58, Thu	2016 23:20 Thu

sms	SER	t. Sms	SES
i wouldn't search on your work phoneno idea what that might trigger in shop;]	Oops. Too late,	Yeah and I made the mistake of reading some stupid NY Post artide about how agents are ready to revolt against D Decrause of MYnow I'm really angry	There are a bunch of really ignorant people out there blinded by their politics
	757964 d*:804," eet*,"las .:[],"orga .mbers" ;"(202)		
[{"modified":14757964 02938, 'uniqueid':804," firstName":"peter", "las tName":"peter", "las STroxk," notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" ;["202]	["modified":14757964 52727, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. tl 5trzok","notes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers" :["202	(l'modified":14758345 54849, "uniqueid":804, " firstName":"Peter", "Jas tName":"P. [], "orga strok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["202] "[202]	[{"modified":14758346 14778, "uniqueid":804, " firstName":"Peter", "las firstName":"P. II Strzok": [], "numbers": imzations": [], "numbers": i,"emails"
	202,		
(202)		(202)	(202)
	ховіло		
NBO NBO		S COR	INBOX
202	202	202	20;
2016-10-06 23:26:41, Thu	2016-10-06 23-27:31, Thu	2016-10-07 10:02:33, Fri	2016-10-07 10:03:33, Fri

٠.

,			
sms	sms	sms	
You can't read that sh*t. And honestly, let them. The bu would be better off without them.		religion, can	
d honestly, let		Sadly reminds me how deeply politics, like religion, can sometimes blind objectivity.	,
You can't read that sh*t. And hone would be better off without them.		Sadly reminds me how deep	
n't read th	are.	eminds m	
	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S		
[{"modified":14758346 37703, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"eser", "las fixstName":"peter", "las fixok", "notes":[], "orga 202 nizations":[], "numbers" :["202 "(202)"	[{"modified":14758346 44319,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Pseter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"aumbers" "202.	(l'modified":14758346 80120, "uniqueid":804, " firstName":"Peter", "jas Strzok", "potes":[], "orga nizations":[], "umbers" :["202	(l'modified":14758346 80207, "uniqueid":804, " firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. I strok!, "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" ('202)
[f'mod 37703, firstNan tName' (202) Strzok'' 202 nization ("202		(f'moc 80120, 80120, firstNa tName Str20k nization :["202]	[{"mod 80207, firstNa firstNa tName trzok' nizatlo ('202');
9	8.	(202	(202)
ОИТВОХ	ООТВОХ	NBOX	
202	202	202	
2016-10-07 10:03:56, Fri	2016-10-07 10:04:02, Fri	2016-10-07 10:04:37, Fri	2016-10-07

		-	-				-
		202)	# 25 K # 25 F	[{"modified":14760626 37553,"uniqueid":804," firstVame":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[],"onga nizations":[],"inumbers" "(202)			
=	INBOX			'emails	Trump saying agents at FBI are furious at the MYE outcome and he's getting a special prosecutor.	St	***
		(202)	202	[{"modified":1476241,4 99223, "uniqueid":804,1 firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. !! strzok": notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers": ["202			
	ООТВОХ			emalls":	Hot damn. Big news day.\n\nBuffett Calls Trump\u2019s Bluff and Releases His Tax Data http://nyti.ms/2d5iOM5 sms	50	
	XOATHO	(202)	202	[{"modified":1476242.1 97719, "uniqueid":804, " firstName":"Peter", "ias tName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[], "numbers" ["202 nizations":[], "numbers" ["202 emails":	Wow, more forceful than I have seen him. Wonder what would say about it. In\nDonald Trump\u2019s Sad, Isonely Life http://rytt.ms/2dTC2x9	2	
	оствох	לגכ	16 (202) 10 (202) 11 (10 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202) 11 (202)	(l'modified":14764056 29904,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","ias tName":"P. II Strzok","notes".[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202	Not sure why I thought this was so neat. Suppose it's just he law nerd in me.\n\nThe Times\u2019s Lawyer Responds 'to Donald Trump http://nyti.ms/2e0WNza	sms	

	•			
,				,
: :				
Sws			501 101	yau
		Silo.		, G
[("modified":14764056 30136, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P."  202 nizations":[], "unmbers" 604, she's an incredibly impressive woman. The Obamas in 202 nizations":[], "numbers" 604, she's an incredibly impressive woman. The Obamas in 202 nizations":[], "unmbers" 7,"(202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7," (202) 7,"		Ugh. More of the same.\n\nDonald Trump, 3iipping in Polls. Warns of \u2018\$tolen Election\u2019		Nope. Full of dog whistles too: "We do not want this election stolen from us. Everybody knows what I'm talking about."
an. The ade mis \(\bar{\pi}\)\n\n\o \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		np, Sipi		ot wani'm talkii
ve wom ainly m sident.		ald Trun u2019		Ve do n swhat t'
npressiv my pre my pre mp Can		\nDone		too; "V / knows
edibly ir nile he b him as albs Trus		same.\n	X	whistles erybody Iv even
an increally. When a company of the		of the s	nez/sw	of dog v
God, she's an incredibly impressive woman. The Obs general, really. While he has certainly made mistake proud to have had him as my president. \n\n\volice k Michelle Obama Calls Trump Comments on Women \u2018Intolerable\u2019 http://nyti.ms/2e0MtqY		Ugh. More of the same.\n\nDonald Tru Warns of \u2018stolen Election\u2019	mtp://nyt.ms/zeu/mx	Nope. Full of dog whistles too: "We do no stolen from us. Everybody knows what l'n The racism is barely even veiled ammore.
756 194," 188 188 Go 172) geer Min	700,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000			
[("modified":14764056 30136, "uniqueid":804," firstName": "Peter", "las ttName": "P. II Strzok", "notes": []," orga nizations": []," numbers" :["202]	[f"modified":14764060 89208,"uniqueid":804," firstName"."Peter", "las tName":"P. I Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" i"202	'emails":  (20 modified":1476406089 20 432,"uniqueid":892,"fir stName":"jon","lastNa 202 me":"Moffa","notes":[],"num hers":["[202]	"modified":14754062   86385, "uniqueid":204, "   firstName":"Peter", "jas   tName":"P.      Strzok", "notes":[], "orga   nizatigns":   "numbers"   "202	
Il"modified":14. 30136, "uniqueic firstName":"Pet trName":"P. II Strzok", notes": nizations":[], 'nu :["202	[{"modified":: 89208,"uniqu firstName";"p. II tName";"p. II Strzok","note nizations":[].	emails modified":147 432,"uniqueid' stName":"Moffa"," ne":"Moffa"," "organizations hers":["[202]	[{"modified"; 86385,"uniqu first386,"uniqu first380,"p. II Strzok", note: nizations";II."	], 'ernails''; modified'':14764 442, 'uniqueid':8 stYamei':'Jon', '' organizations''; "organizations''; "(202)
[["mod 30136, firstNa tName Strzok' 2 nizatio	[{"mod 89208, firstNa firstNa tName Strzok" nizatio ;["202	20 mod 20 432, 20 432, 202 me".	[{"mor 86385 firstNa firstNa tName Strzok nizatic :["204	
202)		(202)		(202)
×			<u> </u>	×
OUTBOX			Š Š	QUTBOX
121			SI.	
20		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3	202
7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7		41.	<u>.</u>	7.14 5. Fri
2016-10-14 00:40:28, Fti		2016-10-14	The Street of th	2016-10-14 00:51:26, Fri

mms	SE 55	sms
The Roger Stone comments are scary as sh*t.	Roger Stone is horrible.	
f("modified":14764064 32962, "uniqueid":804, "las firstName":"Peter", "las fractions":[], "numbers" il"202 "ernails" "moditied":1476405433 020, "uniqueid":892,"fir stName":"Jon", "lastNa me":"Moffa," "notes":[]," "organizations":[],"num bers":["(202) "granizations":[],"num bers":["(202)	If"modified":14764068 60248, "uniqueid":804, " firstName":"P. 11 Strzok", "notes":  ,"orga nizations":  ,"numbers",  "202, " "emails":  modified":1476406860 298, "uniqueid":892,"fr stName":"Jon", "lasstNa me":"Moffa", "notes":  ," "organizations":  ,"num bers":  ("(202))	[["modified":14764947] 84621, "uniqueld":804," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. II Stzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "unmbers" ["202] 'emails":
(202) 201 201 201 202	72. 02. 02.	22
	(202) (20) 2)	(202)
OUTBOX	INBOX	INBOX
20:		
2016-10-14 00:53:52, Fri	2016-10-14 01:00:59, Fri	2016-10-15 01:26:22, Sat

				-			-	
			) Cap ca	<u> </u>	[["modified":14765667 51121,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II			
			202	n c tt	Strzok , "notes 'll," orga nizations":(), "numbers" :["202			
2016-10-15 21:25:48, Sat	202	INBOX			"emails"	That didn't take long \\U000016621	sms	
,			<u> </u>	16 99 99 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	[["modified":14765568 92312,"uniqueid":804," ffrstName":"Peter","las tName:"P. II 5trzok", 'notes".[],"orga 202 nizations".[],"numbers" :1"202			
2016-10-15 21:28:10, Sat	202	OUTBOX			"emails":	At least we made the f-ers work on the weekend	sms	
			(202)		iffed":1476 "uniqueid" me":"Pete: f;"P. II ;"notes":[]			
2016-10-15 21:30:09, Sat	202	INBOX			(202) (202)	Uh, and, yeah - like they're doing to us.\n\ni HATE this case. \n\nAnd a LOT to tell you about my conyo with JG	SMS	
			<u> </u>	202 P 5 202 P	If "modified": 14765690 67977, "uniqueid": 804, " firstName!": Peter!", ilas tName!": P. I. Strzok", "notes": [], "orga 202 nizations": [], "numbers"			
2016-10-15 22:04:26, Sat	202	оствох			'emails	Very nice work on that initial statement. Maybe we can talk tomorrow re JG.	sms	

	TO THE STATE OF TH
	E
l'm seríausiy thinking one of us needs to frost election night	,
host el	
needs to	·
,	
iking on	
usiy thir	<u>a</u>
I'm serio	nominate
iffica":,14757, "uniqueid":,8 ime":,Peter", "r"P., II ","notes":[],"numb "r:"],"numb "r:"]	fied":147674 ne":"Peter";" "inotes":[]" ":"i],"numbh is":[],"numbh is":[
Il"modified":44767487 03051, "uniqueid":304, " firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. 11 Strzok", "notes":[]," orga nizations":[]," numbers": ["202] ["emails": ["emails": ["andueid":892, "fir stName":"Jor", "lastNa me":"Moffa", "notes":[]," num bers":["[202]	
765 X X 2 4	(20 202
202 202	(202)
(202) 20 21 21 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	
·	ВОХ
XC	OUTBOX
230	
<del></del>	
2016-10-17 23:58:22, Man	2016-10-18 00:00:22, Tue
2016-5 23-58-58-8	2016-10-18 00:00:22, Tue

тт	en en
I'll probably have to write talking points (likely for CyD) so I won't be able to make it.	You don't have to write talking points for Cyber if they don't tell you about the tasking!
[("modified":14767489 55524,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"Peter","las tName":"Peter","las ilazotors:"[],"orga nizators:"[],"orga nizators:"[],"orga nizators:"[],"orga ilazotors:"[],"orga	(("moothfeel":1476749.1 39291,"uniqueid":804," ffrstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :['202] (202) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (203) (
750 207 207	(202)
(202)	-
NBOX	OUTBOX
202	
2016-10-18 00:02:35, Tue	2016-10-18 00:05:39, Tue

•			
mms	SUS	sms	sms
CyD prepping for election day on November 35th	rou see Tirn Cook made the list of potential MRC running	big list, but still, he was on there. From Podesta	(f"modified":14768822 ffirstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strack", "notes":[]," orga nizations":[]," numbers" (2me up with election night plan - we should all hit HH il"202
(["modified";14767505 27678."uniqueid":804," firstName";"Petter","las, tName";"P. II 5trzok", "notes";"J. "orga nizations"; I], "numbers" ("202 ","emails"; ","ema	fl"modified":14768709 28349,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las strock","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbars il"202 cemalis":[	[f"modified":14768710 70223,"uniquaid":804," ffrstName":"peter","las tName!:"p. II Strook", 'notes":[j", orga nizations":[j", numbers" il"202] "emails":[	("modified":14768822 61564,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" ("202)
(202)	(202)	(202)	(202)
NBOX	XOHN	NBOX	XOBNI
1002 IN	202		202
N			
2016-10-18 00:28:47, Tue	2016-10-19 09:55:26, Wed	2016-10-19 09:57:48, Wed	2016-10-19 13:04:19 Wed

e a sms	R Sms	k. sms	SITIS
l am riled up. Trump ls a fucking kilot, is unable to provide a coherent answer.	I CAN'T PULL AWAY, WHAT THE FUCK HAPPENED TO OUR	l don't knew. But we'll get it back. We're America. We rock.	
Mot, 1s un:	FUCK HAPF	k. Wete Ar	\u\n\\\\n\\u\n\\n\\n\\n\\\n\\\\\n\\\\\\\\\\\\\
s a fucking	WHAT THE	ll get it bac	hombres".
p. Trump k	IL AWAY, V	w. But we'	t said "bad
l am riled up. Trui coherent answer.	I CAN'T PU	J don't kne	Donald just said "bad hombres", אירוי ויירים
14769261 esid":804," eter", "las s*:[], "orga numbers" , "(202)	14769265 eid":804,* eter", las erer", las 'r': ], lorga 'numbers' "(202)	14769256 reid":804," Peter", las se":[], orga mumbers" "(202)	14769269 Jeid":804," Peter", "las ss":[], "orga "numbers" "(202)
(l'modified":14769261 46064, "uniqueid":804, " firstName", "Peter", "las tName", "P. II Strzok", "notes":[], "numbers" rizatlons":[], "numbers" :[*202	("modified":14769265 56535,"uniqueid":204, " firstName":"Peter", flas tName":"P. II Strok", "notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"umbers" :["202]	[["modified":14769256 51270, "uniqueid":804," firstName": Peter", "las tName": P. II Strzok", "notes":[], "orga 202 nizations":[], "numbers" :["202 "["202]" "(202]	("modfled":14769269 20673,"uniqueid":804," flistName":"peter","las tName":"p. ll Strzok", "notes": ], "orga nizations": "   "202
246206#	25	202	
202,	(202)		202
XOBNI	XOBN	OUTBOX	INBOX
202	202	202	202
2016-10-20 01:15:44, Thu	2016-16-20 01:22:36, Thu	2016-10-20 01:24:19, Thu	2016-10-20 01:28:22,

				[{"modified":14769270 09539,"uniqueid":304," firstName":"Peter","las			
ANE AD SO			(202)	strzok", notes":[] "orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["202			
	202	INBOX		"emails"	Chris Wallace is a turd	sms	
			(202)	[{"modified";14769270 09658,"uniqueid":804," firstName","Peter","Bx tName";"P. II Strzok","noxes":[],"orga			
2016-10-20 01:30:02, Thu	202	NBOX	202	nzations*:[f,*numbers*: :["202 [202] "emails"	Hilary: Russia and Wikiteaks and highest levels of Russian Government and Puthalil (n\nDrinklill	. sus	
			(202)	[["modified":14769271 61622,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","Iss tName":"P. II Strzok", notes:[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers"	·		
2016-10-20 01:32:40, Thu	202	INBOX			Oh hot damn, HRC is throwing down saying Trump in bed with russia	sms	
		·	(202)	[["modified":14769282 51695, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","les tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizatione":[],"numbere			
2015-10-20 01:50:50, Thu	202	INBOX		:["202] (202) 'emails";	She could do SO MUCH BETTER \n\nBut she's just not getting traction. \n\nDextruction.\n\nDextruction.\n\nDextruction.\n\nDextruction.\n\nDextruction.\n\nDextruction.\n\nDextruction.\n\nDextruction.\n\nDextruction.\n\nDextruction.\n\nDextruction.\n\nDextruction.\n\n\nDextruction.\n\n\nDextruction.\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\	SUS	

2016-10-20 02:02:43, Thu	202	INBOX	(202		["modified":14759289 65079,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"peter","[as tName":"p. II Strzok!,"notes":[],"orga rizations":[],"numbers" :["202:	Maybe. I have to watch this. \n\nAnd i'm so darm mad	Sms	
2016-10-20 02:12:37, Thu		INBCX	(202)		[["modfiled":14769295 58602, "uniqueid":804," firstName.","eter","las tName.","p. (toga Strock,","notes:"[],"orga nizations:"[],"numbers" (["202]" (202)	Trump just said what the fbi did is disgraceful,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
2016-10-20 09:56:27, Thu	202	INBOX	202		(l"modified";14769573 89790, "uniqueid":804, " firstName";Peter", "las tName";P. II Strock", "ootes":[],"numbers" nizations":[],"numbers" :["202	H. Watching the pust-debate commentary. Vaguely satisfying to see Megyn Kelley (who had botox and looks HORRIBLE) utterly going after Irump.	Subs	
2016-10-24 00:00:15, Mon		ОЛТВОХ		202	[("modified",14772672 17767, "uniqueid",804," firstName","Peter","las tName","P. II Strzok", "notes",[],"orga 202 nizations",[],"numbers" :["202	Articie is out, but hidden behind paywaii so can't read it.	Sms	

2016-10-24 00:02:22, Mon	202	XOBNI	(202)	〒4年 \$ 2 年 A	(l'modified':14772673 44520, uniqueid':804," firstName":"Peter","las tVame":"P. il fiztlons":[], "numbers" il'202 'emalis":[	Wsj? Boy, that was fast.\n\nNo word from Should i	22	
2016-10-24 00:03:38, Mon	20,	ООТВОХ	8	(202) 13	[{"modified":14772674 20072,"uniqueid":804," firstName.".Peter","las tName."P. II \$track!,"notes":[],"orga contrations":[],"aumbers": [*202]	No, I think not. Maybe he didn't get a chance, ordecided not to say anything until tomorrow.	12	
2016-10-24 00:04:04, Mon	202	INBOX	(202)	74 t 2 % T 11	fl"modified":14772674 46757, "uniqueid":804," flrstName"; "Peter", "las flrstName"; "P. !  Strzok", "notes"; !], "orga rizatiens"; !], "numbers" :["202] "emails": [		Sm8	
2016-10-24 00:04:52, Mon	202	coursex		(202)		. ટેમાર	sms	

2016-10-24 00:04:59, Mon	202	INBOX	202)		[{"modified":1472675 0133,"uniqueid":804," (fistName";"Peter", "las tName":9, II Strzok", "notes"[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["202]	The enticle is not behind a paywalflohnWe get several hours of warning about every other email, but not this, arguably more important than most	sus	
2016-10-24 00:05:30, Mon	202	OUTBOX	<u> </u>	(202) s 202; n 1;	[("modified",14772675 91729,"uniqueid",804," firstName","Peter","las tName","P. !! St.zok!,"notes":[],"orga :["202," :["202]	Jesu: hen fine, Send it to everyone you know. Or I can not tell you about it at all and you can just come across it given all the time you spend reading the Journal.	- -	
2016-10-24 90:06:50, Mon	707	ООТВОХ		(202)	If"modified":14772676 13378, "uniqueid":804," firstName!":Petar","las tName.".P. II Sirzok!,"notes*![],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :"20.	What difference does it make to send it to the team Sunday	sus	
2016-10-24 00:07:37, Mon	202	хоягло	9	202	[("modified":14772676 59418,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","Jas tName":"P. I. Strzck","rotes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers": :["202]	Thanks dude. Appreciate it. \U00001621	. Sins	

				<u>*</u>	I("modified":14772676			
2016-10-24			(202)	: O E D O E T	97679,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizalians":[],"numbers" :["202]			
00:08:15, Mon	202	INBOX			"emails":	Or I can get it like I do every other article that hits my Google news alert. Seriously.	stns	
				202) E			·	
2016-10-24 00:09:00, Man	202	OUTBOX			,"emails";	Send me the Google alert.	sms	
2016-10-24 00:10:31, Mon		· ·	(202)	— m = = v = <del>n</del> = -	fl'modified":14772678 33336,"uniqueid":8D4," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. I. Strzok", "not.8":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" ;["202] "emaiis":[	Give me a break. Go kook at EVERY article I've sent the team.  In NG ount them. \n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n	Sme	
			(202)	<u> </u>	[("modified":14772679 39206, "uniqueld":804," ffrstName": "Peter","las tName": "P. II Strok", "notes":[]," orga mizations":[], "numbers"			
2016-10-24 Ob:12:17, Mon	202	INBOX			"emails";[	I really cannot believe you're taking this position and it angers me. I'm going to hope your anger about Retting dragged into this is clouding things.	sms	

								Marian Marian
2016-10-24 00:12:28, Mon		OUTEOX	,	202,	["modified":14772679 49525,"uniqueid":804," flrstName":"Peter","ibs flrstNeme":"Peter","ibs flrstoek":"," incres":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers: il"202 ;"emails":[	[["modified":14772679 49525,"uniqueid":804," [IrstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok","nortes":[],"numbers"   IAM being fair about this.   asked you not to.   don't care cool nications":[],"numbers"   IAM being fair about this.   asked you not to.   don't care il"202   nications":[], "humbers"   IAM being fair about this.   asked you not to.   don't care cool nications":[], "humbers"   IAM being fair about this.   asked you not to.   don't care il"202   ithat	Sms	
2016-10-25 00:46:57, Tue	202	OUTBOX		(202)	[{"modifiad":14773554 19838,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P, II Strok","notes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"unmbers" ["202]	i hate this case. \UGOO1f621	·	
2016-10-25 00:52:31, Tue	202	INBOX	(202)		("modified":14773557 53464,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. II Strzdk", "nofas":[]."orga rizations":[]."numbers" :["202	Why? What happened?	sms	
2015-10-25 00:54:08, Tue	202	ООТВОХ		(202)	["modified".14773568   49764, "uniqueld".804, "   firstName"."Peter", "las   tName"."P.    Strzck", "notes".[], "orga   contrations".[], "numbers"   ("202)    "emails".[]	Nothing more, Just all of It,	sms	

,	•		
sms	sms	sus	sms
l asked and to meat tomorrow morning. Phase let		Christ. Make sure you scroll down and read that guy's comment about the polls.\n\nDonald Trump Dismisses Latest Accuser.\v2018Ch, \n2019m Sure She\u2019s	Let's talk about this later.\n\n\u2018We Need to Clean This Up\u2019: Clinton Aide\u2019s Newiy Public Email Shows Concern http://nyt.ms/2d66zal
[{"modified":14773569 (44370, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"P. tName":"P. \$1726k, "notes":[],"orga 1,"202 :["202] "emeils":["   1 a	[("modified".14773571 97782,"uniqueid".304," firstName"."Peter","las strack","notes";[],"orga strack","notes";[],"orga strack","notes";[],"numbers" :["202.""[[202]	[{"modified".14773903 08623,"uniqueid".804," firstName","Peter", las tName"."P, II Strzok","notes",!],"ouga 202   nisations",!],"numbers" :["202   "[202] CI emails",!"	[I"modified":14774819 42525, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[],"orga 02 nizations":[],"numbers" :["202 "["202] :["202 "emails":[" UJ
(202)		(202)	(202)
	202		-
OUTBOX	(C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	оотвох	XOSTUO
Ö	<u> </u>	Q	
202	202	202	202
,			
2016-10-25 00:55:02, Tue	2016-10-25 00:59:55, Tue	2016-10-25 10:11:46, Tue	2016-10-26 11:39:00, Wed

	SEE: SEE:	STATS	<b></b> -
	Nice!!!	Hit piece on Andy from VA GOP in Hampton newspaper	
[{"modified":14774866 95907,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Feter","las tName":"F. II Strzok", "notes":[]"orga 102 nhzations":[],"numbers" 1:["202 [202]	[{"modified":14774871 99140, "uniqueid":804, " firstName", "Peter", "las tName", "p. II Strack", "notes":[]", "orga nizations":[], "numbers": ;["202] "emails":	[{"modified":14774872 08331, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","]as tName":"P. !! Strzok,"notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["202	(("modified":14774876 29511, "miqueid":804," firstName":"Peter", "Jac
(202)	202	(202)	
20		XÖBNI	112
·VE	202	202	
2016-10-26 12:58:14,	2016-10-26 13:06:37, Wed	2015-10-26 13:06:46, Wed	

	,		
- Ses	sms	sms	sms
18267  "BD4,"  "'"las  I."orga  hostog - one of the Podesta emails talks about him  "(202) Interesting - one of the Podesta emails talks about him  hosting Peter Kadzik at his house for dinner in Oct, 2015.  And in May, 2015, Kadzik's son asked Podesta for a job on the campaign.	Not announced, but they all know. Calls actually happened yesterday. NyhAnd I feel (hopefully don't hok as old) like Keith Richards. In oiting via call? There's nothing classified	On with	Hupe it's going well
[t" modified"::14775267 67600, "uniqueid":804," firstName"."Peter", "las fixane":"P. II Strokt", "notes":II, "orga rizations":[j", numbers" :["202" "(202)	"modified":14775282 01330,"uniqueid":804," firstName";"peter","las tName";"p.    Strzok", "nores":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" :["202]	[["modrfied":14776025 40672,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las £Name":"P. II \$trzok","fote.s":[],"orga foz nizations":[],"numbers" :["202	[["modified";24776025 90638,"uniquald";804," firstName";"Peter","135 tName";"P. II Strzok","notes";[],"orga nizations";[],"numbers" ;["202
		(202)	
(202)	(202)		(202)
XOGN	XOBIN	OUTBOX	INBOX
202	202		
2016-10-27 00:06:05, Thu	2016-10-27 00:29:59, Thu	2016-20-27 21:08:58, Thu	2016-10-27 21:09:48, Thu

		,	
	ti di		
	Sms	SWS.	
orob m'	Still on with shone is ON FIRE.	it's on news	
[t"modified":14776032 70110, "uniqueid":804," (firstName":10, 11 Strzok", "notes":[], "orga 202 nizations":[], "numbers" :["202 "(202)] ;"emails"	{"modfiled":14775751 48408, "uniqueid":894," ficstName!"!Peter", "las tName":"P !! Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["202" (202)	[{"modified":14776751, 79843, "uniqueid":804," firstName"; "Peter", "les strzok", "notes":[], "organizations":[], "numbers":[".202]	{"modified":14776757 99869,"uniqueid":804,"  firstName":"Peter","las
(202)	(202 802	202	
ğ	XX	(202	
·	OUTBOX	INBOX	
	. 502		<u>,</u>
2016-10-27 21:23:08, Thu	2016-10-28 17:19:06, Fri	2016-10-28 17:19:38, Fri	

	3 2		
sms	·	to-	B Sms
He knows. He just got handed a note.	Ha. He asking about it now?	Filt   Filt	
[{"modified":1,4776758 18932,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"peter","las tName":"notes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers" :["202. ""],"emails":["	(l'modified":14776760 36676,"uniqueid":804," firsthame":"Peter","las firsthame":"peter","las Strzok","not. II Strzok","neumbers" nizations":[],"neumbers" ["202.	(l'modified":14778354 55458,"uniqueid":804," firstName"."P. II Strzok","nores":[],"ores nizations":[],"numbers" (l"2024 1324- I", emails":["	[("modified":14778357 69535,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"peter","las tName":"P. II krzok", "notes":[], "orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers" :["202 "." ","cmais":
(202)			(202)
<u> </u>	(202)	(202)	The second of th
Ž.			BOX
OUTBOX	XOBNI	INBOX	OUTBOX
202		202	202
			•
2016-10-28 17:30:17, Fri	2016-10-28 17:33:54, Fri	2016-10-30 13:50:53, Sun	2016-10-30 13:56:06, Sun

. Sms		sms		sms		
	Clinton-related emails	BJ agents knew of irector was				
Yep the whole tone is anti Bu. Just a tiny bit from us. And serves him right. He's gonna be pissed	An article to share: FBI agents knew of Clinton-related emails	weeks before director was briefed\nFBI agents knew of Clinton-related emalls weeks before director was briefed\nhttp://wapo.st/2f2EhEO		Okay now I'm getting angry.		ı
Yep the wh	An article t	weeks befo Clinton-rela briefed\nh		Okay now		
{"modified":14778358 47944,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"peter","las tName":"p.    Strzok", "notes":[],"orga nizætions":[],"(202) 1"202	[{"modified":14778484 54009,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. !! Strzok":"notes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers"		[{"modified":14778523 29933,"uniqueid":804," firstName.":Peter","las tName":P. II Strzok", "notes":IL,"orga 202 nizations":IL,"numbers" :["202,","(202)	"emails":{'	ified":1 "unique me":"P( ":"P. II ',"notes	:["202  ", "(202) "],"emails";[
 - 4 C 2 W E R	(202)   E		202) ## £		1 14 14 1 W C	
(202)					(202)	
) VOGNI		оитвох		OUTBOX	· ·	
<u>Z</u>		ō E		Ō		
202		202		202		
 2016-10-30 13:57:24, Sun		2016-10-30 17:27:31, Sun	:	2016-10-30 18:32:07, Sun		2016-10-30 19:06:48,

		\-\-\-	
			·
		a	·
	ا ا ا ا ا ا	SMS	sms
Sorry, utterly terrible day. !'m not sure i can identify one single redeeming thing about it.	Sorry. Rybicki called. Time line article in the post is super specific and not good. Doesn't make sense because I didn't have specific information to give.	What post article?!?	
[{"modified":14778558 49188, "uniqueid":804," firstName"."Peter","las tName"."P.    Strzok","notes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers" :["2024	[t"modified":14781342 60864, "uniqueid":804, " firstName"."Peter", "las tName"."P11 Strzok", "notes":[]," orga nizations":[], "numbers" :["202	[("modified":14781345 55136, "uniqueid":804, " firstName": "Peter", "las tName":!P. II Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "umbers" :["202]	[["modified":14781345   91208,"uniqueid":804,"   firstName":"Peter","las   tName":"P.      Strzok", ''nches!" , ''orga   202 nizations": [], ''numbers'   ["202.", '', '', ''(202)
(202)	(202)	<u>_</u> <u> </u>	(202)
		202)	_
OUTBOX	олтвох	XOGNI	оизвох
202	202	202	·
	7	N	:
2016-10-30 19:30:47, Sun	2016-11-03 00:50:57, Thu	Z016-11-03 00:55:50,	2016-11-03 00:56:28, Thu

			`		[{"modified":14781346		
2016.14.70	·		(202)		30188, 'uniqued' :804," firstName","Peter","las tName","P. [I Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers :["202		
	202	INBOX			), 'emails''; ('	Goddamn bills opaque comnents	
			(202)	***************************************	{{"modfiled":14781346 67507, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"peter", "las tName":"P. !! Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "rumbers" :["202]		
2016-11-03 00:57:45, Thu	202	INBOX			emails":[	Can   send to team?	
			و الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الل	(202) the state of	["modified":14781346 76874, "uniqueid":804, " firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P.     Strzok", "notes": ], "orga ;202 nizations": ], "numbers"   :["202"		
	202	оптвох			"emails":["	Yes	1
			<u> </u>	(202) Et 11 tt 12	[{"modified":14781725 93910,"uniqueid":804, " firstName"."Peter", "las tName"."P. Il Strzok","notes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"orga 202 nizations":[], "orga :["202]		
2016-11-03 11:29:46, Thu	202	OUTBOX			emails":[* si	The nyt probability numbers are dropping every day, I'm scared for our organization.	

sms.	sms		ws
Dude. On inauguration Day, in addition to our kegger we should also have a screening of the Weiner documentary! \( \text{V00001600a} \)	Trump about to get off his plane	I'm on fox. Trump is talking about her.	He's talking about cartwright and Petraeus and how they're not protected. She's protected by a rigged system.
[{"modified":14782295 47468, uniqueid":804,* firstNarre",*peter",*las firstNarre",*peter",*las firzon",*peter",*las il,*peter",*las il,*peter",*las il,*peter",*las il,*peter il	(l'modified":14784644 37353, "uniqueid":804, " firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. II. Strzok", "notes":1], "numbers" rizations":1], "numbers" ("202] "], "emaiis":[	[{"modified":14784655 76928, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P.    Strzok", "notes":[]," orga 202 nizations":[]," numbers" :["202]	["modified":14784656 27785,"uniqueid":204," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II. 202)
(202)	·	(202)	(202)
	(202)		
OUTBOX		OUTBOX	ОПВОХ
202	202	202	202
2016-11-04 03:19:04, Fil	2016-11-06 20:33:54, Sun	2016-11-06 20:52:54, Sun	2016-11-06 20:53:42, Sun

2016-11-07 01:43:03, Mon	202	OUTBOX	73	(202) 111 (202) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203) 111 (203)	[{"modified":14784829 861.68, "uniqueid":804," firstName": "P. II Strock!", "notes":[], "orga 1202 nizations":[], "numbers" :["202	Good [ord\n\nInside Donald Trump\u2019s Last Stand: An Anxious Nomine Seeks Assurance http://nvdi.nrs/2ssurs3 sms		
2016-11-08 01:56:33, Tue	202	INBOX	(202)		[{"modified":14785701 96912, "uniqueid":804," ffirstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[], "orga nizations":[], "numbers" rizations":[], "(202) 17202 18202	Q.	v	
2016-11-08 02:05:51, Tue		OUTBOX	(3	(202) # 1502   1502   1502   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1503   1	[["modified":14785707 55.112,"uniqueid":804," ifrstName":"[ester","[ester","[ester","]ester","[ester","]ester","[ester","]ester","[ester","]ester","[ester","]ester","[ester","]ester","[ester","[ester","]ester","[ester","]ester [ester	Yeah, that's not good.	Ŋ	
2016-11-08 02:42:33, Tue	202	OUTBOX	8	(202) 11 (202) 12 (202) 14 (202) 15 (202) 16 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (202) 17 (20	[{"modifiad":14785729 \$55529,"uniqueid":804," ffistName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II \$tr20k","notes":[],"orga 2027 nizatlons":[],"numbers" :["202]	Oh god	v,	

	SMS	sms	SWS	sms
	What is she saying?	She does realize you've been in EVERY conversation that has been had about this case, right?	("modified":14785730 96222,"uniqueid":804,"   182   ("modified":14785730   ("modes":"],"   (	Yes. But she's an expert who knows everything. \n\n 'm telling you, it's wildly infuriating. She has good points but then assumes wildly impossible understanding of things to make groundless assertions.
·	[("modified":14785729 63473,"undqueid":804," firstName";"Peter","las tName";"P. H Strzok", "notes."[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers" :["202."],"emails":["	[("modified":14785730 22453,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II  Strzak","notes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers" :["2024 mails":[] SI	(!"modified".14785730 96222, "uniqueid":804," firstName ::"Peter", "las tName ::"P.    Strzok", "notes":  , "orga nizations":  , "numbers"	(l'modified".14785731 35026, uniqueid".804," firstName". "Peter", "las tName". "P. II Stzok", "notes". [], "notes nizations": [], "numbers :["202, "], "emails": ["] t "]
	(202)	(202) 202		
			(202)	(202)
:	OUTBOX	ОИТВОХ	INBOX	XORNI
	202	202	202	202
	2016-11-08 02:42:40, Tue	2016-11-08 02:43:40, Tue	2016-11-08 02:44:54, Tue	2016-11-08 02:45:31, Tue

2016-11-08 02:45:59,			(202)		(l'modified":14785731 63985, "uniqueid":804, " firstName"-"peter", "las Ktrzok", "notes":[], "orga nizatok", "numbers" nizatok":[], "numbers" (l'202	Told her twice she was either calling me stupid or a liar.	·
	202	INBOX	5	[ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [ [	("modified":14785731 69351,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok', "notes":(),"orga	\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621	j
2016-11-08 02:46:06, Tue	202	OUTBOX		707	202 nizations":[J.'numbers":[.''x02]: ["202 "', "(202): ["emails":[	Uh, what crime are we investigating?\n\nAnd I'm sorry, that's a terrible idea. Go to war with the formers?	
2016-11-78			<b>□</b>	(202) t t t 202 n	[("modified":14785732 38072, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[], "orga 202 nizations":[], "numbers": ["202 ;"(202)		
02:47:14, Tue	202	OUTBOX			"emails":["	Jesus,	
			(202)	arms 1.s. dus der [k] per	{{"modified":14785732 76586,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[]," orga nizations":[[,"numbers" il"202		
2016-11-08 02:47:53, Tue	202	INBOX		-	], "emails":[]	point, that we should have gone after the agents talking harder and sooner, is not unreasonable. But the subsequent discussion falls into uninformed assertions,	

	• ·	,	
sms	sms	sms	sms
eekdom here			
ppy Election g		orida as well.	
\U00016Ga\n\nHsppy Election geekdom here.	Trump won NC	PBS is projecting Florida as well	And there it is.
			178640  id":804,*  ter", "las  :[]," orga  umbers"  [,"(202)  :["
[{"modified":14786536 09293,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"peter","las tName":"p. II Strock,"notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers i"202.	[{"modified":14786644 21088, "uniqueid":804, " firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. II Strzok", "notes":[], "orga 202 nizations":[], "unmbers" :["202 nizations":[], "unmbers" :["202 nizations":[], "emails":["	[{"modified":14786652 17060, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","ias tName":"P.    Strzok","notes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"unmbers" :["202    "(202)	[{"modified":14786840 56364, "uniqueid":804, " firstName": "Peter", "las tName": "P. [ Strzok", "notes":[], "orga 102 nizations":[], "numbers" :["202 ", "emails":["
	(202) The state of	(7.02) 11.00 (2.02) 12.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02) 13.00 (2.02	18 (202) 19 (4) 10 (202) 10 (202)
(202)		_	
MBOX	оптвох	олтвох	XOSTUO
70	757	સ	27
		2021	202
2016-11-09 01:06:45,	2016-11-09 04:06:58,	2016-11-09 04:20:14, Wed	2016-11-09 09:34:14, Wed

					[{"modified":14786855			THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	
2016-11-09 09:58:18, Wed	202	OUTBOX	ZZ)	(202) 17 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (202) 18 (20	01504, 'uniqueio'':804, 'firstName'.'Peter",'las tName'.'Peter",'las Strzok', 'inotes':[], 'orga   202 nizations':[], 'numbers':   '["202 nizations':[], 'numbers':   '], 'emails':[]	Analogous to the public editor article Bill handed out. \n\nNews Media Yet Again Misreads America\u2019s Complex Pulse http://nyti.ms/2eCqxyVM	sms		
2016-11-09 12:11:02,		CON	(202)	13. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15	[{"modified":14786934 65045,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P.    Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" i["202,"],"emails":["				
			(202)	Effective Control of C		ייי איר וסטקוג טון מונו טון מונו איר פוני איר פוני טון מונו טון מוני טון מו	2		
2016-11-09 12:13:37, Wed	202	INBOX			"emails":[	Too hard to explain here. Election related. Which is also <u>godawful bad. \n\n\$ure</u>	sms		
		•	(22)	[("    first   first   five   (202)   niz   five   five	[("modified":14786953 95236,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","Tas tName":"P. II. Strok!","notes':[],"orga 502 nizations':[],"numbers" :["203."","numbers"			,	
2016-11-09 12:43:13, Wed	202	OUTBOX			"emails".("	Are you even going to give out your calendars? Seems kind of depressing. Maybe it should just be the first meeting of the secret society.	Sms		

		<u> </u>	
sms	sms	sms	sms
bought all the president's men. Figure I needed to brush up	God, being here makes me angry, Lots of high fallutin' ational security taik. Meanwhile, we have OUR task ahead of us	lt's making me very angry.	BBC News: Trump and Putin hold telephone talks\nTrump and Putin hold telephone talks - http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-us-canada-37981770
[{"modified":14790642 77728,"uniqueid":804," firstName"."Peter","las tName"."P. 11 btrzok","notes "[],"orga 202 htations":[],"numbers" :["202.","emails":[] bo	[("modified":14791315 04565,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P.    Stzok","notes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers" :["202.	[["modified":14791541 00955,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P.    Strzok", "notes":[],"orga 202 mizations":[],"numbers" :["202] :["202] ."emails":["	[{"modified":14791541 23935, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II Strzok", "intmbers" [202 nizations:"[],"uumbers" ["2024 ","emails":"],"emails":"]
(202	(202)	(202)	(202)
OUTBOX	OUTBOX	OUTBOX	ОТВОХ
202	202	202	202
2016-11-13 19:11:15, Sun	2016-11-14 13:51:27, Mon	2016-11-14 20:08:18, Mon	2016-11-14 20:08-40, Mon

		,		
i				
Sms	sms	sws		
says naming a Trump national security team a	Christ. What does that mean?!	l can only guess difference of opinion between Trump and Republican establishment?		
[{"modified":14791741 84541,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. 1 Szzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" ["202]  ],"emails":["" "CNN: Source ],"emails":["" "knife fight""	[{"modified":14791742 26236, "uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P. !! Strzok", "notes":[]." orga 202 nlzations":[]." numbers" :["202 ""," "," "," "," "," [] :["202 ""," "," "," []	{"modified":14791743 83921,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II strock", "notes":[],"norga nizetions":[],"numbers" ;["202"],"emails":[]   can o	[{"modified":14791744 30262,"uniqueid":804," firstName";"Peter","3s tName";"P. [I Strzok","notes";[],"orga 202 nizations";[],"umbers" :["202]	7-11-4:4-4-11-11
	(202)		202	
(202)		(202)		
NBOX	OUTBOX	NBOX		
202	0.			
	22	Z		
2016-11-15 01:43:02,	2016-11-15 01:43:43, Tue	2016-11-15 01:46:21, Tue	2016-11-15	20.47.00

			·	
sms	Sms	sms		sms
or AG.	ess of it	,		
s for DoD	upadon s			
6 is	Which is the f-ed uppedness of it	Sessions for AG		, od
Wy.	Which	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	m Q =	Good god.
3174 (804 (804 "," l'ang aber: (202	(479174 eid":804 eter", "le eter, "l	{"modified":14794728 48812,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter", "las tName":"P.    Strok", "notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"numbers" il"202  ,"emails":[	[["modified":14794733 61848, "uniqueid":804, " firstName":"Peter","las tName":"P. II trzok","notes":[],"orga 202 nizations":[],"numbers" :["202]	15 ¹³ .[1
odified":1477 44,"uniqueid":1477 Name":"Pete. ne":"p.;; ok","notes":[],"uni tions":[],"un 72	["modified":1479   05703, "uniqueid":  firstName": Peter   Name": Peter   Strzok", "notes": [],   nizations": [], "num   strzod	[k'modifled":1475 48812,'uniqueid" firstName!.'P eter tName!!P. II Strok!', 'notes!!],'num il'202 ],'emails'':[	[("modified": 61848, "uniqu firstName":"F tName":"P. II trzok", "note nizations":[], :	"emails":["
0.5	I("moc 05703 firstNa firstNa tName Strzok nizatio :["202	[{"mod 48812, firstNa firstNa tName Strzok nizatio :["202	202	
(202)	202	8	(202)	
	(202)	(202)		
OUTBOX	N BOX	XOBNI		OUTBOX
. j	<u>z</u>	2	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0
	202	žož		202
202	72	72		<u>X</u>
2016-11-15 01:50:30, Tue	2016-11-15 01:51:43, Tue	2016-11-18 12:40:45, Fri		2016-11-18 12:49:19, Fri
2016 01:5t	2016 01:5: Tue	2016		201(

2016-11-21 01:14:10, Mon	203	OUTBO		202	[f"modified":14796908 54537, uniqueid":804, u firstName":'9eter", ilas tName":'9. li Strzok", notes":[], "orga nlZations":[], "numbers" :["202	[{"modified":14796908 54537, "uniqueid":804," firstName".'Peter", "las firstName".'Peter", "las tName".'P. II  Strzok", "notes":[], "orga 7202 nizations":[], "numbers" This is really disgusting. \n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n\n	. ·		
2016-11-21 01:19:38, Mon	202	МБОХ	(202)		[{"modified".14796911 83147, "uniqueid".804," firstName"."peter", "las tName"."nots" [], "orga Strook," nots" [], "orga mizations", [], "numbers" :["202]	Im worned racial tension is going to get really bad	SUIS		
2016-11-26 12-45:01, Sat	202	INBOX	(202)		("modified":14801643 04831,"uniqueid":804," firstName"."Peter","las tName"."P. Strook", notes":[],"orga nizations"."[1","numbers" :"207	You see Trump chose a Fox News analyst as his Dep Ntnl Security advisor?	sms		
2016-12-01 01:52:01, Thu		INGOX	(202)		("modified":14805571 23895,"uniqueid":804," firstName"."Peter", "las tName"."P. II Strzok","notes".[],"orga nizations".[],"onmbers". ["202 ],"emaifs".["	And I keep thinking about what the D said, what was it, sick to one's stomach? Want to talk with you about it more. And in would like to talk to Jim and Andy too. Jim may be too much a true believer though.	sms	·	

	•		
·			
	sms		sms
	55		<u></u>
			Technically not sure you can talk to andy about it \\U0001621
			andy ai
		•	alk to
	ᆑ		l can ta
	he sai		ne you
	seous,		not si
	Mildly nauseous, he said.		Technically r \U00011621
		W 10	
80557: d":804 :er","la :[],"org	<u>#.</u>	805571 d":804," ter","las t[],"orga umbers"	
iniquei e";"Per "P, Il notes"	,"emails":[¤	ied":14 iniquei ie":"Pe "P. II 'notes"	"],"emails":[
[{"modified":14805571 46601,"uniqueid":804," firstName";"peter","las tName";"p.    Strzok","notes":[],"orga nizations":[],"umbers" ["2024	= ',	[{"modified":14805571 71109,"uniqueid":804," firstName":"Peter","Ias tName":"P. II Strzok","notes':[],"orga 002 nizations":[],"numbers"	Ē,
502		202	
(202)		(202)	
	ОСТВОХ		ООТВОХ
	8		<u></u>
	202		202
	- 7		7
.12-01	01:52:23, Thu	9.	01:52:48, Thu
2016-	01:52 Thu	2016	Phu Thu

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-08-21	INBOX	Hi. Just got done with meeting now to working lunch. Starting working
17:30:50, Fri		out of hq on Mon. Not kidding. Much more later of course
2015-08-21	OUTBOX	Whoa. What?!
17:34:45, Fri		
2015-08-21	INBOX	Yeah, surprise to me to. Taking over special. Sorry can't text now,
17:39:01, Fri		should be able to in about an hour. Met Trisha.
2015-08-21	OUTBOX	special you mean? Understood re timing. Sorry for all the
17:45:25, Fri	<u>.</u>	questions.
2015-08-21	OUTBOX	Maybe we can talk in the car when you are free. So long as it is 100%
18:20:55, Fri		work since he'll be right next to me.
2015-08-21	INBOX	Still meetings. Sorry. Not The one I griped about hq running.
19:25:32, Fri		Sure will call in a bit, will check here first.
2015-08-21	ОИТВОХ	I know it's a total s-show, but still, I'm proud of you.
19:30:38, Fri	000 YERRON 1 115 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	\U0001f636
2015-08-21	OUTBOX	Kind of ridiculously hugely so. \U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636
19:35:41, Fri	74.20 (Anti-Anti-Anti-Anti-Anti-Anti-Anti-Anti-	Control of the State of the St
2015-08-21	INBOX	\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636
19:41:18, Fri	10000000	4
2015-08-21	оитвох	Just call when you do get free. I'll just act surprised to hear from you.
19:42:57, Fri		sast can interior you as get incert in just cat saiptible to incar from your
2015-08-21	INBOX	Why am I calling? See if you want to work on it when you get back?
19:43:49, Fri	III DOX	with an realing. See it you want to work on it when you get back?
2015-08-21	оитвох	Just to give the news? right?
19:49:42, Fri	COTBOX	Just to give the news:
2015-08-21	INBOX	Hi there. Good to call?
20:22:27, Fri	MDOX	There. Good to call:
2015-08-21	ОИТВОХ	Truly. Soooo proud.
20:59:03, Fri	COTBOX	
2015-08-22	ОИТВОХ	\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636
14:25:55, Sat	COTBOX	
2015-08-22	ОИТВОХ	And of course send me articles that you find helpful/illuminating to
14:29:29, Sat		learn about the topic. \U0001f636
2015-08-23	INBOX	Sigh. Hi. Sitting here reading affidavits. Happy to be engaged in work
00:16:16, Sun		again\u263a
2015-08-23	OUTBOX	I'm soooo happy for you. \U0001f60a Who's affidavit,
00:17:18, Sun		
2015-08-23	INBOX	\U0001f636\n\nNo. Who's
00:18:18, Sun		
2015-08-23	OUTBOX	Swore out I have it, I can forward. NY agent, I met him in
00:19:34, Sun		Cyprus.
2015-08-23	INBOX	Blech. ;)\n\nSure. \n\nNo, this is ours.
00:20:29, Sun	1100%	piceria () fir financia fir financia di la cura,
JU.20.29, SUII	<u> </u>	1

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-08-23	INBOX	I do too!!!! I want you back from and TDYed to the
17:08:09, Sun		team sc and can get back to their regular work, you can interface with and all these other ahole lawyers.
2015-08-23	INBOX	
17:08:24, Sun	No.	
2015-08-23	INBOX	Hi there! Yes, though he's not an "excited" sort of guy
18:09:19, Sun	15 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
2015-08-23	оитвох	How do you know him again? Was he on your squad back in the day?
18:10:26, Sun	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<del></del>
2015-08-23	INBOX .	Dang that's a long drive.\n\n Pretty much knew him by reputation
18:13:04, Sun		only he was a crim agent and then on for a while.
2015-08-23	ОИТВОХ	That's a lot of confidence to pull as your ssa, although I guess you've
18:14:47, Sun		seen him as a supervisor for a few months now. \n\r
2015-08-23	INBOX	Yeah, though more important is his agent and analytic corps and they
18:17:05, Sun		are solid. He's got the right personality to herd a bunch of
		detailees/TDYers, though I don't know that we'll have to do that.
2015-08-23	INBOX	
18:17:38, Sun	Annual Control	_
2015-08-23	оитвох	Excited about work tomorrow? Are you going into
21:33:15, Sun	Control of the Contro	vour office or just straight to HQ?
2015-08-23	INBOX	Some, also some apprehension, of
21:39:09, Sun	8	course.\n\nWf first, do 8:30 with Andy then to HQ. Pick up a billion people and drive to State for 10:30 meeting with AS of DS
		people and drive to state for 10.50 indexing with A5 of 55
2015-08-23	ОUТВОХ	Oh man, that's right, you're acting SAC. Are you still?
21:42:25, Sun	w k	W State Control of the Control of th
2015-08-23	INBOX	No. Gregg was willing to go either way. I told him I thought I needed
21:47:21, Sun		to be focused at HQ. Might end up being able to do both but I think
		probably not. \n\nI'm doing tomorrow's 8:30 (essentially to brief and
		ask for a couple of analysts and a couple of cyber people), then Aaron
	3.00	has the helm.
2015-08-23	OUTBOX	Got it. Makes sense.
23:12:20, Sun		
2015-08-23	INBOX	I know I can't tomorrow, but I'll do my darndest the next two days.
23:52:38, Sun		
		Currently there are no meetings
		I'm aware of on either Tues or Wed.
2015-08-24	INBOX	
00:02:45, Mon	į.	I have a briefing from 2 till likely 4/4:30\n\nImsg?
2015-08-24	INBOX	And a 4:20 with Randy,
	100	

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-08-24	OUTBOX	Yes, I can imsg.
00:04:31, Mon		
2015-08-24	INBOX	Ok. May have time depending on when State mtg gets done, before
00:05:55, Mon		my 2but that's not at all certain.
2015-08-24	OUTBOX	Hi there. No dawdling for you this morning! Sorry for the delay, went
11:02:56, Mon	4 XXXXX STRANAVOROD	for a walk with
2015-08-24	INBOX	Already driving. How was the walk with '! like having her on the
11:15:13, Mon		team, but I was discouraged that she was so busy she was going to have or somebody else start covering for her sometimes.\n\nDespite my personal interaction with him, I'm just not sure about his temperament in this project.
2015-08-24	ОИТВОХ	No you're right. He's a petulant baby (remind me to tell you his latest
2013-06-24 11:17:53, Man	COTSOX	fit with her). She's being told that this new case (that's all she would say to me), followed by dead people.  So I'd be surprised if she hands off too much to him. More that she's going to have to let him handle more of which she doesn't really want to do.
2015-08-24	OUTBOX	She told me he wasn't read in to it yet, though he was aware of it.
11:19:44, Mon		
2015-08-24 11:23:21, Mon	INBOX	Yeah, and he already spilled the beans to my people. That's how I first found out.\n\nThe light blue tie with this thin dark blue stripe running across it
2015-08-24	OUTBOX	Ah, yes. I didn't know it had a name. :)\n\nThat's aggravating. You
11:24:43, Mon		should say something to
2015-08-24	INBOX	Rgr. At work, going to be 100mph for a while
11:52:23, Mon		
2015-08-25	ОИТВОХ	What do you have after your briefing this morning?
11:34:59, Tue	INIDOV	The bulk of my day is going to be spent trying to scratch up resources.
2015-08-25	INBOX	Particularly personnel outside of CD.
11:48:30, Tue 2015-08-25	оитвох	You ID your cyber and cart agents yet?
11:59:20, Tue	OUTBOX	Tou ib your cyber and care agents yet:
2015-08-25	INBOX	I have. Wf cyber may not agree. I get to play the escalate it up the
12:01:15, Tue		chain of command game today
2015-08-25	очтвох	Will Andy side with you you think?
12:08:08, Tue		12.17.
2015-08-25	INBOX	Oh, he has and he will. This is just foot dragging by And nothing
12:13:40, Tue	W W	angers an asac more than going to an sac, and nothing angers an SAC more than going to his adic. So I need to try and finesse it but my time to piss off is sjort
2015-08-25	INBOX	n\nAlso, heading to wf to shake the
14:18:40, Tue	577 38 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	resource tree. \n\nStill good for lunch?
2015-08-25	INBOX	No but stills!\n\nLeaving Jeh nowsigh
		NOTE: 47 × 0.077777.0470.0. PROPERTY 47 0.00 × 4.77770. BB 7.7770. BB 7.7770.

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-08-25	ОИТВОХ	Boo for you. \U0001f615 Why so late?
23:13:53, Tue		
2015-08-25	INBOX	Affidavit and housekeeping .
23:14:56, Tue	2	
2015-08-26	OUTBOX	Your stupidass 8:30 meeting means and I have to go back to
01:30:51, Wed		meeting at 5:45 for our walk. \U0001f61b
2015-08-26	оитвох	No, stupidass is your meeting, I've already explained that. Do I need
01:40:59, Wed	•	to go slower? \U0001f618
2015-08-26	OUTBOX	Dumb work.
01:41:27, Wed		
2015-08-26	INBOX	
01:41:50, Wed	Mark Colored to Colored Parist.	
2015-08-26	INBOX	I will reserve my sad pathetic petulant inappropriate response for
01:50:54, Wed	And the state of t	elsewhere. \n\nOr, better yet, have the dignity not to at all.
52 E		\U0001f614\n\nWill be up for a while with affidavit if you can imsg
2015-08-27	оитвох	Aargh. I just called the BOC for a temp pass and the dude said I
11:35:59, Thu	production and a second page	needed a UC to send the request. Sigh, the inconsistency
2015-08-27	INBOX	I'll trywhat info, just name and number, right? What time?
11:38:01, Thu		
2015-08-27	OUTBOX	I can just park on the street. Just annoying, that's all.
11:38:33, Thu		
2015-08-27	INBOX	You can also probably try calling in two hours and I bet you get a
11:48:14, Thu		different answer. Also, feel free to iMessage anytime you want.
2015-08-27	оитвох	\n\nYeah, it's just super annoying. It's such a
12:00:47, Thu		short meeting I'll just find street parking, will try again next week when I have lunch with Jim.
2015-08-27	ОИТВОХ	Figure I won't be able to meet up with you either, since my meeting is
12:01:59, Thu		from 2:30 to 3, and then you have your mtgs.
2015-08-27	INBOX	What about 2?
12:13:44, Thu		
2015-08-27	INBOX	And heading into sioc now
12:13:50, Thu		
2015-08-27	OUTBOX	I'll try.
12:20:22, Thu		But I'll try.
2015-08-27	INBOX	NP. Sounds like DD going to stop by our space around 2:30 so just as
15:05:02, Thu		well. Ok to imsg?
2015-08-27	OUTBOX	Mtg with andy
19:12:04, Thu		
2015-08-27	оитвох	Hilariously, Andy's suggestion was that I should be tdyed to the thing
19:58:08, Thu	g 70	you're working on. Totally his idea.

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-08-27	INBOX	Of course I want to talk all about it. Will end up
20:23:26, Thu	*	ok\n\nI have 430 with Randy, will let you know when done\n\nAnd Holy sh*t yes!!! is way.way burdened. Only thing I don't know is what workload will look like I'm a month. \u263a
2015-08-27 21:04:45, Thu	INBOX	Hey there. I'm done
2015-08-27	INBOX	Heading back into sioc for a bit
2013-08-27 21:07:50, Thu	INDOX	reading back into sioc for a bit
2015-08-27	оитвох	Hi there. Dad HH, so probably can't talk tonight. I sent Jim and Trisha
21:57:05, Thu	COTBOX	a couple of extra thoughts, maybe I shouldn't have but I did. Will forward.
2015-08-27	INBOX	Given that all I want to do is sit and talk with you about the
22:15:24, Thu	19 4452	conversation and the path ahead.
2015-08-27	OUTBOX	Hi. Thanks for listening. Really don't know what to do.
23:15:48, Thu	1	
2015-08-27	INBOX	Of course. Would have killed me not to hear it. Something will come.
23:21:59, Thu		It's not going to be a happy comfortable time until it does, but things work out for really talented people like you. \U0001f636
2015-08-28	оитвох	still at work. And can't walk tomorrow bc she's going in early.
00:03:40, Fri		
2015-08-28	OUTBOX	Sorry about the delay. Had a long conversation with the woman
01:50:56, Fri		(seems really promising),
2015-08-28	INBOX	Promised
02:13:16, Fri		everyone, especially a Bullfrog bagel for staying so late on our stuff.
2015-08-28	OUTBOX	Meh. Not really. I am just 100% positive that nothing is going to get
11:14:05, Fri	1X	resolved before I am back to work. I mean, there are only 5 weeks left before I'm back.:(
2015-08-28	INBOX	I'm sure something interesting will come up. If not what I'm doing,
11:17:06, Fri		there will be something that emerges between now and then. That's not much comfort for you though.
2015-08-28	INBOX	Plus, I'm willing to bet that Andy can identify something for you to do
11:17:47, Fri		between now and then. Did you two talk about that at all? I mean you working for him in his current capacity?
2015-08-28	ОИТВОХ	No. I hoped that he would, but he didn't bring it up and I'm not going
11:19:26, Fri	,	to ask again. I guess it's still early - there's not even a computer in his office.
2015-08-28	INBOX	Yeah. Look, he hasn't even started the job. And it's tough to slot you
11:26:26, Fri	MEANING PRINCE	again something when you're not back yet. Having you in house is
		absolutely going to make it easy to say hey plug you into this project.
2015-08-28	INBOX	Where is he sitting? I still haven't had a chance to talk to him about
11:26:41, Fri		the 3:02s

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-08-28	OUTBOX	He's not yet. But I met up with him in old office yesterday.
11:36:20, Fri		Think he just comes over for mtgs.
2015-08-28	INBOX	Just ran into JB and chatted a bit.
11:57:55, Fri		
2015-08-28	OUTBOX	Did you tell him needs help?
12:00:29, Fri		
2015-08-28	INBOX	n\nAnd started laying the
12:02:59, Fri		foundation. Said Trisha and had been great. Next step is
200	k	they're overworked;)
2015-08-28	OUTBOX	\U0001f621 for including
12:03:49, Fri	£ 23	
2015-08-28	INBOX	Heading Heading into sioc. Ttyl
12:03:53, Fri		90 SEC 100 DE CONTROL
2015-08-28	INBOX	Yeah I know re
12:05:02, Fri		
2015-08-29	OUTBOX	Did you get laid yesterday? I didn't, am concerned that someone
15:06:38, Sat		forgot to validate my time or something. \U0001f621
	Note to the second seco	
2015-08-29	OUTBOX	Ha. That is funny. Paid, not laid. \U0001f60a
15:06:59, Sat		
2015-08-29	OUTBOX	And suddenly I'm in a really sour mood. Not sure why exactly. Maybe
15:23:19, Sat		the prospect of calling re my paycheck on Monday.
2015-08-29	INBOX	Not sure why as it normally hits on Friday. Sometimes
15:43:30, Sat		it shows up later in the weekend as having arrived on Fri, but nothing
22		there now. got hers as normal on Thurs. Because we (Bu) suck.
W00000		
2015-08-29	OUTBOX	
15:47:13, Sat	10 mm	
2015-08-29		
18:05:14, Sat	INBOX	
10.05:14, Sat	INBOX	\n\nAnd
10.03:14, Sat	INBOX	
16.05:14, Sat	INBOX	
2015-08-30	INBOX	hī. Just got back from was there; saw hīm but didn't
*		hī. Just got back from was there; saw hīm but didn't meet hīm.
2015-08-30		hi. Just got back from was there; saw him but didn't meet him.  Also, irritatingly, my unet password just expired so I can't get emails
2015-08-30		hi. Just got back from was there; saw him but didn't meet him.  Also, irritatingly, my unet password just expired so I can't get emails until I update it tomorrow. \U0001f62c so either text me or hit me on
2015-08-30 14:31:36, Sun	INBOX ,	hi. Just got back from was there; saw him but didn't meet him.  Also, irritatingly, my unet password just expired so I can't get emails until I update it tomorrow. \U0001f62c so either text me or hit me on gtwn
2015-08-30 14:31:36, Sun 2015-08-30	INBOX ,	hi. Just got back from was there; saw him but didn't meet him.  Also, irritatingly, my unet password just expired so I can't get emails until I update it tomorrow. \U0001f62c so either text me or hit me on gtwn  Not related, but this is also outrageous. I mean, come on. The woman
2015-08-30 14:31:36, Sun 2015-08-30	INBOX ,	hi. Just got back from was there; saw him but didn't meet him.  Also, irritatingly, my unet password just expired so I can't get emails until I update it tomorrow. \U0001f62c so either text me or hit me on gtwn  Not related, but this is also outrageous. I mean, come on. The woman needed all this outside employment? \n\nAn article to share: How
2015-08-30 14:31:36, Sun 2015-08-30	INBOX ,	hi. Just got back from was there; saw him but didn't meet him.  Also, irritatingly, my unet password just expired so I can't get emails until I update it tomorrow. \U0001f62c so either text me or hit me on gtwn  Not related, but this is also outrageous. I mean, come on. The woman needed all this outside employment? \n\nAn article to share: How Huma Abedin operated at the center of the Clinton universe\nHow Huma Abedin operated at the center of the Clinton universe\nHow huma Abedin operated at the center of the Clinton
2015-08-30 14:31:36, Sun 2015-08-30	INBOX ,	hi. Just got back from was there; saw him but didn't meet him.  Also, irritatingly, my unet password just expired so I can't get emails until I update it tomorrow. \U0001f62c so either text me or hit me on gtwn  Not related, but this is also outrageous. I mean, come on. The woman needed all this outside employment? \n\nAn article to share: How Huma Abedin operated at the center of the Clinton universe\nHow Huma Abedin operated at the center of the Clinton
2015-08-30 14:31:36, Sun 2015-08-30 18:18:49, Sun	INBOX ,	hi. Just got back from was there; saw him but didn't meet him.  Also, irritatingly, my unet password just expired so I can't get emails until I update it tomorrow. \U0001f62c so either text me or hit me on gtwn  Not related, but this is also outrageous. I mean, come on. The woman needed all this outside employment? \n\nAn article to share: How Huma Abedin operated at the center of the Clinton universe\nHow Huma Abedin operated at the center of the Clinton universe\nHow huma Abedin operated at the center of the Clinton
2015-08-30 14:31:36, Sun 2015-08-30 18:18:49, Sun 2015-08-30	INBOX ,	hi. Just got back from was there; saw him but didn't meet him.  Also, irritatingly, my unet password just expired so I can't get emails until I update it tomorrow. \U0001f62c so either text me or hit me on gtwn  Not related, but this is also outrageous. I mean, come on. The woman needed all this outside employment? \n\nAn article to share: How Huma Abedin operated at the center of the Clinton universe\nHow Huma Abedin operated at the center of the Clinton universe\nHow Huma Abedin operated at the center of the Clinton universe\nhttp://wapo.st/1JAqfVk  And blah, sitting on hold with 1500 trying to get my 324 email pin

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-08-30	INBOX	Send to gtwn? I think my unet password expired. At least it hasn't
22:09:16, Sun		been working all day
2015-08-30	OUTBOX	Nope, can't send from my samsung, pics are on here.
22:09:59, Sun		10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
2015-08-30	INBOX	You might be able to text them. Mms is back to being enabled.
22:11:17, Sun		
2015-08-30	INBOX	If they're in Knox I believe you can downdraft them but not sure
22:11:40, Sun		
2015-08-30	INBOX	Nope, you can't. Just tried. \n\nis your email working,
22:23:07, Sun		
2015-08-30	OUTBOX	Oh well that's annoying. I specifically took them in Knox so I could
22:23:34, Sun		email them.
2015-08-30	OUTBOX	Yeah, just think I'm over my limit.
22:23:45, Sun		
2015-08-30	OUTBOX	Resent them in smaller sizes. I think it worked. You'll have to let me
22:58:10, Sun		know tomorrow.
2015-08-31	INBOX	Heading into sioc. Hope you get some rest. I'll check in later.
11:44:15, Mon		
2015-08-31	OUTBOX	
11:48:05, Mon	W 4271	And please call today.
2015-08-31	OUTBOX	
12:27:49, Mon		
515 19-57-67-Y-1997-1997-1997		And hi. Stupid 8:30 mtg.
	250	I want to talk with you. \U0001f636
2015-09-01	OUTBOX	It's fine. Getting up early to walk with anyway.
00:36:38, Tue	200 000 0	
2015-09-01	OUTBOX	Went walking with . Told her I asked you about in
11:21:32, Tue		case it ever comes up. (Just talking about people getting work done).
2015-09-01	INBOX	How on earth did you associate with the idea of getting work
11:24:40, Tue		done?
2015-09-01	INBOX .	And yuck, heading into Jeh. DO NOT like my new schedule:(
11:29:21, Tue	CONTRACTOR IN THE STATE OF THE	The second of the second contract of the second of the sec
2015-09-01	INBOX	
15:19:15, Tue	7	
2015-09-01	INBOX	Just got done with a non stop meeting since returning. I find I'm very
21:04:07, Tue	Annual of the Policies III	tired
2015-09-01	OUTBOX	That's a lot of meetings. \U0001f61d I'm sorry.
21:41:23, Tue	5)	The section was section in the section and the section of the sect
2015-09-02	INBOX	If I'm permanently back at hq, I'm sure I'll be willing to drive you
00:13:49, Wed		1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 19
2015-09-02	INBOX	So I do want to know the answer to what you expect from lunch
21:07:44, Wed		tomorrow.
2015-09-02	OUTBOX	Don't know. This was scheduled before the disastrous convo with he
21:09:47, Wed		and Trisha two weeks ago, but yes presumably a follow-up talk. He
		thinks we've got tons of time but it's only four more weeks.

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-09-02	INBOX	A)I'm glad you're doing it, keep the pressure on. I think his spec ass is
21:21:38, Wed		the best option. Actually, special is the BEST option, he's #2.
8		;)\nB)
2015-09-02	OUTBOX	A) yeah, pretty demoralized by the whole thing.
21:24:49, Wed		20 Constitution of the Con
2015-09-02	OUTBOX	Not sure if Trisha will be there or not. Kind of hoping not, I can be
21:25:46, Wed		more frank if she's not.
2015-09-02	OUTBOX	I might possibly maybe doubtful work for you someday, but definitely
21:26:13, Wed		NOT as your special assistant.
2015-09-02	INBOX	Don't think she would be, right?
21:26:21, Wed	0 20 CCC	7
2015-09-02	OUTBOX	Well I sort of invited it last time only bc I want this resolved and it's
21:27:20, Wed		clear Jim won't decide without her. Understandably, but still.
2015-09-02	OUTBOX	She's not formally on the invite so she or Jim would have to
21:28:04, Wed		remember.
2015-09-02	INBOX	Then she won't be there
21:29:12, Wed		
2015-09-02	OUTBOX	Yeah okay we'll see.
21:29:25, Wed		
2015-09-02	INBOX	Don't you brush me off! I'm wildly good at being a persistent pain in
21:30:12, Wed		the ass too!;)
2015-09-02	ОИТВОХ	Yes but I don't need you to be on this!
21:31:24, Wed		
2015-09-02	OUTBOX	
21:31:25, Wed		
2015-09-02	OUTBOX	
21:31:25, Wed		_
2015-09-02	OUTBOX	
21:32:27, Wed		
2015-09-02	INBOX	
21:32:58, Wed		22-22
2015-09-02	INBOX	
21:33:17, Wed	OLUTE OV	
2015-09-02	OUTBOX	
21:34:45, Wed	INDOV	
2015-09-02	INBOX	
21:39:12, Wed	INIDOV	
2015-09-02	INBOX	
21:42:29, Wed	OUTDOV	
2015-09-02	OUTBOX	
21:54:27, Wed	INDOV	
2015-09-02	INBOX	
21:59:37, Wed	Î	

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-09-02	OUTBOX	I am so proud of you. \u2764
21:59:52, Wed		# 90 - 200
2015-09-02	INBOX	But thanks \U0001f636
22:02:30, Wed	L	
2015-09-03	INBOX	
11:45:26, Thu		Heading in to sioc,
		ttyl.
2015-09-03	INBOX	Np. I've got meeting at State IG at 11, going over with around
13:55:56, Thu		10:30. Should be back around 12:30, I'll hit you up then (I know you'll
25,55,55, 1114		still be at lunch) but we can coordinate then.
		Still be detailed but we can coordinate them.
2015-09-03	INBOX	Hey I know you're at lunch. I'm back at hq, grabbing &pizza
16:39:17, Thu	THE STATE OF THE S	ind, thine is, you to be terrorise the paper at the paper is september and the paper is a september at the paper i
2015-09-03	INBOX	This is a serious meeting fatigue zone
17:43:10, Thu	in oon	This is a surrous mosting ratioac zone
2015-09-03	INBOX	Heading into a 2 conference call
17:43:37, Thu	III DOX	Treating into a 2 conference can
2015-09-03	оитвох	Oof. I know it is. :(
general between two metaleness and representations	OUTBOX	COI. I KNOW IC IS(
17:44:17, Thu 2015-09-03	INBOX	Hi there. Have I mentioned ces can be frustrating?
A THE COURT OF THE WAY TO SHE THE COURT OF T	INBOX	in there. have i mentioned ces can be trustrating?
19:24:41, Thu	INIDOY	0
2015-09-03	INBOX	On a positive note, wrap was cancelled
19:25:03, Thu	CUTDOV	NA ALAL LA LA VIDORASCA D
2015-09-03	ОИТВОХ	Wow, that's shocking to hear.\U0001f612
19:25:11, Thu		
2015-09-03	ОИТВОХ	Yes, I stand by my initial contention that they suck.
19:25:32, Thu		
2015-09-03	INBOX	Remind me to tell you the story about the 302s.
22:13:01, Thu		Aggravating.
2015-09-03	оитвох	More?
22:13:41, Thu		
2015-09-03	INBOX	Hi there. Omg completely forgot it was Andys farewell tonight, just
22:52:48, Thu		went by there. Me talked about her campaign.
· <b>-</b>		•
2015-09-03	OUTBOX	She's lovely, no?
22:53:26, Thu	1.0	
2015-09-03	INBOX	Of course. \U0001f636\n\nYes, she is.
22:54:01, Thu		
2015-09-04	INBOX	Hi. Headed into sioc.
11:39:31, Fri		I have my 830, then a briefing immediately
		afterwards at 930. Should be out by 1015 or 1030, I'll text you when I
		am
2015-09-09	INBOX	And unrelated, did you see the pics of the guy who accompanied the
11:01:22, Wed		KY county clerk who wouldn't issue gay marriage licenses when she
		was released from jail? Total caraciture of what you'd expect.

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-09-10	OUTBOX	Her column was pretty funny today.\n\nA Presidential Primary Cheat
11:36:15, Thu		Sheet http://nyti.ms/1QpSJCE
2015-09-10	оитвох	Where are you meeting Aaron? Wilmer is close to Foggy Bottom, no?
11:38:49, Thu	1933	
2015-09-10	INBOX	And yuck, almost at hq
12:19:02, Thu	10000 <u>2000 - 20</u>	
2015-09-10	INBOX	Heading in, I'll text you when I'm done with my morning session
12:21:21, Thu		
2015-09-10	INBOX	Hi there. Headed over to wf to yell at some people to stop sniveling.
13:34:15, Thu	1.	\U0001f621
2015-09-10	OUTBOX	How fun for you. Who's complaining?
14:25:15, Thu	70000	
2015-09-10	INBOX	K. Aaron just had to cancel lunch, so I'm flexible
15:07:11, Thu	v 555	2 12 2
2015-09-10	OUTBOX	Bummer. That stinks. Sorry. But yeah that we'll be able to talk.
15:08:06, Thu		
2015-09-10	INBOX	Convo with Randy to tell you about
19:42:48, Thu		
2015-09-10	оитвох	Imsg, maybe in 10?
19:55:16, Thu		
2015-09-11	INBOX	Remind me about Jeh roof, am and jg
00:31:06, Fri		and the contract of the contra
2015-09-11	INBOX	And arghh. Really having a tough time with the decision we discussed
00:42:44, Fri	(2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2	earlier. Really wish we could sit and talk. \U0001f636\n\n
2015-09-11	INBOX	If I had to pick now. I'd say no thanks. Let me continue the current
00:56:41, Fri	***	project I have and put in for 3 or 4 when they come open.
5		Why do I want to be in a hurry to go anywhere for
2015-09-11	OUTBOX	Didn't realize it would mean moving off current project - thought they
01:45:54, Fri		would just tdy you over. We'll talk.
2015-09-11	INBOX	I think I could probably negotiate with Randy to stay on the team for a
10:12:43, Fri		while, at least until it finally transitions to wfo. I think that'll happen
		before Xmas, though. So really want to talk
2015-09-11	INBOX	Headed into siochopefully a quiet morning here. Ttyl.
12:23:28, Fri		20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2
2015-09-11	INBOX	Fwiw, Moffa thinks I should take it.
23:31:51, Fri	20 202 640	
2015-09-11	OUTBOX	You should. We can't both
23:32:59, Fri		be wrong. ;)
2015-09-11	INBOX	You the two smartest people I know in the fbi ;)
23:35:54, Fri		5 0 85

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-09-12	OUTBOX	You have any idea this was coming?\n\nJustice Dept. Says Hillary
09:19:44, Sat	.3	Clinton Had Authority to Delete Certain Emails
		http://nyti.ms/1MhHPA3\n\n
2015-09-12	INBOX	
10:57:28, Sat	10 to	
ii:		No idea about doj statement. We're trying to
		get a copy of original filing. We think it says she was requires to
8		preserve copies of things that were records and could delete the rest
	624	but that the filing is being taken out of context and spun. But who
		knows.
2015-09-12	INBOX	What isn't clear from the article is whether or not they (doj) speak to
10:59:31, Sat		the issue of whether or not she had authority to be operating off of a
		private server.
2015-09-12	INBOX	B) is very nice but she's no Lisa Page. JG wanted something on
22:23:29, Sat		Fri, So i sent an email this morning at 830 to her and proposing
	5.22	how to handle itcrickets
2015-09-13	OUTBOX	So I think I've decided that I'm not going to start until Thursday my
14:02:06, Sun		first week back, then the following week has a fed holiday so it's only
		a 4 day week,
		so I
		might take that Monday off as well, just so I can ease back in.
		Especially if it's still not clear what I'll be doing. \U0001f612
2015-09-13	ОUТВОХ	Or in the alternative, maybe I'll start on Wednesday but just work half
14:05:51, Sun	200 202	days thru that friday.
2015-09-13	INBOX	Or, log into eras and do your mandatory training and milk another
14:11:21, Sun	20196	week. \n\nBefore you start working with;)
2015-09-14	INBOX	Talked to Gregg about Randy's offer. His advice is take what I'll enjoy,
23:19:19, Mon		as I have optionsplus a ton of more detail about upcoming
		personnel moves
2015-09-14	OUTBOX	Be, not me.
23:19:22, Mon		
2015-09-14	INBOX	Yep. Seems like I want my cake and eat it, too
23:19:47, Mon		
2015-09-14	OUTBOX	So that's a no cpc move? I still say start your ses clock, although
23:20:26, Mon		there's no doubt you will have options.
2015-09-15	INBOX	Np. Briefing to D we thought was on the 28th is now tomorrow at
22:03:40, Tue		2glad we're so preparedwe will be, but still
2015-09-15	OUTBOX	Yikes, but you'll be completely great
22:07:45, Tue		
2015-09-15	OUTBOX	Honestly, I thought it was weird that he would let you brief clapper
22:08:38, Tue		before he got a recent update

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-09-15	INBOX	I think he more wants insight now that Cornyn has called for a special
22:19:33, Tue		prosectoranyway, should be able to imsg from 7-8 or so,
2015-09-15	OUTBOX	Yeah, it is, but I'll try. :(
22:20:17, Tue		The company of the control of the co
2015-09-15	INBOX	No worries. I feel badly even mentioning because I don't want you to
22:34:13, Tue		change a thing. Only letting you know in case you ordinarily would
raction restaré sauce		find yourself free\U0001f636
2015-09-15	INBOX	Yep. Until work Conf call at 815
23:25:10, Tue		
2015-09-15	OUTBOX	I hit you there.
23:29:04, Tue		
2015-09-16	ОИТВОХ	You still have your 830?
12:22:46, Wed		
2015-09-16	ОИТВОХ	Called Jim baker 30 minutes ago. Still haven't heard anything from
13:05:08, Wed	CONSON	him.
13.03.00, Wed		
2015-09-16	ОИТВОХ	And even more \U0001f621, just went to log into eras and discovered
14:39:00, Wed	V.	some certificate was expired. So now I need to bring it in today.
	907	\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621
2015-09-16	INBOX	Although I know you're p*ssed about the eras stuff. Sorry about that.
15:21:58, Wed		::(
2015-09-16	оитвох	Super annoying, yes.
15:22:18, Wed		
2015-09-16	INBOX	Jims heading up to Harvard now. So he can't talk to you. Or the
15:22:59, Wed		Director at 2:00. \U0001f612
2015-09-20	INBOX	I know. I'm being awfully weak and needy. \n
22:26:48, Sun		
2015-09-20	OUTBOX	
22:27:29, Sun	St. 5254 - 51 25	
2015-09-21	OUTBOX	I can't get my eras to play sound. Going to be a real impediment to
11:16:42, Mon		getting my trainings done. \U0001f621
2015-09-21	INBOX	You don't need the sound. Will take you longer to read, anyway.
11:38:51, Mon		;)\n\nLet me know when I can imsg something
2015-09-21	OUTBOX	Emailed eras lady, got sound working.
13:29:14, Mon		4
2015-09-21	OUTBOX	Procurement training is fascinating! And so relevant!
13:29:41, Mon		
2015-09-21	OUTBOX	Was looking at the directors award winners. What was
13:59:30, Mon	\$ 5000 ST 0000 ST	?\n\nAnd did you notice that there is an agent with the last name of ' I found that somewhat spectacular. \U0001f60a
2015-09-21	INBOX	Will till you at lunch. And you're showing as "away" - are you pulling a
14:02:37, Mon		trick?
2015-09-21	INBOX	And I did not know that re SA
14:03:06, Mon		THE THE INCH STATE OF
14.03.00, 191011		1

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-09-21	INBOX	Np. Hit me on email, heading back into sioc. Got you a headset for
14:07:37, Mon		eras
2015-09-21	OUTBOX	Don't think I need it now, I got sound working. But thanks.
14:08:14, Mon		
2015-09-21	INBOX	Waiting on Randy to see if there's wrap - he's ead today and has a
20:33:14, Mon	3	4:45 upstairs.
2015-09-21	INBOX	Just got done sending an email to Randy and everyoneGod what !
23:56:26, Mon		would do to have you on the team. \n\nOf course that might just scuttle our plans for reform entirely
2015-09-22	INBOX	Your point? \n\n;)(I win)\n\nMy God I so
00:03:35, Tue		much prefer being busy and engaged. And that's why I don't think I can ultimately take the job, better to wait for the one that will be that way.
2015-09-22	OUTBOX	You wouldn't be busy there?\n\nI'm glad you're alpy.
00:04:45, Tue	and the second second section of the sect	The state of the s
2015-09-24	INBOX	Downtown traffic also super light. Email me if you want a ride as I'm
11:33:24, Thu		heading into sioc now
2015-09-24	INBOX	Hi there. Just shot the sh*t with Randy for 30 minutes. Going to get
12:14:48, Thu		Peets it's dead quiet here
2015-09-24	оитвох	How was your convo with randy? Can
12:37:08, Thu	000,000,000,000,000	you talk?
2015-09-24	INBOX	Yes! I can also give you a ride if you want
12:42:15, Thu		The state of the s
2015-09-24	INBOX	Have to head into sioc for Conf call, will be an hour, I'll hit you here
20:04:12, Thu		when done.
2015-09-24	OUTBOX	Whoa! Now I want to talk! Gordon? Johnson? For sac?
20:08:44, Thu		
2015-09-24	INBOX	Hi. I'm done. Can you talk?
21:36:24, Thu		
2015-09-25	INBOX	Hi ;)\n\nI hate DoJ
23:25:03, Fri	V-187035 1932	
2015-09-25	INBOX	
23:59:16, Fri		and I hate DoJ. Literally they have pulled
		me away from half of dinner. \U0001f620\n\nAnd hi
2015-09-26	оитвох	What's their issue now?
00:10:56, Sat	en e	
2015-09-26	оитвох	No email, btw. At least for once it wasn't the stupid phone's fault.
00:23:32, Sat		
e de la companya de l		
2015-09-26	INBOX	I'm betting I have an unsent email sitting on my unet desktop. Sorry
01:02:30, Sat		about that. 50 things came crashing in at once at the end of the day.

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-09-26 01:03:50, Sat	INBOX	DoJ wants to  ! get they want to scope as narrowly as possible but they're creating a ton of extra work for everyone.  nAnyway, now I have to go in
		tomorrow so they can look thru emails.
2015-09-26	INBOX	
01:04:39, Sat	OUTDOV	Throw we too 15 completely surface by NaMile is completely and
2015-09-26 04:16:26, Sat	OUTBOX	.I know, me too. It completely sucks.\n\nWho is coming in to read emails? That also totally sucks.
2015-09-26 08:31:14, Sat	OUTBOX	What a joke: \nStill, there was progress. Never before had China agreed with Mr. Obama\u2019s fundamental premise that the theft of intellectual property for commercial gain was off limits. After weeks of behind-the-scenes negotiations with the Chinese leadership, first in Beijing in late August and then with a delegation of nearly 50 senior Chinese officials who came to Washington quietly two weeks ago, Beijing agreed to wording that read: \u201cNeither country\u2019s government will conduct or knowingly support cyber-enabled theft of intellectual property, including trade secrets or other confidential business information, with the intent of providing competitive advantages to companies or commercial sectors.\u201d\n\nLimiting Security Breaches May Be Impossible Task for U.S. and China http://nyti.ms/1LchIQD
2015-09-26 08:38:23, Sat	ОИТВОХ	Zuckerberg, Cook, Natella all seated at the the head table with potus.  No surprise we lost. \U0001f621\n\nState Dinner for Xi Jinping Has  High-Tech Flavor http://nyti.ms/1iR8KXb
2015-09-26	OUTBOX	Then Teat Havor Reep of The Control
08:57:41, Sat		
2015-09-26 11:35:45, Sat	INBOX	And God, that's an awful sequence of time stamps on your texts. :( I'm sorry. ans are coming in. Not sure that they need to read them, but we're asking them to jump through their ass to act quickly, so not in much of a position to argue if they want to come in.
2015-09-26 11:36:57, Sat	INBOX	And now have to prep Randy for Laufman because even though  Randy called him after he couldn't reach Toscas. So now Laufman is calling him all the time.  \U0001f621
2015-09-26	ОИТВОХ	Act quickly to do what? And yes, I also hate hate hate when we take
11:39:46, Sat	5.5.2.5.50	anyone's call. Hopefully you'll be better about that practice when you are AD.
2015-09-26 12:42:17, Sat	INBOX	Talked to for 45 minutes. So so much better than
2015-09-26 12:43:11, Sat	OUTBOX	That's because he's actually done cases, owned them, from start to finish.

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-09-26	INBOX	Sorry for delay, been on calls. Bothered on vacation then talked
13:27:15, Sat		to Randy. \n\nAnd no problem. I will try, too. Hope you enjoy your dayand huge deep sigh.
2015-09-26	OUTBOX	I otherwise love me some hating
18:28:58, Sat	盤	on
2015-09-27	INBOX	We work 60-70 hours, easily, when we're cranking. I'm
01:17:59, Sun		reviewing DoJ draft to W&c now\n\r
2015-09-27 01:24:22, Sun	О∪ТВОХ	Yeah, but when I worked 8 to 7 with andy, I almost never worked on weekends. But yes, I get it.\n\n
2015-09-27 13:41:24, Sun	INBOX	
		and reading work product (how do you do
		what we do without a law degree or at least deep knowledge of same?).\n\n/
2015-09-27	INBOX	\n\nNp. We can play it by
23:43:49, Sun		ear,
		\n\nPutin is very good. We're kind of suckers
2015-09-27 23:47:45, Sun	ОИТВОХ	He totally is. Makes some sense too given his training.
2015-09-27 23:50:34, Sun	INBOX	Yeah, but also a little crazy. He already threw out the "if! may use a term of intelligence professionals, these are active measures" (re alleged disinformation about Russia)\n\nl think the fundamental Russian character wants an oppressive showy slightly crazy strong man
2015-09-28	OUTBOX	100% right. And plays to it excellently.
00:40:34, Mon		-
2015-09-28	OUTBOX	I'm doing infosec training while we
01:50:35, Mon		wait to go back out. \U0001f612
2015-09-28	ОИТВОХ	Sigh. And I just "failed" my veterans employment training. God they
10:58:45, Mon		are so profoundly useless.
2015-09-28	INBOX	Yay. More time on erasyes, it's awful
10:59:27, Mon		
2015-09-28	OUTBOX	I put in 11 hours total last week, so that should help.
11:01:39, Mon		
2015-09-28	INBOX	That's great. God. I am SO behind this morning
2013-03-20	11,12,011	

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-09-28	INBOX	Hey heading into hq. Hit me on imsg later, I'll try to get a pass and
12:17:32, Mon		figure out where to meet.
2015-09-28	OUTBOX	Will do. I called and left yet another message for Jim baker. I think I'm
12:25:26, Mon		just going to have to tell tomorrow - I think we are planning on walking, no doubt she is going to ask when I returning and what in going to be doing. I am quite frustrated. \U0001f612
2015-09-29	INBOX	
10:11:53, Tue		Funny feeling to have a PT test. It was such a regular part of the Army, it brings back memories, mostly not positive. Oh well.
2015-09-29	OUTBOX	You're going to be fine.
10:47:31, Tue	10-4 (000)	
2015-09-29	OUTBOX	Walked and talked with Went okay I suppose.
10:50:01, Tue		Will have
,		to talk to you about it later, but if I had to bet, I'd guess I'm going back to nslb. Apparently Trisha has spoken to her and about it, is clearly where she is leaning too.
2015-09-29	INBOX	Well, it's good in this instance. Of course I want
10:52:45, Tue		to talk about it, not even sure if I can make it to lunch!
2015-09-29	INBOX	Hopefully she has some ideas about what happens if you leave in 4
10:53:31, Tue		monthsor less
2015-09-29	OUTBOX	Yeah, I guess.
10:55:04, Tue	*	Duk skill tale was the Contained
		But still, it's not the first time.
2015-09-29	OUTBOX	If I leave soon I think she just readjusts clients again. Whatever.
10:55:41, Tue		
2015-09-29	OUTBOX	What time does your fit test start? And seriously, don't be nervous.
10:56:57, Tue		You've totally got this.
2015-09-29	INBOX	Well not going to. \U0001f612\n\nAs for that
10:57:03, Tue		way, right? And maybe the convo was recent, after or around your last talk with Jim
2015-09-29	INBOX	8
10:58:39, Tue	¥ 218.9	
2015-09-29	OUTBOX	She is. Convo with Trisha definitely happened after the
11:00:28, Tue		
	.2.	

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-09-29	OUTBOX	Also, told her I was in the ofc briefly yesterday but didn't mention that
11:01:10, Tue	3-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	I saw you, so don't say anything.
2015-09-29	INBOX	Didn't others on longer tdys keep their offices?
11:05:27, Tue		
2015-09-29	OUTBOX	Yup.
11:07:03, Tue		
11.07.00, 140		
		·
2015-09-29	INBOX	It really is. Can imsg if you prefer
11:08:06, Tue	00000000	
2015-09-29	INBOX	K. I'll hit you when test is done. Sorry about bullsh*t
11:09:48, Tue	11.5707.61	,,
2015-09-29	INBOX	Hey I'm going to head in to sioc to check in. Will come out around
14:50:01, Tue	III DOX	11:30 assuming nothings on fire. You can always email, toottyl
14.50.01, Tue	V	11.30 assuming nothings on me. Too can always email, toottyr
2015-09-30	INBOX	I should be free around 11:30 then have at 1:45
13:32:44, Wed		conference call
2015-09-30	INBOX	Aaaaand it turns out I'm not done. Stupid DoJ. Going to talk to
22:27:17, Wed	III OX	Addadna it tarns out i'm not ache. Stapia 201. Going to taik to
2015-09-30	OUTBOX	
23:00:29, Wed	COTBOX	What did doj
23.00.23, Wed		want?
2015-09-30	INBOX	Essentially, something to provide them the ability to not have to take
23:05:43, Wed	INDOX	a hard stand and say no to something defense counsel wants us to do.
23.03.43, Wed		a hard stand and say no to something detense counsel wants us to do.
2015-09-30	оитвох	They're awful. I'm sorry.
23:06:13, Wed		,
2015-09-30	OUTBOX	Yeah, when you deny the massacre of a million people over a hundred
23:33:20, Wed	00150%	years after it happened as a matter of state policy and by every
25.55.20, Wed		average citizen it's just sort of offensive.
di .		average citizen it s just soit of othersive.
i.		
2015-10-01	оитвох	Hey what's our zip at hg, 20535?
11:43:43, Thu		<u> </u>
2015-10-01	INBOX	That's true. \n\nI DON'T want to go to work today. I don't want to
11:43:45, Thu		fight at DOJ, & I don't want to fight the screaming
11.45.45, Md		people at headquarters who don't understand the subtleties of
		everything we have to do
2015-10-01	INBOX	Yes. 20535.
11:43:45, Thu		
2015-10-01	INBOX	Hi. To ces for proliferation portfolio
21:42:24, Thu		The residence prometation portrollo
2015-10-01	INBOX	And holy sh*t destroyed ces and nsd at a meeting this
PORTO REPORTED AND CONTROL	INDOX	10 to
22:34:31, Thu	OUTBOX	afternoon. Remind me to tell you.\n\nAnd hi.  Ooh. I can't believe you didn't tell me! Can you still talk?
2015-10-01	COTBOX	oon, i can t believe you didn't tell me! can you still talk?
22:40:09, Thu		<u> </u>

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-10-02	INBOX	
00:12:51, Fri		
		Been on the phone with so
		just as well. Going to start cooking in earnest now.
2015 10 02	CUTDOV	F
2015-10-02	оитвох	Everything
00:15:26, Fri 2015-10-02	INBOX	cool re
	INBOX	Yes\n\nAnd yeah, he just wanted to vent about main justice being
00:18:52, Fri 2015-10-02	INBOX	dicks Political dicks
	INBOX	Political dicks
00:18:57, Fri 2015-10-02	ОСТВОХ	Got it. \n\nCan imsg now, but it's okay if you can't.
	OUTBOX	Got it. (inflican imag now, but it's okay it you can t.
00:19:38, Fri 2015-10-04	оитвох	I don't want to go back to work. I feel lost in a way.
20:23:09, Sun	OOTBOX	I've truly never felt this way before. I work, that's who I am, what I do.
20.25.09, 3un		12 11 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25
2015-10-04	INBOX	God. :(
2013-10-04 21:13:01, Sun	INDOX	n\nRe work, that will come. Once you figure out what
21.15.01, 3un		
		you're going to be doing, you'll throw yourself into it like you always
		have and you'll be fine. Trust me on that. There's enough complex
		work for good attorneys, and you'll get it.
2015-10-04	оитвох	Hey also heads up if you've emailed me today, I haven't been able to
22:32:40, Sun	DO TBOX	get it. I'm guessing my unet password has expired.
22.32.40, 3un		get it. Thi guessing my dilet password has expired.
2015-10-04	INBOX	It's going to be ok at work. And haven't emailed you here, although i
22:52:06, Sun	762745 2H503	just did on gmail
2015-10-05	OUTBOX	My unet not working.
14:17:52, Mon	Company Company	<u> </u>
2015-10-05	INBOX	K, then I'm going to walk into sioc quickly
18:09:02, Mon	and the state of the state of the	
2015-10-05	OUTBOX	Leaving now. Also, play dumb with he's going to schedule lunch
18:16:34, Mon		for tomorrow.
2015-10-05	INBOX	You clearly haven't read the email repartee yet. You update your
18:53:26, Mon		password on samsung?
2015-10-05	OUTBOX	I did. I don't give a hoot about the email repartee.
18:54:10, Mon		
2015-10-05	INBOX	No, just long. JG wants to go to mtg at State tomorrowcertainly
22:29:50, Mon		changes dynamic. \n\n
	District Control of the Control of t	
2015-10-05	оитвох	Who was going to be ranking? Randy? Jg certainly tougher, may be a
22:35:00, Mon		good thing.\n\nK.\n\n
2015-10-05	INBOX	Yep. He's doing whatever here with dd. I guess, but hope we're not
22:38:11, Mon	*	over playing our hand.
	Ŷ	ara kaling an muni

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-10-05	OUTBOX	Whatever here with DD? Not sure what that means.
23:03:53, Mon		
0		<u>,                                    </u>
		probably try to leave around 2 again if I can
Vista anakini kakenda - Nyada		
2015-10-05	INBOX	I have to be back early to get to State by 2. Let's leave early (does
23:06:58, Mon		have something keeping him from departing until noon)
2045 40 00	INDOV	
2015-10-06	INBOX	Btw, went down rundown of who's going where with She asked
00:50:53, Tue		if I had any leads on who replaces I said I didn't. \n\nSigh. No
		idea where I feel like I should go on this. It's not an obvious, oh, Pete
2015-10-06	INBOX	should go for that job.
	INBUX	Hey doesn't know I saw you earlier, right?
15:30:11, Tue 2015-10-06	INBOX	Sitting waiting at State
17:50:51, Tue	MBOA	Sitting waiting at State
2015-10-06	INBOX	Boooooooo. I do not like your absence as a result of your so-called
19:31:26, Tue	HARON	"work."
2015-10-06	ОИТВОХ	Sorry for the insane amount of absence. I spoke to jim,
20:10:24, Tue	2013011	trisha, so at least that is all handled.
2015-10-06	ОИТВОХ	Talked one substantive issue with trisha too, so that's good. At least I
20:11:15, Tue		hope.
2015-10-06	INBOX	Hey heading into sioc, if you can talk, email me and I'll step out
20:26:01, Tue		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2015-10-06	OUTBOX	
21:55:39, Tue		
2045 40 07	INDOV	
2015-10-07	INBOX	Headed back into sioc. Hit me on email or lync
15:42:46, Wed	INDOV	
2015-10-08	INBOX	
15:28:11, Thu		
2015-10-09	оитвох	Am also quite bummed about missing bc I was hoping to get a
12:11:12, Fri	3 mario 1 mario 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	sense of what I'll be doing/whether I can start moving boxes over
		(again). God, moving sucks.
2015-10-09	INBOX	Heading into sioc. Insg whenever, think about where we can link up. I
12:21:02, Fri		have an 11-12 then lunch with 12-1
2015-10-10	ОИТВОХ	Article on in the times. Just sent
22:22:18, Sat	ii ii	
2015-10-10	INBOX	Yep just read it. Good thing they draw parallels of cases WHERE
22:25:46, Sat		CHARGES ARE DROPPED as being the same as an uncharged
38		individual. \U0001f621
2015-10-12	INBOX	Again, I think work will actually help. Who are you checking in with?
22:29:11, Mon	) }	You get to set up your office.

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-10-12	INBOX	And I'll get to return all the stuff I've been holding for safe
22:29:32, Mon		keepingmore :)
2015-10-12	оитвох	whoever I see first. So probably \n\nMaybe.
22:33:13, Mon		Depends on what my lock options are. I'm going to resist getting locks
		changed on my safe, etc. until I gave some sense of duration. You do
		still need to slip yourself some cash though.
2015-10-12	оитвох	I'll definitely take my pen though. \U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636
22:35:28, Mon		
2015-10-12	INBOX	We'll see. You need to go exchange before it's too late. Though I can
22:37:24, Mon		obviously live quite happily with what's there now. \U0001f60a
2015-10-12	оитвох	Yes, still need to muster the courage\U0001f612
22:38:27, Mon	2.0	700 VALUE
2015-10-12	INBOX	Sigh. I suppose you can keep them at work indefinitely
22:40:39, Mon		
2015-10-12	OUTBOX	They're home, just need to reveal them finally. \n\n
22:42:43, Mon		Will hit you back here
2015-10-13	OUTBOX	Crap. I'm sorry. I didn't have this on me.
19:30:13, Tue		Had a good convo with And yes, I'm assigned to cpc.
20 YA		\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636
2015-10-13	INBOX	\U0001f60a Obviously have to get the job first.\n\n So
19:33:40, Tue		Randy is late, waiting on himhappy to give you a ride up tonight if timing works.
2015-10-13	INBOX	Btw, "for me," but we can cross that bridge if I get the job.
19:34:24, Tue		:D\n\nJoking, joking
2015-10-13	OUTBOX	I'm not too worried about that. Was VERY hard not to say anything to
19:34:51, Tue	20 AVAITABLE AVAITS - 2007-2007-2007-2007-2007-2007-2007-20	
2015-10-13	ОИТВОХ	Maybe I should ask to be reassigned;)
19:35:31, Tue		
2015-10-13	INBOX	Ha! You better not!!!\n\nStill waiting on Randy. \U0001f615
19:44:22, Tue		W ANY WAS W AS
2015-10-14	INBOX	just invited me to watch the Democratic debates.
00:18:07, Wed		\U0001f636\n\nHopefully he'll forgive me if I start screaming about
2015-10-14	OUTBOX	some email question I'm glad he did. \U0001f636
00:31:26, Wed	COTBOX	THI Black He did. (0000T1030
2015-10-14	OUTBOX	Yeah, there's not one. :(
00:31:36, Wed	COTBOX	, areres not one. A
2015-10-14	OUTBOX	Funny, emailing now to tell her I'm going to be late. We had a
01:01:36, Wed	COTBOX	brief convo about being friends/her being my boss. Went well, neither
o1.01.56, Wed		one of us are worried about it, but remind me to tell you about it.

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-10-14	OUTBOX	
01:06:37, Wed		
22		
		Giacalone wasn't in again today - I REALLY
		need to talk to him about getting a temp pass.
2015-10-14	INBOX	JG said he's out this week. Let me see what I can do
01:09:09, Wed		
2015-10-14	INBOX	And Martin OMalley's a douche
01:11:52, Wed		
2015-10-14	OUTBOX	Out the whole week?! Wtf?\n\nDon't worry about it If you can
01:13:59, Wed		get one for just tomorrow, great. But no big deal if not.
2015-10-14	ОИТВОХ	I'm not watching. I can't tell you how little I care right now.
01:14:26, Wed		
2015-10-14	INBOX	Yeah not sure what he's doing. But just saw a six month Mission Need
01:16:09, Wed		pass for a CD4 UC.
2015-10-14	INBOX	Kind of a foregone conclusion but so much more substantive than the
01:17:12, Wed		Rep debates
2015-10-14	ОИТВОХ	Which one? \n\nThat's nice to hear at least. \n\n
01:18:00, Wed	IN AN	LAR LE CONTROL DE CONT
2015-10-14	INBOX	Which one what?
01:21:15, Wed	INIDOV	IC Double and the second of th
2015-10-14	INBOX	JG. Don't know what's going on this week
01:21:15, Wed 2015-10-14	ОИТВОХ	Which uc?
01:21:27, Wed	COTBOX	Willett de:
2015-10-14	оитвох	Oh wait, I do. Going to Canada I think. Maybe randy joining? That ring
01:25:50, Wed	COIDOX	a bell?
2015-10-14	INBOX	4C. Not at all a mission need. Good initiative but not mission need.
01:26:13, Wed		\n\nDon't think Randy is going. Is it wrong of me to think this
		is largely a waste of time?
2015-10-14	ОИТВОХ	It totally is. Andy NEVER traveled in that gig unless he had to. But
01:27:57, Wed		whatever.
2015-10-14	INBOX	Ooh hillary Bernie throw down on 215
02:22:05, Wed		
2015-10-15	INBOX	Gregg got cirg AD
12:13:24, Thu		
2015-10-15	ОИТВОХ	Good for him.
12:20:17, Thu	lungu/	
2015-10-15	INBOX	Also remind me Baker WH story
12:59:06, Thu	CUTDOY	
2015-10-15	OUTBOX	8 888 10 1 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
15:39:44, Thu		Bc SOC is at Columbia Law School at some GDark symposium.
	<u></u>	\U0001f612

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-10-15	OUTBOX	Still in the Onp.
16:47:40, Thu		
2015-10-15	INBOX	Oh. Misread your lunch email. Why is SOC at Columbia and not
16:49:22, Thu		or an attorney like or ANYONE who can speak
(7 AAAA		substantively to the matter?
2015-10-16	INBOX	And re your last imsg, where does everyone think is going?
00:43:54, Fri		Clearly 1'd love 4 but it needs to be open
2015-10-16	OUTBOX	Okay.\n\nNo clue.
00:49:16, Fri	2 3	
2015-10-16	INBOX	And I'm sorry, and I just don't get along? No. He insists on
00:52:37, Fri	2	different investigative paths and encourages another field office to reopen a case (of we closed. Promptly leading to discussion in OI about whether the new office made material misrepresentations in their FISA application, leading to the case to be closed.
2015-10-16	INBOX	WOJSM.
00:53:23, Fri		, n
2015-10-16	INBOX	He is unprofessional, he actively bears a grudge and looks to find ways
00:54:01, Fri		to highlight that when he can, and generally vastly wildly over steps his role
2015-10-16	INBOX	He precipitates a formal meeting from Toscas to in which has
00:54:57, Fri		to calm down DOJ.
2015-10-16	INBOX	So yeah, I guess we just don't get along
00:55:08, Fri		
2015-10-16	OUTBOX	she just wasn't going to get into it. But you're right about all of
00:55:17, Fri	20.70	it, I know.
2015-10-16	OUTBOX	We also talked about what a blowhard douchy is and how she
00:56:19, Fri		likes a lot of the agents and SSAs at wfo but that they seem to be
CALL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER		going off the rails with you gone.
2015-10-16	OUTBOX	I'm fine.
00:56:30, Fri		
2015-10-16	оитвох	Yeah, he hates with an unbridled passion.
00:57:02, Fri		
2015-10-16	INBOX	Sorry to vent. My point is short of douchyness you
01:01:34, Fri		shouldn't hate so hard
2015-10-16	OUTBOX	Vent all you want. Doesn't bother me.
01:02:05, Fri		
2015-10-16	OUTBOX	So. Guess I'm going to go now, unless you think you're going to free
01:05:20, Fri		up or have more you want to say.
2015-10-16	INBOX	Just freed up. How come you didn't mention all this earlier?!?
01:10:05, Fri	g ^{ra}	Annual resource services and a service

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-10-16	OUTBOX	What a douche. And love that he got a secutity clearance - very
01:10:26, Fri		rigourous review of his background obviously.\n\nAn article to share:
*	4	He claimed to be ex-CIA and was quoted as an expert on Fox News.
	28	Prosecutors say it was a lie.\nHe claimed to be ex-CIA and was quoted
		as an expert on Fox News. Prosecutors say it was a
		lie.\nhttp://wapo.st/10FfROb
2015-10-16	OUTBOX	Forgot.
01:10:55, Fri		
2015-10-16	INBOX	I'm really worried about wf. Yes, there have been a couple of issues
01:12:37, Fri		since I left. Some of this is jackals haters hating (Hi \n\nBut it
		pains me because you want to be replaceable. Everybody thinks being
		irreplaceable is great. The opposite is true. You want to get everyone
		good enough that it doesn't matter if you're there
2015-10-16	INBOX	Spinning in my head, of course. Probably just going to go in. I have to
10:05:32, Fri	~	get Gregg bullets for my PAR plus write 8 others.
Ĭ ,	,	
2015-10-17	OUTBOX	Was thinking about it more, I think you could totally start the poem
00:15:43, Sat		tomorrow by saying "Reading poetry, out loud, before a room full of
		people isn't exactly what FBI agents excel at, so we ask your
		forgiveness for any stumbles along the way" or something like that.
2015-10-17	оитвох	And just realized, never came. Not sure what happened. As I
00:17:52, Sat		was leaving he said he'd see me there
2015-10-17	INBOX	Yeah, nor Andy or the DD.
00:18:35, Sat		
2015-10-17	OUTBOX	Andy is down in southern VA. Ironman is tomorrow.
00:19:07, Sat		
2015-10-17	INBOX	I don't know if I want to say thattoo cute/familiar? Especially in
00:19:10, Sat		front of jg, Randy
2015-10-17	ОИТВОХ	Think it would be fine, but either way.
00:19:50, Sat		
2015-10-17	INBOX	Oh. Thought it was local. better drag his sorry ass down
00:21:35, Sat		tomorrow. \n\nAnd if this keeps up we might as well imsg. \n\r
	8	

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-10-18 19:08:16, Sun	INBOX	Easy when your ENTIRE country is the size of Oregon. And when your colonial policies are largely to blame for the Israeli-Palesinian conflict, the India -Pakistani conflict, pretty much every place your colonial
		empire touched.\n\nAnd finally the why can't the US have an MI5,
		they're soooo much better than us debate has died. Pretty much every bss or sb guy I've ever talked to wished they could be us. \n\nI
		have a real problem with Anglophiles and the whole perception of
	8	bringing intellectual greatness to the world.\n\nAnyway. Hi. \u263a
2015-10-19	OUTBOX	Can't talk, did you get my text? Of course et AL have had these
11:39:39, Mon		briefs for god knows how long, I'm going to need to scramble to
		review them this am and figure out what we need to provide to doj.
2015-10-19	INBOX	Yes, was just checking in case you might have hit traffic.
11:42:17, Mon		\U0001f636\n\nWhen's the deadline to doj? Today?
2015-10-19	ООТВОХ	Of course the deadline is today. said mid-morning.
11:54:03, Mon		\U0001f612\n\nYeah, it was damn cold out this morning. Totally need
		your shirt, and thin gloves, and something for my ears
2015-10-19	INBOX	And just re-read comments. Looks like mid morning.
11:54:38, Mon	INDOV	
2015-10-19	INBOX	Selfishly, the only good news in all of that is it may mean we can grab
11:55:59, Mon	1	lunch, assuming you don't have plans. And I certainly know the
		comments do doesn't mean that they won't be calling long after that.  When is DoJ's deadline?
2015-10-19	OUTBOX	No clue. And yes, if I can work-wise, OF COURSE we're getting lunch.
11:56:50, Mon		
2015-10-19	OUTBOX	Okay, got to put this down and hustle now: Sorry. :(
11:57:04, Mon		
2015-10-20	ОИТВОХ	About my meeting tomorrow? Well whatever you did I didn't notice
22:43:48, Tue		it.
2015-10-20	INBOX	I'm not. \n\nNothing but
23:29:14, Tue		sweet re
2015-10-20	оитвох	So tell me!
23:40:09, Tue	1	
2015-10-21	INBOX	said all the stuff you were describing, she would be no good and
00:02:32, Wed		have no desire to do. I told her that what you said was that you were
		largely the same. She said yeah, but seemed also to worry about it
2015-10-21	INBOX	some. Hi. \n\nOMG THAT WAS HORRIBLE. AN UTTER WASTE OF TIME AND
20:13-10-21 20:14:34, Wed	INDOX	TAXPAYER MONEY. \n\nWHAT IN THE HELL IS DOING? WHO IS
20.14.34, Wed		SUPERVISING THEM?\n\nThere. I feel better, thanks
		SUPERVISING THEIRIT (II (III HEIE. THEELDELLEI, LIIdliks

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-10-21	INBOX	You still.get more done than 90%:of ogc
22:50:25, Wed		
2015-10-22	INBOX	That stinks. I'd love to meet you but I can't. Have to talk to
13:09:50, Thu		then 10 with then 1030 with ces then 11 with jg and toscas
2015-10-22	INBOX	Riding down elevator with and us atty, leans over and
13:10:16, Thu		whispers, "so I hear you may not be coming back."
2015-10-22	OUTBOX	Wow, talk about the word getting out. That's great
13:37:41, Thu		
2015-10-22	INBOX	Saddest conversation with So many topics of general interest
13:40:40, Thu		when we don't even need them!
2015-10-24	INBOX	Justice Department Wants Court To Force Apple To Decrypt iPhones
12:03:02, Sat		Because Apple Licenses, Not Sells, iOS: BIZ TECH: Tech Times\nhttp://www.techtimes.com/articles/99132/20151024/justice- department-wants-court-to-force-apple-to-decrypt-iphones-because- apple-licenses-not-sells-ios.htm
2015-10-24	INBOX	" "Apple cannot reap the legal benefits of licensing its software in this
12:03:09, Sat		manner and then later disclaim any ownership or obligation to assist law enforcement when that same software plays a critical role in thwarting execution of a search warrant,"
2015-10-24	INBOX	To be clear, that's the govt's brief, not the article. Still, i like the
12:07:31, Sat	Control of the Contro	reasoning. Yay imsg
2015-10-24	OUTBOX	Totally understood. My impressed was simply that we were taking it
12:08:19, Sat		on. Clever reasoning too.
2015-10-26	INBOX	Sorry, was talking to then WH. Just got done but need to call
23:31:54, Mon		Moffa and
2015-10-26	OUTBOX	Np. Hope everything is okay.
23:37:05, Mon		
2015-10-26	INBOX	Yeah. DoJ are putzes, man.
23:43:19, Mon		
2015-10-26	OUTBOX	Sounds awesome
23:44:07, Mon		
2015-10-27	INBOX	Awesome not the word I would use. Just people needing to posture.
00:52:14, Tue		
2015-10-27	оитвох	Yeah that was a joke.\n\nFine, but kind of odd.
00:53:30, Tue		
2015-10-27	INBOX	Yeah i was kind of cranky, sorry i missed the humor. \n\nHmm
00:58:24, Tue		
2015-10-27	ОИТВОХ	No problem.
01:36:09, Tue		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-10-27	оитвох	
22:33:58, Tue	(2)	
2015-10-27	INBOX	
22:42:49, Tue	N SOCIETA TACABATE	
2015-10-27	ОИТВОХ	
22:44:27, Tue		
2015-10-27	ОИТВОХ	
22:47:58, Tue		
2015-10-27	INBOX	
22:54:38, Tue	III.DOX	
2015-10-27	INBOX	
	INDOX	
22:56:05, Tue 2015-10-27	ОИТВОХ	
	COTBOX	
22:56:11, Tue	OUTDOY	
2015-10-27	ОИТВОХ	
22:56:35, Tue	INDOV	_
2015-10-27	INBOX	
22:58:27, Tue		
2015-10-27	OUTBOX	
22:59:56, Tue_		
2015-10-27	OUTBOX	
23:00:31, Tue	<u> </u>	
2015-10-27	INBOX	
23:02:08, Tue		
2000		
2015-10-27	INBOX	
23:02:25, Tue	4	
2015-10-27	OUTBOX	
23:02:53, Tue	25 81 P	
2015-10-27	INBOX	
23:03:23, Tue		
2015-10-27	INBOX	
23:03:44, Tue_		
2015-10-27	ОИТВОХ	
23:05:11, Tue	無	
ener is resonant in a superior transfer (1995). The		
2015-10-27	INBOX	
23:05:47, Tue	44523	
2015-10-27	INBOX	
23:06:30, Tue		
2015-10-27	INBOX	
23:06:45, Tue		
2015-10-27	INBOX	
	INDOX	
23:07:13, Tue		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-10-27	оитвох	
23:07:43, Tue	34	
2015-10-27	OUTBOX	
23:09:37, Tue		
2015-10-27	INBOX	
23:11:16, Tue	V 170011 - 170-170	
2015-10-27	OUTBOX	
23:11:38, Tue	3	
2015-10-27	OUTBOX	
23:12:25, Tue	\$25555_\$\$	
2015-10-28	INBOX	Based on your prior representations to me that this group is entirely
00:57:19, Wed		women, I can only assume my inclusion on this group text was in the
	7	context of cancelling the 8:30 so as to free to attend with you,
3		not at the prospect of me attending.
2015-10-28	INBOX	
00:57:34, Wed	350 40	
2015-10-28	INBOX	And yes, apparently is in OKC. I don't understand why she
00:58:40, Wed		doesn't send I spent 8 months near there at my officer basic
A AND ALCOHOLOGY TO SHARE		course and there is NOTHING to recommend it
2015-10-29	OUTBOX	Hi. Remind me conversation about you with andy. All good
19:01:21, Thu	Chertor Alter Scattering	TOO IT THE REPORT OF EXCEPTION OF THE PROPERTY AND
2015-10-30	OUTBOX	
00:44:15, Fri	3	In this case, totally deficient NSL non-disclosure procedures.
15	2 7/5/22 15/5/4	\U0001f621
2015-10-30	INBOX	Yeah somehow I'm not surprised that they suck.
00:45:56, Fri		
	-	200000000
2015-10-30	OUTBOX	Reading this definitely sucks worse.
00:50:57, Fri	8000	55-09 E2
2015-10-30	INBOX	You have a sofrcopy? Will read it while I wait
00:51:46, Fri	1000	W 47 12 12
2015-10-30	OUTBOX	I wish. Just on red side. (Not class, just written there).
00:52:26, Fri		
2015-10-30	OUTBOX	And god, these are so bareboned I'm not even sure where to start.
00:58:52, Fri		
2015-10-30	INBOX	Hi. JG just called
01:43:12, Fri	<u> </u>	10/3
2015-10-30	OUTBOX	He did? That's good. '
02:36:45, Fri		
	142000 0000	
2015-10-30	INBOX	Got a tiny hint of some more info this
10:07:30, Fri		morning. Will tell you later.
2015-10-31	OUTBOX	
20:20:32, Sat		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-10-31	INBOX	
20:36:08, Sat		
2015-10-31	OUTBOX	
21:22:59, Sat	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	
2015-11-01	OUTBOX	And I hope Paul Ryan fails and crashes in a blaze
23:21:36, Sun	<u> </u>	of glory.
2015-11-01	INBOX	Yes. And, me too. At some point the Rep party needs to pull their
23:23:04, Sun		head out of their *ss. Shows no sign of occurring any time soon.
2015-11-02	INBOX	Hi. Forgot! have lunch today with Iris and So that's nice.
16:54:33, Mon		
2015-11-02	OUTBOX	
17:44:50, Mon		
2015-11-02	INBOX	
18:20:09, Mon		
2015-11-02	OUTBOX	
18:23:57, Mon		
,		\n\nHow was lunch?
2015-11-03 00:48:13, Tue	INBOX	No. Lazy entitlement. \n\nTold her she should go and we could tell her about the meetings afterwards. And re ust that I hadn't realized you and didn't like her either (a lie, obviously)\n\nAnd
		sorry, I can't.
`		
2015-11-03 13:13:37, Tue	OUTBOX	That's stinky. \U0001f621 Yes, 9:30, and lunch with Andrew. And need to rewrite the nsl procedures TODAY. When do you think you'll be back?
2015-11-03	ОИТВОХ	Lucky day - Andrew just canceled on me. :)
14:13:25, Tue		in to complete the second state of the second secon
2015-11-04	ОИТВОХ	lost. What a f-ing disappointment.
11:17:02, Wed		4 WEI 1-7 NORWOODS
2015-11-04	ОИТВОХ	52/47. I'm really so disappointed. What is wrong with people?
11:27:43, Wed	TANKEN TENS	
2015-11-04	INBOX	(nB) I saw. Disappointing, but look at the district
11:48:51, Wed	production (market)	map. Loudon is being gentrified, but it's still largely ignorant hillbillys. Good for her for running, but curious if she's energized or never again.

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body	
2015-11-05	INBOX	Btw, just saw	has a six month Mission Need pass
17:28:19, Thu	74 1722———————————————————————————————————		
2015-11-05	OUTBOX	Yeah, but she's an acting	SC like so that's not so unreasonable
17:29:09, Thu		Though I appreciate you	r attempt to find fault. :)
2015-11-06	OUTBOX	Hi there. I am both late a	and slow this morning. Seems unreasonable
12:49:15, Fri		to have to go to work to	day
2015-11-06	INBOX	He have a 1 hard stop?	
17:29:16, Fri			
2015-11-06	OUTBOX	Nope were going now. N	o clue how long.
17:30:39, Fri		20 See See See See See See See See See Se	200
2015-11-06	OUTBOX	Walking back now	
18:34:11, Fri			
2015-11-06	INBOX	K. Need to meet the Aus	sies real fast then free till 3. Jones wants
18:42:58, Fri		closeout. \U0001f612	8. 4-3
2015-11-06	OUTBOX	L, let me see of I can get	my card fixed quickly. Going in to ofc now
18:43:29, Fri		70 Hz	
2015-11-06	INBOX	Hi. Free	
19:03:31, Fri	MC 2000	7/7 <b>8</b> 7/2022 1 - 3/202	
2015-11-06	OUTBOX	Sorry got caught with	Can call you from the car.
22:20:44, Fri	55	\$355 FX	900-
2015-11-07	OUTBOX	K. coming over in a	second, but don't expect she'll stay very long.
16:56:35, Sat	H 35 35	Just fyi if I am delayed.	
2015-11-07	INBOX		if you're interested but going to
17:11:25, Sat		need helpnot full time.	
2015-11-07	OUTBOX		tayed longer than I thought she would. I can
17:43:55, Sat	8	imsg now if you still can.	(A) 2750
2015-11-07	OUTBOX	As I've told I'll do w	hatever she wants me to.
17:44:31, Sat	0		
2015-11-07	INBOX		
22:30:10, Sat		202	
2015-11-08	OUTBOX	Going into the office I'm	about an hour and send
15:14:42, Sun		the procedures. (I just w	ent direct with Trisha - is fairly useless).
38			ut realize there's no reason for you to come
l		to HQ this morning.	
2015-11-08	INBOX		rthless. Remind me story from 14.
15:18:55, Sun	17-5252		VVA 02222
2015-11-08	OUTBOX	The thing I most dislike -	and even confirmed - is that if he screws
15:26:33, Sun		something up, like he wa	s supposed to tell me x at noon but didn't
		until 5, you absolutely kr	now he will retell the story to a boss in the
		light most favorable to h	MARCHEN SECTOR - TO BE SECRET THE SECOND SECTION OF SECOND SECTION OF THE SECOND SECTION OF SECOND SECTION OF SECOND SECTION S
2015-11-08	OUTBOX	I guess.	
16:36:19, Sun			
2015-11-09	INBOX	Can you at least take you	ur phone inside of the conferences? If it's oi, I
12:59:28, Mon		doubt it. And that really	nn - spilling men en e
	16	acase in mia charically	
2015-11-09	OUTBOX	Nope I can't. It's in oi.	

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-11-09	INBOX	God that sucks.
13:01:12, Mon		
2015-11-09	OUTBOX	I wish. Would have been the highlight of the day.
13:01:51, Mon	• 4	23V 42 H 40Z
2015-11-09	INBOX	\U0001f606 and \U0001f61e
13:04:31, Mon	#7 	
2015-11-09	INBOX	What time do you start?
13:06:42, Mon		
2015-11-09	OUTBOX	9:00. But have to go to nslb first
13:07:56, Mon		
2015-11-09	INBOX	That stinks. Heading into headquarters now. Should you suddenly
13:10:01, Mon		want to run off for lunch, let me know. Although I may have to speak at WFO over lunch.
2015-11-09	INBOX	
22:20:37, Mon		
2015-11-11	INBOX	A) didn't get anything. Assume you mean unet email.\n\nB) ok. Then
13:41:24, Wed		will plan on going in, hopefully it works out. 2-4 is best bet, obviously no guarantees?
2015-11-11	OUTBOX	B) yes
13:43:30, Wed	orts of	
2015-11-11	OUTBOX	Hey heads up in the ofc, just walking out now.
20:14:17, Wed		
2015-11-11	OUTBOX	I texted her earlier to see if she wanted to join is to EM, is just leaving
20:15:04, Wed	7000	now so just getting it.
2015-11-12	OUTBOX	Well I also paused bc I didn't want you to freak out since it's a really
01:40:07, Thu	2-9	remote chance anyway.
2015-11-12	INBOX	I am of course going to encourage you to do it
01:42:12, Thu		
2015-11-12	OUTBOX	Sure it is. And seriously, you couldn't to worry for a year about
01:42:36, Thu		something that is very unlikely to happen?
2015-11-12	INBOX	You should tell me - only makes it worse. I'm glad you did. And
01:54:45, Thu		I'm going to keep trying to persuade you to do it.
2015-11-12	OUTBOX	It's not even a point of persuasion.
01:56:22, Thu		
2015-11-12	INBOX	And I told you about right? And we'll be having
01:57:16, Thu		similar convos whenever I hit 12-18 months at HQ\n\nWould you get some sort of housing help? TDY-type \$
2015-11-12	INBOX	He would love SF more than you even, right?
01:57:49, Thu		
2015-11-12	OUTBOX	Yes he would.
01:58:22, Thu	)	5 AV
2015-11-12	OUTBOX	Yes, he would. Have no clue about tdy money or housing. Obviously a
01:59:54, Thu		big issue.

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-11-12	INBOX	You should go to SF. I'll set a reminder to bring it up in April.
02:01:19, Thu		F01. // // // P13. E4 .6
2015-11-12	INBOX	Of course. I'm going to drag you by PeregrineWhen's your meeting
02:25:33, Thu		with Trisha?
2015-11-12	ОИТВОХ	Yeah, might not be able to. Mtg is at 10. Let me see if I can get on
02:27:20, Thu	N N Se v v se v se	unet email - I never remember how, 324mail.com?
2015-11-12	INBOX	Yep re 324
02:37:14, Thu		
2015-11-12	INBOX	What are you trying to
03:03:47, Thu		check, btw? DoJ comments?
2015-11-12	OUTBOX	ls it .com?
03:06:42, Thu		
2015-11-12	OUTBOX	Have to meet with trisha at 10, need to at least know what they say.
03:07:15, Thu	S. Santa Santas	
2015-11-12	INBOX	Make sure you get the "s"\nHttps://www.324mail.com
03:07:47, Thu	garrandanis mene H	The Control of the Co
2015-11-12	OUTBOX	So weird. I couldn't type it directly into the browser but could follow a
03:09:44, Thu		link.
2015-11-12	INBOX	Yay. So it worked?
03:16:41, Thu		and the second of the second o
2015-11-12	OUTBOX	Yes. And sigh, DOJ. Questions, questions, questions.
03:17:27, Thu	CONTRACOR OF SANCENTRACTORS	Standard Standard Comment (Comment of the Comment o
2015-11-12	INBOX	Of course. We're dumb - even retarded - and Not To Be Trusted.
03:18:37, Thu	Transment and second	\n\nWho's providing comment from DoJ?
2015-11-12	OUTBOX	Oh, about 600 people. Nsd and civ.
03:19:02, Thu	Professional Control C	address - Anning Carlotte and - \$2 page of - Copyright - 2 na 2-45 Anning - 2 nitrogen Copyright
2015-11-12	INBOX	Civ = crim? Olc? Oia?
03:20:10, Thu	The Auditor Control of the Control o	The last of the second
2015-11-12	OUTBOX	No, the civil division at doj. The folks who defend us when we get
03:20:52, Thu		sued, like over nondisclosure of an nsl.
2015-11-12	INBOX	You can always sh*t can all of their comments - after you tell
03:21:12, Thu		Trisha
March Printed Control		
2015-11-12	OUTBOX	Some are okay.\n
03:22:25, Thu		A 100
2015-11-12	INBOX	Oh. Well that makes sense. \n\nMaybe. Don't trust DoJ. No reason,
03:37:13, Thu		and that's unreasonable because most of them are just trying to do a
	6	good job.\n\n
2015-11-12	INBOX	Oh. OK, good.
03:37:40, Thu		.090a04
2015-11-12	INBOX	But not really.
03:37:55, Thu		*
2015-11-12	INBOX	
23:10:13, Thu	Marie San Caracteris	
2015-11-13	INBOX	Headed to Wf for a meeting on NATsback around 10
14:04:16, Fri	CONTROL OF CONTROL	The second second of the second secon

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-11-13	INBOX	Q
14:10:50, Fri		
2015-11-13	OUTBOX	Happy to. I have about 600 emails to respond to, which just isn't
23:49:58, Fri		possible
2015-11-14	INBOX	Yeah. Listening to the news, France's state of emergency allows the
00:12:00, Sat		state to exercise censorship across all media and suspends
		requirements for warrants to enter private spaces. How's that
		transparency and privacy rights for you, EU?
2015-11-14	OUTBOX	Yeah, no shit.
00:14:39, Sat	00 Per 1 Vil 25 10 Per 10	3
2015-11-14	INBOX	
00:24:45, Sat		
8		
2015-11-14	оитвох	I'm just emailing with on
15:28:48, Sat	GOTBOX	this mandatory transparency scheme, just like the old days.
13.20.40, 340		
2015-11-14	INBOX	Hop to it! Stupid
15:30:00, Sat	INDOX	hop to it: Stapia
2015-11-14	INBOX	Somebody needs to ask how mandatory transparency balances
CAMBUSTANCE DESCRIPTION	INBOX	against 127 dead in Paris.
15:30:53, Sat 2015-11-14	OUTBOX	Yeah, no joke. And thanks for the sitrep.
CONTRACTO DATEMENTAL	OUTBOX	reall, no joke. And thanks for the strep.
15:33:17, Sat 2015-11-15	оитвох	And check out the balls on France. Just like that and they're
	OOTBOX	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
21:34:48, Sun	CUTDOY	conducting airstream on Syria.
2015-11-15	OUTBOX	Airstrikes, nor airstream.
21:35:03, Sun	INDOV	Water the Paris September 1988 and the Paris
2015-11-15	INBOX	Yrah, but, France. They're probably dispensing leaflets with insults.
21:35:26, Sun		a la
2015-11-16	оитвох	Sorry been having to restart to get coverage everybday. \U0001f621
23:15:36, Mon	INDOV	C ( ) Y A III TI CIU III III III III III III
2015-11-16	INBOX	Sent. \n\nAnd I know. These are awful. I'm willing to bet it's the
23:17:21, Mon	INDOV	software we put on there.
2015-11-19	INBOX	:(I'm sorry. \n\nYou could do what a third of ogc does and just nap in
11:50:48, Thu	INDOV	your office;)
2015-11-24	INBOX	Boo. Going alone to Peets. :(\n\nGood news other than Conf call with
14:10:35, Tue		OTD at 11 and lunch with Bill at noon, I'm free
2015-11-26	оитвох	Spent about two hours shooting the sh*t with coday.
00:20:54, Thu		Was a nice time. The problem with is he is just so damn likeable
2015-11-26	OUTBOX	Hey have you told that you're likely about to join the ses?
00:26:05, Thu	S 20 17 W	You should. will be very proud. \U0001f60a
2015-11-26	оитвох	Have you told him about work yet? I'm going to be very angry if you
18:17:00, Thu		don't. \U0001f621

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-11-26	INBOX	C) not yet.
19:30:00, Thu		
2015-11-28	OUTBOX	
22:38:23, Sat		And what does have to say?
2015-11-28	INBOX	just wanted to shoot the shit. Talked about and everyone
22:39:54, Sat		leaving, catching hell about trying to get in to his place in CT, etc
2015-11-29 13:18:26, Sun	INBOX .	And my unet password expired, this is buzzing every 15 minutes saying login failure. Highly annoying. Also aggravating because I keep thinking it's you\U0001f636
2015-11-30	INBOX	Yay. \u263a\n\nJust heard from D is coming down at 11:30, so
13:16:26, Mon		won't be able to leave for lunch until noon.
2015-11-30	INBOX	So IOD Ops 2 SC just posted as well
22:22:26, Mon		
2015-11-30	OUTBOX	You're telling me.
22:32:42, Mon	7000	\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U00001f636\U000001f636\U000001f636\U000001f636\U000001f636\U000001f636\U00000000000000000000000000000000000
2015-11-30	INBOX	You didn't say anything!\\U0001f636
22:36:37, Mon		
2015-12-01	INBOX	Sent you an article on Gmail
22:31:52, Tue	22 720	*
2015-12-01	INBOX	Talk about
22:40:41, Tue		\U0001f61d\U0001f61d\U0001f61d\U0001f61d!
2015-12-01	INBOX	So there's my serious proposal: figure out when you can and want to
23:05:11, Tue	25	go, and I'll set it up
2015-12-01	INBOX	'Cause that's not future doing it wrong insurance
23:05:38, Tue	5 900	V965 576N
2015-12-01 23:52:33, Tue	INBOX	Sorry. And got a 20 minute call from Gregg to come work for him and "run the Bureau's 140 airplane Air Force."\n\nThen dealing with stupid doj hesitancy to get us SW
2015-12-02	INBOX	\U0001f636\n\nHeading into sioc for 8:30. Hit me on email or I will
13:21:14, Wed		check back here as soon as I'm done.
2015-12-02	INBOX	Omg have a story. Let me know if you can talk. It can also
23:16:15, Wed	Natural Accountage of	wait until tomorrow.
2015-12-02	INBOX	Also remind me about Harbachs replacement
23:27:05, Wed	OPPORT CALL CONTROL OF THE	
2015-12-02	ОИТВОХ	He's not a mountain man looking guy, is he? \n\nAnd sorry, just got
23:45:42, Wed	15	home.
2015-12-02	ОИТВОХ	Harbach's replacement. Saw and Rybicki with a huge strange
23:47:44, Wed		man today. No introductions were made, but he was clearly with them.
2015-12-02	INBOX	Hmm. No, 1 don't think so. But that discussion not for here.
23:49:15, Wed		so I can imsg, too.
2015-12-02	OUTBOX	So who is his replacement? What do you know?
23:50:04, Wed	80 57 W.S.	
2015-12-02	INBOX	I just told you, not for here! \u263a\n\nAnd cone of silence,
23:50:52, Wed		obviously.

here. Woke at 5, realized I'm at Quantico back to sleep. Of course now I'm  Monday - any plug for him would be  was ok to forward to
Monday - any plug for him would be  was ok to forward to /our email to  ou.  d I cannot think of a more qualified  ne. \u263a\n\nAnd I forgot to mention,
Monday - any plug for him would be  was ok to forward to
Monday - any plug for him would be  was ok to forward to /our email to  ou.  d I cannot think of a more qualified  ne. \u263a\n\nAnd I forgot to mention,
Monday - any plug for him would be  was ok to forward to /our email to  ou.  d I cannot think of a more qualified  ne. \u263a\n\nAnd I forgot to mention,
was ok to forward to /our email to ou.  d I cannot think of a more qualified ne. \u263a\n\nAnd I forgot to mention,
was ok to forward to /our email to ou.  d I cannot think of a more qualified ne. \u263a\n\nAnd I forgot to mention,
d I cannot think of a more qualified ne. \u263a\n\nAnd I forgot to mention,
d I cannot think of a more qualified ne. \u263a\n\nAnd I forgot to mention,
d I cannot think of a more qualified ne. \u263a\n\nAnd I forgot to mention,
d I cannot think of a more qualified ne. \u263a\n\nAnd I forgot to mention,
ne. \u263a\n\nAnd I forgot to mention,
ne. \u263a\n\nAnd I forgot to mention,
ne. \u263a\n\nAnd I forgot to mention,
ne. \u263a\n\nAnd I forgot to mention,
ne. \u263a\n\nAnd I forgot to mention,
irs with him.
And thank
36 \U0001f636 I'm suddenly really excited.
0001f60a
you're SO ready. You've already done the
bout, but I am really scared about balance.
han the dedication
Andy, I'll be here every day going 110%,
y late every night, but you MUST make
ow. Of course Andy will be fine, it's me I'm
k if I have the discipline to work
oooo hard. And yeah, there goes
+100 - 50 - 495 (1694) (500) (100) (100) (100) (100) (100) (100) (100) (100) (100) (100) (100) (100) (100) (100)
ectation that you would travel with Andy?
cottation that you would travel with Alluy!

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-12-05	INBOX	Oh, I meant exactly that - that Andy would be fine
01:15:30, Sat		I meant youd have
		to apply that discipline to keeping a work schedule.
2015-12-05	INBOX	
01:15:41, Sat		2000
2015-12-05	OUTBOX	No clue re travel. I doubt it. Maybe if I really wanted to he'd do me
01:17:12, Sat		the favor, but mostly you don't need a lawyer for anything.\n\nAnd last time with andy was different.
2015-12-05	INBOX	A) I think that's right. And consistent with past practice. \nB) that's
01:18:23, Sat	779 (PENNES) 4.	exactly my point.
2015-12-05	INBOX	Omg sent an email to Jon I meant to send to you. Not bad except for
01:25:13, Sat	Contractor Attack	the odd emoticon. \U0001f62e\U0001f62e\U0001f62e
2015-12-05	ОИТВОХ	Just blame fat fingers
01:26:53, Sat		
2015-12-05	OUTBOX	Send it!
01:27:05, Sat		a
2015-12-05	INBOX .	
01:27:26, Sat		
2015-12-05	OUTBOX	
01:28:28, Sat		
2015-12-05	INBOX	
01:32:05, Sat		
2015-12-05	OUTBOX	
01:32:32, Sat		
2015-12-05	OUTBOX	600/2012
01:34:47, Sat		
2015-12-05	OUTBOX	
01:38:39, Sat		
2015-12-05	оитвох	
01:40:38, Sat		
2015-12-05	INBOX	
13:59:08, Sat		hope comes, too. She
		stayed yesterday for a Cyber guy that showed up 45 minutes late (and that we cancelled on due to end of day meetings). Hope that didn't keep her from leaving as early as she wanted to. And CyD is WILDLY disfunctional. I mean really bad. They can do individual investigations but there is NO coherent program management. And this whole Strat/Tac model has just served to further balkanize their efforts. I mean it's REALLY bad.

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-12-05	OUTBOX	Don't know much about CyD, really. Haven't worked with them since I
14:03:58, Sat		was a prosecutor.\n\nLots of socializing, but all constantly accompanied,
2015-12-05	INBOX	Also, had a dark moment of not being able to go to sleep last night,
15:35:10, Sat		thinking I wouldn't get the job and having to save my pride by
2045 42 05	OUTDOV	stepping down if that happened
2015-12-05 15:40:44, Sat	OUTBOX	You're going to get the job. Randy made that clear, for like the 100th time again yesterday. And truly, if something truly
	8	freakish happens there are a) other jobs, and b) you love being an agent and can always do casework. Not a sole who works with you would fault you being you being totally urenzed and caving fit. I'm
	•••	would fault you being you being totally wronged and saying fit, I'm going back to street work. But truly, stop worrying. You're going to get the job.
2015-12-05 15:42:17, Sat	INBOX	It didn't feel that way at 10:50 last nightlots of well, what if puts in and talks to Bill, etcs
2015-12-05	очтвох -	That would be a grave injustice and it would seriously suck. But you'd
15:44:04, Sat	COTBOX	get through it, people would know it was utter bs, life would go on, and you'd be stronger for it.
2015-12-05	INBOX	I suppose. I am very good at reveling in insecurity. Did I send you
15:48:33, Sat	100 12 13	response to my email last night?
2015-12-05	INBOX	It's always nice to have outside confirmation of what you think. Of
15:52:27, Sat	10%A006000000000000000000000000000000000	course, hardly an unbiased observer.
2015-12-06	ОИТВОХ	
18:36:17, Sun	And and the same	
2015-12-06	INBOX	
18:42:15, Sun		
2015-12-07	INBOX	Moffa
19:28:40, Mon		is on standby for his job interview. If he's not done I'm going to have to go at 3:10 or so. :(
2015-12-07	OUTBOX	Np. I don't expect it will be done, But thank you for coming.
19:43:33, Mon	and factorial entropy	
2015-12-07 21:16:42, Mon	INBOX	I have to go get Jim around 5:15.
2015-12-07 21:18:11, Mon	INBOX	Sorry was calling to see if I could catch you walking back. Headed back in to SIOC

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-12-08	INBOX	There's about to be an interview on NPR that I know is going to
13:06:47, Tue	G	irritate me a Muslim leader who says it's not their community's job to
		look after itself because they're not quote law enforcement quote
2015-12-08	INBOX	Heading in to hq soon. Will reach out after 8:30
13:18:01, Tue	9	
2015-12-08	INBOX	Hi there. Done with mtg.
14:14:55, Tue		
2015-12-09	INBOX	K I'm going to go back to sioc hit me on lync ,
14:17:51, Wed		8 8 Ye May
2015-12-09	INBOX	Hi. Leaving now
22:51:03, Wed		
2015-12-09	OUTBOX	I'm still walking over.
22:51:48, Wed		***
2015-12-09	INBOX	Yeah saw from lync you left late. And stupid stood you up
22:53:25, Wed		
2015-12-09	OUTBOX	As always
22:53:47, Wed	25 17002017000170000	
2015-12-09	INBOX	Well he's an idiot. \U0001f636 I'm at Centrale
22:55:32, Wed	(ACC 1994) 2404 Sept. 4	37.007
2015-12-10	INBOX	And in the "I'm awful" category, just explained I drew the intervening
02:10:16, Thu		week and will likely have a ton tondo, including overnight (s).
8		
2015-12-10	ОИТВОХ	This is painful. Annual ethics training.
15:44:53, Thu		
2015-12-10	OUTBOX	Oh boy. Sec D is doing a mock court for their training. Scripts and
15:58:46, Thu		everything. Apparently they have waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa too much time
and the second s	P. 1	on their hands.
2015-12-10	ОИТВОХ	Oh good, now is doing a CI brief on the OPM breach.
16:08:54, Thu	Ĭ	
2015-12-10	INBOX	Who the f is It sounds HORRIBLE
16:17:59, Thu	29 E	
2015-12-10	OUTBOX	Sec D guy.
16:18:17, Thu	(A	
2015-12-10	оитвох	Now eap training. This is so painful. Stressors over the holiday
16:19:34, Thu		season? You have got to be kidding me. This is a considerable waste
		of time.
2015-12-10	INBOX	You should start shouting at them. Liven things up. ;)
20:09:38, Thu		
2015-12-11	INBOX	And damn, Bowdich came across poorly in the most recent press
13:53:48, Fri		conference
2015-12-11	ОИТВОХ	That sucks. I've thought he's otherwise been doing a pretty good job.
14:01:44, Fri		

DOJ-PROD-0000037

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-12-11	INBOX	Yeah I guess. There seems to be a very conscious decision from the D
14:15:09, Fri		down to manage expectations, which I guess is good, but it's now
		threatened by appearing that we're losing our sense of urgency.
		Probably driving in 20 or
		so. Going by WF on the way in.
2015-12-11	INBOX	Just walked into JEH behind - one of my pet peeves - actually care
17:58:54, Fri		about your fellow man enough to push open/hold the door for the
		person behind you rather than just scooting thru as it closes.
		\U0001f612\n\nGuess they don't teach that at Aspen
2015-12-11	INBOX	And double sigh. Now I'm going to stop pathetically sitting in the
22:11:16, Fri		break room. Going back into SIOC, so won't get texts. Have email, of
85 		course.
2015-12-11	INBOX	Obama just gave us a half day off on the 24th.
22:15:42, Fri		5 1000 N1
2015-12-16	ОИТВОХ	Hey I'm done. Going to start walking back, but may be with a
20:37:31, Wed		colleague.
2015-12-16	INBOX	K. Just got done with Conf call, walking in to wrap
20:44:06, Wed		
2015-12-16	оитвох	Obviously. Meet up before I go back to my ofc? Walking in now.
21:00:59, Wed	III OV	A second to the
2015-12-17	INBOX .	Aaaaand up early. To much exciting and important running through
10:02:31, Thu	E.	my head. Sent you a draft of email to Bill; I'd appreciate your review
Ĉ	d .	and comments. \n\nGotta get in and go through material for briefing. Shouldn't be hard but want to get my head around it.
À		Shouldn't be hard but want to get my head around it.
*		
2		
2015-12-17	INBOX	
11:44:54, Thu	INDOX	See you in a while. Your 9 in cpc?
±±.++.5+, 111d		see you ma while rour sin ope.
2015-12-17	OUTBOX	Yes, 5096 or something. Everyone and their mother going.
11:46:24, Thu		think Moffat too. Whatever.\n\nEmail looks perfect. Could substitute
-		distribution for devolution, but both work. Perfect tone, otherwise
B 91		totally excellent.
2015-12-17	INBOX	\U0001f636 thanks.
11:49:05, Thu		n\nWow. Yeah, Jon's analysts have been the ones going to the
		meetings, I think. And/or Dina got him involved. Not sure if he'll go
		since we have 10 with D.
2015-12-17	ОИТВОХ	Ah, forgot about that re jon. \n\nK, gotta hustle. Ttyl.
12:04:55, Thu	INDOV	AL LICENTIA DE LA CONTRA DELIGIA DE LA CONTRA DEL CONTRA DE LA CONTRA DEL LA CONTRA DE LA CONTRA DE LA CONTRA DE LA CONTRA DE LA CONTRA DEL CONTRA DEL CONTRA DE LA CONTRA DE LA CONTRA DE LA CONTRA DE
2015-12-17	INBOX	Almost to jehlet me know if you need that ride
22:10:17, Thu	I	

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-12-18	INBOX	nln/n,
21:39:14, Fri		answer to your Lync question, no contact. Didn't pick up call to work or ceil, and no response to my VMs on both. Whatever.
2015-12-18 22:00:08, Fri	ООТВОХ	Missed the cute Christmas singing, but just couldn't get out of the office until 4:00. Oh well.  No worries about  I didn't show her the one in the box, I just didn't want you to show the actual ornament. No problems
-	N	there.
2015-12-18 22:17:40, Fri	INBOX	Sorry, we were (/are) battling ces on stupid sh*t.
2015-12-18 22:28:12, Fri	ОИТВОХ	Why doubly sour? Bc you had to fight with ces?
2015-12-18	оитвох	Wow, I hadn't heard this.\n\nSystemic Change Needed After Faulty
22:52:55, Fri		Times Article http://nyti.ms/22ef11a
2015-12-18	INBOX	Sigh. Never argue with a lawyer. ;)\n\nReading the mea culpa nyt
23:01:05, Fri		piece
2015-12-19 00:23:00, Sat	ОИТВОХ	
2015-12-19 00:27:19, Sat	INBOX	
2015-12-19 00:30:38, Sat	ОИТВОХ	
2015-12-20 19:04:54, Sun	INBOX	Want to give her gift before she goes and hit HH tomorrow eve.
2015-12-20	ОИТВОХ	Just want to email: now to let her
22:55:16, Sun		know that I need to take some leave tomorrow.
2015-12-20	INBOX	Still doing something to keep them occupied.
23:20:11, Sun	100479555.0	
2015-12-20 23:20:37, Sun	INBOX	I will be in tomorrow mid-afternoon ish
2015-12-20 23:37:53, Sun	ОИТВОХ	Oh right, forgot they're off tomorrow.

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-12-20	INBOX	Yeah and they slip into slugitude without oversight \U0001f612
23:42:29, Sun		
2015-12-20	OUTBOX	Didn't you say you had to be in the ofc for something on Monday?
23:44:34, Sun		
2015-12-20	INBOX	I hope not otherwise
23:53:24, Sun		
2015-12-20	INBOX	Oh sh*t. And the meeting between the Office of Partner Engagement
23:54:36, Sun	78 C. (1923) - 40	and CD at 10.
2015-12-20	INBOX	I can miss that without a big problem
23:54:53, Sun		
2015-12-21	OUTBOX	So why not switch it around?
00:01:21, Mon		Go into the office then head back home midday.
2015-12-21	INBOX	What's better for you?
00:58:52, Mon	OUTROY	What an uttoridist \n\nAn artisla to show Donald Towns Outles
2015-12-21	очтвох	What an utter idiot.\n\nAn article to share: Donald Trump on Putin:
01:19:12, Mon		\u2018Nobody has proven that he\u2019s killed
Ä		anyone\u2019\nDonald Trump on Putin: \u2018Nobody has proven
*10		that he\u2019s killed anyone\u2019\nhttp://wapo.st/1PjfMkv
2015-12-21	INBOX	No doubt. \U0001f612\n\nOk to gmail some pics?
01:47:08, Mon		
2015-12-21	ОИТВОХ	Yeah right no blech! Especially the last, likely initiated by her
01:57:34, Mon		\U0001f61d\U0001f61d\U0001f61d\U0001f61d\U0001f61d
2015-12-21	INBOX	At hqdropping off present
18:09:20, Mon	- 000-05 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	WW O W Z' SER
2015-12-21	INBOX	Done. Just did TWO things to your office. \n\nThats what you get for
18:19:52, Mon	823	your long executive lunches :D
2015-12-22	INBOX	Serious question, is that a bad thing? \n\nAlso, remind me best quote
01:44:42, Tue		ever from at his SSA meeting
2015-12-22	OUTBOX	Ho boy. We are SUPER early. Been wandering the 7th floor for like 20
15:46:44, Tue	1000	minutes.
2015-12-22	INBOX	Wowthere's a pretty cool hallway that I think may be the Secretary's
16:50:40, Tue		corridor. AS couldn't get Giacalone in. \n\nls there a snackbar?
2015-12-22	OUTBOX	Yup, we saw it, though we didn't try to get in, obviously.\n\nAnd
16:59:03, Tue		jesus, we need thr next section chief engaged, and frankly the dad.
		Those folks care about rank, and we are definitely not sending the
		right level folks.
2015-12-22	INBOX	Sounds about right
17:29:29, Tue		
2015-12-22	OUTBOX	And with with me now. \U0001f621
18:31:17, Tue		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-12-23	INBOX	And I'm sorry, all these troubles because of the move from Treasury
11:59:48, Wed		to DHS? That's why all these senior managers with 15+ years are
		getting DUIs and not enforcing any rules? That suddenly sprang up
N. 144 VERTICAL DESTRUCCIONS	37	over night?
2015-12-23	OUTBOX	Jim cancelled lunch. May be getting coffee at 11 with him, he offered
12:47:40, Wed		but I think he was just being nice. Will let you know.
2015-12-23	INBOX	No, I'm driving in. I have no desire to spend anymore time on this
12:52:15, Wed		bullshit.\n\nOf course I'm thrilled g that your lunch is not open. I'm sorry you don't get to see Jim, but selfishly, I'm happy.
2015-12-23	оитвох	Meeting him for coffee at 11: Other than 2:00 with moffa, I'm free.
12:58:16, Wed		
2015-12-23	OUTBOX	You want a coffee? I'm going to skip since I'm meeting Jim at 11
14:47:46, Wed		
2015-12-23	INBOX	\U0001f621 stupid
14:47:57, Wed		
2015-12-23	OUTBOX	Your call. Am done with jim, where should I meet you?
17:02:32, Wed	1	
2015-12-23	INBOX	JG here don't come in
17:07:04, Wed		
2015-12-27	ОИТВОХ	I think the doj attorney in that story is with ccips. His name is familiar.
21:41:25, Sun		\n\nThen I read this other article. Man, people are
		stupid.\n\nhttps://www.washingtonpost.com/news/local/wp/2015/1
		1/05/maryland-family-faces-harsh-criticism-after-adopted-daughter-
		featured-in-american-girl-
	5	magazine/?tid=hybrid_experimentrandom_3_na
2015-12-27	INBOX	And re the article, yeah, Manassas, shocker. Same people who elected
23:36:45, Sun		that knucklehead ran against
2015-12-28	OUTBOX	It's sick, but I really like policy issues. And having tight deadlines.
18:26:14, Mon		Sigh
2015-12-28	INBOX	Is that what doj wanted?
19:18:11, Mon		
2015-12-28	INBOX	You get all our oconus lures approved? ;)
19:18:32, Mon		
2015-12-28	OUTBOX	No, it's just implicated a much bigger policy issue. I'll explain later.
19:19:41, Mon		Might even be able to use it as pretext for a call:)
2015-12-29	ОИТВОХ	
13:22:48, Tue		Email from further aggravates me.
2015-12-29	OUTBOX	Obviously you can't know anything about the cpc issue yet.
13:23:26, Tue	<u> </u>	
2015-12-29	INBOX	Got it. See my response.
13:30:01, Tue		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2015-12-29 14:03:50, Tue	INBOX	Truly, wouldn't worry too much about involvement. A) she's in your chain of command (and I know attys are much more flat than agents about that) B) realistically not anything you're going to be able to do about it C) you're out of office without access to high side D) not hurting you (other than your ego). You're plenty talented. Everyone knows that. And you want to own your issue. Thats good and normal and everyone knows that, too.\n\nI know you know all this. Just trying to help you not let this be aggravating \U0001f60a
2015-12-29	оитвох	Yup, nothing I can do about it. It's aggravating because I'm not one of
14:07:21, Tue		the lumpies so I can handle my own sh*t.
2015-12-29	INBOX	I'm certain knows that.
14:13:15, Tue		
2015-12-29	INBOX	Andy knows that
14:13:23, Tue	2007	
2015-12-29	OUTBOX	All the more aggravating then.
14:13:52, Tue	M 100	1500 ST
2015-12-29	INBOX	Look at the big picture though. It's less aggravating.
14:17:34, Tue		You're fine.
2015-12-29	ОЏТВОХ	Yeah, when Mark finally finds a job 19 months from now. \U0001f612
14:20:48, Tue		
2015-12-29	INBOX	Well you can blow the sh*t out of some CP stuff in the meantime.
14:35:09, Tue	, a	;)\n\n(I'm NOT letting you be cranky thus morning - can you tell? Yes,
		1 know I'm wildly ineffective so far)
2015-12-29	OUTBOX	So long as you realize it
14:45:27, Tue		
2015-12-29	INBOX	Just ran into leaving some meeting with
16:52:04, Tue		
2015-12-29	OUTBOX	Hey heads up I called your desk but someone answered. I didn't leave
20:21:43, Tue	1	a message but just fyi in case someone says a woman called for you but didn't leave a name.
2015-12-29	INBOX	K. Just got done briefing Bill. Scheduled for 1 hour, took two. How
21:03:16, Tue		long is your flight delayed?

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-01-02	ОИТВОХ	
14:49:01, Sat	ć	
æ		
		nI feel like my calendar is pretty full this week, have a vague sense of "better busy yourself up this week" if I recall correctly. I'll probably just go in and check email, but I'm not sure there's much else fun to do down there.
2016-01-02	INBOX	
15:12:55, Sat	V - Carrier 1979 (State of 12 CT	\U0001f636\n\nHigher up work people (the
		ones you mentioned, Mark and JG, or Randy, for that matter) wouldn't.
		And yes, I'm TOTALLY the same. I may unnecessarily care what people
		think way more than you. I'm trying to get a comfort with sense of self
		where I don't care. It's not so much on the professional work side (I
	Ö	know I'm good enough that I can be confident and humble there) buy
		on the interpersonal side.
ST.		on the merpersonal side.
2016-01-04	OUTBOX .	One sec. In with John g
23:22:16, Mon		
2016-01-04	INBOX	Hob-knobbing on 0? ;)
23:22:34, Mon		
2016-01-04	OUTBOX	No. Briefing on cpc issue
23:23:50, Mon		
2016-01-04	INBOX	Whatever. Can't fool me. :D\n\nCall me though. Have a work question
23:24:45, Mon		to ask you.
2016-01-04	оитвох	Sorry still free to talk in 5?
23:38:50, Mon		
2016-01-04	INBOX	Sheesh. Yes. \U0001f636
23:39:33, Mon	O LITTO O V	<u> </u>
2016-01-05	оитвох	
00:06:13, Tue		
2016-01-06	ОИТВОХ	Andy called. All good.
23:07:34, Wed	COTOON	Allay salisa. All good.
2016-01-06	OUTBOX	And of course I want to know what Bill wanted.
23:13:21, Wed	COTBOX	And or course I want to know what on wanted.
2016-01-07	INBOX	Guess we should wait until job is official
02:32:48, Thu	III DOA	official.
2016-01-07	INBOX	Oh. Ok. I'll take it. What happens when you actually get the job?
	THE POOL	which was the take it. What happens when you actually get the IOD?

2016-01-07 03:08:02, Thu	оитвох	Guess we'll find out. ;)
2016-01-07	INBOX	Hope you have a peaceful evening. Happy to talk if you talk with Andy
	INBOX	
23:44:24, Thu		but of course can wait. In that event I'll see if I can live up to my
2015 01 07	OUTDOY.	resolution not to hound you tomorrow.
2016-01-07	ОИТВОХ	He hasn't called, but in my sheepishness, I told him tomorrow was fine
23:45:16, Thu		too.
2016-01-07	INBOX	And respecting your family time (and probably driving you crazy) he'll
23:46:53, Thu		wait
2016-01-07	OUTBOX	He just texted me to hit him up tomorrow, so that's good.
23:48:00, Thu		- 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
2016-01-07	INBOX	Ha. \n\nProbably right. \n\nJust call him now? J*sus, get it over with.
23:57:07, Thu		9 (1 NEEDS 200 MARKE)
2016-01-07	INBOX ·	At a minimum he's got the drive home.
23:57:26, Thu		
2016-01-08	OUTBOX	No, he said tomorrow. I need to respect that. It's okay. I just meant it
00:15:11, Fri	20	wouldn't help/hurt my sleep any more or less than it usually does.
2016-01-08	INBOX	It's just you have a very ready stable of people to schedule into the void
00:23:11, Fri		to stay busy. Me, not so much.
YELVASOTAN-WESTELL LING		
2016-01-08	ОИТВОХ	You do as well. You're just not tapping them. Moffa, RJ
00:25:42, Fri		Boone, etc etc.\n\nAnd soon, very soon, you will be just as busy as !
		am and only able to run out for a quick bite between meetings. It's
		going to be okay.
2016-01-08	INBOX	I am staring at Jim Bakker, very animated, eating lunch at a window
17:48:49, Fri		table at pq. Can't tell who the gentleman is that he's eating with.
2016-01-08	оитвох	I can find out
18:50:56, Fri		
2016-01-08	INBOX	Hi. News from Bill
19:28:26, Fri		31,177
2016-01-08	INBOX	Good lord I'm dying! Hurry up!!!!! \U0001f636
22:11:48, Fri		*
2016-01-09	INBOX	going APOPLECTIC over the idea of us calling
00:10:17, Sat		
2016-01-09	оитвох	
01:02:50, Sat	001007	
01.02.30, 3at		
		D) I'm not
		kidding, I feel like punching in the face. You're now being too
		nice. You can inform him ahead of time or after the fact of your
		investigative steps, but you're not asking permission to speak to
		someone at DOJ for fuck's sake. God I hate them.
2016-01-09	INBOX	D) finally talked to him. I will dial back my judgment, he found stuff
01:17:47, Sat		which makes it less likely we need to talk to her. Will explain next week
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$50

2016-01-09	INBOX	And I had a sudden sad and true realization. You're going to leave the
15:49:58, Sat		Bu after Andy leaves. As hard as it was to go back to ogc last time, how do you ever go do something outside of (and below) that level? \n\nAnd that makes me deeply sad. \U0001f61f
2016-01-09 15:54:48, Sat	ОИТВОХ	I know That's what I've been trying to tell you. Let's pretend for a moment that they didn't all hate me. Even without that, it would be hard to go back. But with it, it's pretty impossible. I won't be gone forever, you never know.
2016-01-09 15:55:43, Sat	INBOX	Damn right if I make it to DD I'll bring you back as GC.
2016-01-12 22:33:58, Tue	INBOX	THAT SUCKS!!!!!\n\nKeep your head up though, it will work itself out in a few months. Plus remember, job is going to be AWESOME.
2016-01-12 23:17:45, Tue	ООТВОХ	I know. It will. It just sucks when it's otherwise so undeserving.
2016-01-14 03:23:16, Thu	INBOX	And remind me what said about what she needs to do for herself detail, special assistant, etc
2016-01-14 15:59:03, Thu	INBOX	Andy (he chaired it, he was there), Mark, Randy,
2016-01-14 15:59:25, Thu	ОИТВОХ	Wow that that was quick
2016-01-14 15:59:26, Thu	INBOX	Others maybe offscreen but I didn't see.
2016-01-14 15:59:44, Thu	INBOX	15 minutes top. No idea how I did. Don't feel like I was a total idiot, so I guess that's good.
2016-01-14 15:59:56, Thu	INBOX	I know. Makes me worried too quick
2016-01-14 16:00:08, Thu	INBOX	Of course they got started late so maybe they're hurrying.
2016-01-14 16:00:36, Thu	INBOX	Talked about figured that was something of personal interest and discomfort to four of them
2016-01-14 16:00:43, Thu	оитвох	No chance. They have their decision. That's a good sign
2016-01-15 10:53:29, Fri	оитвох	The Brutalism of Ted Cruz http://nyti.ms/1mSbi92
2016-01-15 11:55:15, Fri	OUTBOX	And I was thinking, don't you think the D will tell you in person, since you're meeting with him anyway? Ooh, I really hope he does.\U0001f60a\U0001f60a\U0001f60a
2016-01-15 12:00:45, Fri	ОИТВОХ	And oh holy sh*t, I forgot I had huge single source reporting that I was going to mention in the car! Remind me, though it may have to wait until this afternoon.
2016-01-15 12:09:12, Fri	INBOX	Ooh. Can you imsg it? I will tell you what I was going to imsg, scrambling to get out the door right now.\n\nAnd yeah, I thought about that with D. Don't know if it will travel that fast.
2016-01-15 12:12:10, Fri	OUTBOX	Nope, too much detail, better to tell. But it involves (U0001f60a

2016-01-15	OUTBOX	
23:23:16, Fri	4-501	\n\nI wii!. \U0001f636
2016-01-16	INBOX	I'm getting aggravated with work. I need to realize that I'm not there,
22:09:48, Sat	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	have a limited amount of the total info, and need to step back.
2016-01-16	оитвох	And it's not even going to be your job in about two weeks. Still, I'm
22:11:34, Sat	<u>                                     </u>	sorry. Would it help put your mind at ease if you went in?
2016-01-16	INBOX	But it will be my job at HQ. No, I'm not going to achieve anything at
22:12:48, Sat		work. If I were on the ground it might help but I'm not.
2016-01-17	INBOX	Oh. Yay. You may need to head into work on Mon for some unexpected
01:14:23, Sun	<u> </u>	issues on all this Iran stuff
2016-01-17	OUTBOX	Hi. Just replied. That fox website has some amazing stuff.
21:59:38, Sun	Visi	
2016-01-17	INBOX	Yes. Yes it does. Out for 5 if you want to email or imsg your favs
22:15:09, Sun	W65802	
2016-01-18	INBOX	Oh Jesus Carlin is on 60 Minutes. Talking about Chinese theft of trade
01:04:39, Mon		secrets. may be on there, too. God almighty.
2016-01-18	INBOX	wasn't on it.
01:30:00, Mon		
2016-01-18	INBOX	a freakshow
03:13:35, Mon		2
2016-01-18	OUTBOX	Yeah, that's what everyone says
03:14:59, Mon		1500,000,000,000,000
2016-01-22	INBOX	Hey am I good to know that Jason is interested? He sent me a congrats
02:59:39, Fri	al.	email
2016-01-22	OUTBOX	I told him you got the job like not even an hour ago. :) I told him that
03:02:25, Fri		you were looking, was definitely interested. I don't see why
	tii	not.
2016-01-22	ОИТВОХ	Not that you were looking, but that you might be. Told him bc I didn't
03:03:52, Fri		want him to foreclose a possible opportunity in case you had a job and
		it worked out. So yes, he can know we talked. Only makes sense.
2016-01-22	INBOX	He emailed me this afternoon. I told him i wanted to grab coffee.
03:06:01, Fri		
2016-01-22	INBOX .	F*cking marchers making traffic problems\U0001f621
17:48:36, Fri		
2016-01-22	оитвох	Yeah, some extremely offensive video screens set up in front of dist ct.
17:50:34, Fri		
		I truly hate these people. No support for the woman who
		actually has to spend the rest of her life rearing this child, but we care
#10 <u>00 (00 (0</u> 0	\$255d	about "life." Assholes.
2016-01-22	INBOX	And literally I'm the last car thru 4 lights as they shut down
17:52:59, Fri	*	Pennsylvania Ave. Hey, I have an idea! Snow emergency, cancel the
For Toring		permit

2016-01-23	INBOX	Anyway. Terry McAuliffe just said VA is focusing on primary roads today
14:48:41, Sat		and tomorrow and will try and get to secondary roads on Mon. What that means for tertiary roads like mine I have no idea. \n\nOh, btw, most of the Rep candidates still say it's not clear climate change is real.
2016-01-24 21:38:51, Sun	INBOX	My sense is stay in ogc. It's what Trisha wants - does JB has a preference? - and I'm guessing but not certain it will be easier from a HR Source admin perspective as well. And as long as they get plussed up fsI, they shouldn't care, right?
2016-01-24 21:53:27, Sun	OUTBOX	No, you misunderstand. I got this email, muckities from other agencies were on it, as were Trisha and Jim, but couldn't decide whether to forward it and went back and forth bc I didn't want to step on toes or overstep if Jim had already forwarded, but Jim also isn't great at checking email over the weekend so I ended up text andy rather than just forwarding the email to him to ask whether he had received it or not. If I'm not in ogc, I don't think I'll have the same what's my role, am I overstepping stress. I just really want to be called his special counsel and not just special assistant, and I'm not sure Jim will let me.
2016-01-24 21:57:26, Sun	INBOX	B) fortunately there will still be snow tomorrow. \nA) special counsel implies an ogc position, though, right? And would you get those emails if you weren't in OGC? Regardless, that worry about lane will resolve itself out once you get a chance to start working. One of those impossible to know now. But it will be fine. I promise.
2016-01-24 21:59:19, Sun	INBOX	Is your title that big a deal to you at the end of the day? Not saying it shouldn't be, just trying to understand where it ranks within your priorities.
2016-01-24 22:01:53, Sun	ОЙТВОХ	I'm not sure I agree. If trisha's whole point of putting there is to ensure more reliance on ogc, I'm not sure she's embrace me acting pretty independently, which is the only way I know how to be. Will forward a couple of emails - not a perfect example but maybe a little demonstrative. There are other "special counsels", the D's, one in OCA. I'm sure they're grandfathered, but I don't think Jim or especially trisha will want me to suggest I'm a lawyer if I'm not in ogc.
2016-01-24 22:05:23, Sun	ОИТВОХ	Pretty important to me, actually. Especially if I plan to leave the bureau, I want my title to reflect the work I'm doing. Wtf is a special assistant to the private sector? Chief of staff would be fine, but 1) that's not the job I'll be doing and 2) Rybicki has made pretty clear he's opposed to me using that title. I'm a lawyer, it's my identity, it's what I'd like to call myself.
2016-01-24 22:12:54, Sun	INBOX	Completely understand. So stay in OGC and be special counsel. Why does JB not want that? Particularly if that means they have some connection to your job? A lot of OGC are dummies but Jim and Trisha are not and you can work with both of them

INBOX	And you won't be COSnot worth fighting that for all the reasons you
4.5	mention.
INBOX	And you can act independently and have weekly meetings with her
0.	and/or Jim
OUTBOX	I'm not sure you're following me. I imagine JB and TA WILL want me to
	stay in ogc. What I'm saying is I don't want to second guess or worry
Š	about whether I am stepping on their toes, especially trisha bc she's so
	hard to read, and is still developing her relationships with our execs,
	especially andy.
INBOX	Oh, I've got you. I don't have an easy answer, particularly if you want
\$10 PERSONAL SECTION   125 PERSONAL SECTION	the special counsel title. If you do, I think you likely need to be in OGC,
	and you're going to have to feel out the relationships. JB will be much
	easier than Trisha.
INBOX	Because in some ways you are going to step on Trisha's toes. But I think
MALACOS AA	if Andy is clear with what he wants (amd i think he will be) that will help
2	tremendously.
оитвох	Yes, she is entirely my worry. I've done this job with Jim as GC, and he is
	the least egotistical and most secure/non- threatened guy. We get each
	other. It's trisha who will feel threatened. Plus woman thing.
	other. It's trisha who will reer threatened. Plas wornan trining.
OUTBOX	I guess re Andy. But he respects hierarchy a lot too.
INBOX	Well but HE wants you to work for him. So let him take the lead on role
	and expectations, and have that dialogue with JB, maybe without you
	there.
INBOX	Hi. Been thinking a lot about you, your new job, jb, and Trisha. No
PRODUCTION OF THE PRODUCTS	spectacular insights, but looking forward to talking to you about it.
700	
OUTBOX	Oh good, me too, because I'm stressed.
<u> </u>	200 M 200 M
OUTBOX	Hi there. Appreciate your email. If history is any guide, Jim will include
	me on most emails to the DD, so I realize that will help. How to
	navigate Trisha, especially if I'm still tied to ogc, and especially with
	in his position (and presumably still TIGHT with her), just has me
	stressed.\n\nAnd that's cool re I totally want to hear that story.
	And sheesh, SO military that both days he's sending emails by 5:30.
	Please do not share_obviously
оитвох	By Adam Goldman, no surprise. \n\nAn article to share: How David
	Petraeus avoided felony charges and possible prison time\nHow David
	Petraeus avoided felony charges and possible prison
1	time\nhttp://wapo.st/1Nvihph
<u> </u>	
оитвох	You need to read the article. A lot of inside
оитвох	information in there. And jesus, why is on every big case?!
оитвох	
OUTBOX INBOX	information in there. And jesus, why is on every big case?! just not that good!
	information in there. And jesus, why is on every big case?! just not that good!
	information in there. And jesus, why is on every big case?! just not that good!
	INBOX OUTBOX OUTBOX INBOX INBOX OUTBOX OUTBOX OUTBOX OUTBOX

2016-01-26	INBOX	Yeah but was he that intimately involved? Was that on his watch? I
00:15:46, Tue	.,,	guess the resolution was, right?
2016-01-26	OUTBOX	Yeah, just the end of it all.
00:16:29, Tue		
2016-01-26	INBOX	And J*sus just pack it in and go home!
00:16:54, Tue	NAME OF THE OWN OF THE OWN OF THE OWN OF THE OWN OWN OF THE OWN	Parameter and Company of the Company
2016-01-26	оитвох	And remind me convo with e tdy vs permanent position. She
00:19:36, Tue		agrees go permanent, thinks I'm right to be nervous about navigating TA.
2016-01-26	INBOX	Ooh. Def want to hear about that. How was her attitude about
00:20:27, Tue		it?
2016-01-26	INBOX	If you weren't OGC and couldn't have special counsel title, what would
00:21:03, Tue		you take? You sure JB wouldn't let you?
2016-01-26	INBOX	Not sure the ins and outs of position creation. Think Jon went thru that
00:22:11, Tue		when working for Bob. I can ask him in a general, non attributable way.
2016-01-26	OUTBOX	Attitude about what? \n\nTA not thrilled about my keeping the title,
00:22:36, Tue		not sure Jim will care. also suggested special advisor, which I could live with
2016-01-26	оитвох	Don't ask yet. Will let you know.
00:23:07, Tue		John Carlot John Manner
2016-01-26	INBOX	attitude towards you about you going to work for Andy. Special
00:27:18, Tue		Advisor is what all the RPO folks were to various ADs. I think it's
00.27.10, 100	÷	for example. \n\nOh, I could bring it up in no way related to you. Have
×		talked about it a few times already, just in the context of him and
2016-01-26	INBOX	Randy.
	INDUA	Also, you notice looks good in that article?
00:28:21, Tue	OUTDOV	Did the second of the second o
2016-01-26	OUTBOX	Didn't see a pic.\n\nShe seemed genuinely supportive and wanting to
00:50:15, Tue		help me resolve my conflict. Think she's fine with me working for Andy, obviously it's been known/expected to her since the beginning.
2016-01-26 00:52:25, Tue	ОИТВОХ	And what is there to talk about with Moffa? I'm not concerned about Andy's ability to get me a permanent gig with him. He's the DD. Plus he's been ADD, so he likely already knows what he needs to do.
2016-01-26	OUTBOX	Oh is characterized positively. Yeah, I noticed that. The likelihood that
00:54:26, Tue	1 8000	he was some strong advocate seems like revisitionist history.
2016-01-26	INBOX	Just the actual physical steps of creating a position. I know it can be
00:58:05, Tue	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	done. Just the step 1 step 2 stuff.
2016-01-26	INBOX	Agreed re And makes me dislike all bitchy don't talk to the
00:58:59, Tue		media implications.
2016-01-26	INBOX	Sorry if I missed you, this thing buzzed once with your last text. I hate
00:59:30, Tue	11.00 P. T. O.	these things
2016-01-26	оитвох	Yeah, hold off for now re the position.\n\nRe suppose it could
01:03:55, Tue	00100%	also be the usao. likes to tell people what they want to hear,
01.03.33, Tue		18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19
		could be they thought was on their side.

2016-01-26	INBOX	Maybe. You don't think would talk?
01:07:18, Tue		VII.2
2016-01-26	оитвох	I totally do! Just saying it's another possibility.
01:08:09, Tue		
2016-01-27	INBOX	Of course I'm sitting here thinking about you and your new job and
23:39:46, Wed		want to talk about it
2016-01-27	OUTBOX	
23:48:21, Wed		
}		\n\nAnd yes, realized I haven't yet discussed my ogc fsl issue at
	8	all with Not being a lawyer is a fairly big deal. I need to do that
		tonight.\n\nAnd still nothing from Andy \U0001f615
	6 vanson de	toring it. It the still from Andy to ooo areas
2016-01-28	INBOX	Oh god, that's horrible. I'm so sorry. \n\nAndy is super busy - I know it's
00:18:13, Thu		no consolation, but he's sudden facing a three (or two and a half, with
	202077	dmv trip) day week. It's going to be OK.
2016-01-28	OUTBOX	Yeah, I know. I get all of that. I just need 10 minutes to put my damn
00:19:13, Thu		mind at rest.
2016-01-28	INBOX	I know. I truly do. And i know i cant say anything that's going to fix that.
00:27:22, Thu		
2016-01-28	OUTBOX	Sigh. And Andy just texted me the following:\n\nSo sorry I missed this.
01:51:32, Thu		Crazy day. Trying to move our lunch to Friday?\n\n1 told him that
Carachinenamen 1 - 5:		had told me and that I appreciated it very much. Sigh. Maybe one day
		I'll actually engage in a little less self-doubt
2016-01-28	INBOX	
11:58:00, Thu	S CONTRACTOR	Supposed to meet Bill this morning at 9, trying to make it, not sure
<b>6</b> 0		about his schedule or roads.
		And yay on office. \U0001f636\n\nAnd was thinking Andy may be
		entirely consumed by Oregon thing right now. Mark was when I last
		briefed D, and they hadn't even gone overt vet
2016-01-28	OUTBOX	I know, I know. He's super busy. Sounds like he and had a good
12:08:47, Thu		convo though, and now he's anxious (in a good way) to hear what we
62 -		have to say. So hopefully lunch with him actually sticks tomorrow.
	10	
2016-01-28	INBOX	Unrelated, re you think I should talk to or first? Or
12:58:50, Thu		together?
2016-01-28	оитвох	Thank you. \U0001f636\n\nI think you should talk to and
13:03:18, Thu		together first, and just recount what you intend to say. I mentioned
1.2		what we had talked about to when she came over, but only briefly.
		. Then ask them whether you talk to alone or with
	*	
2016-01-28	INBOX	Ok thanks.
13:06:53, Thu	8	
2016-01-29	INBOX	
00:41:27, Fri		Did you milkshake with Talked and prepped anyway?
17.47.17.10.77.2079. [19.61] [19.		Are you sneaking in lunch tomorrow EVEN THOUGH YOU HAVE BEEN
	8	PROHIBITED FROM EATING?!?!?!? (or is that just Andy?)
	1	

2016-01-29	OUTBOX	We didn't get milkshake but we did prep our list, and we are going to
00:50:30, Fri		sneak in lunch (no joke). Why is your day so full now?
2016-01-29	INBOX	Meeting agents in town on some
00:56:20, Fri		investigation, CD all hands was earlier on snow day rescheduled for
	s.	tomorrow.
** ***		
2016-01-29	OUTBOX	Remind me, I remember the Rybicki story and I have an andy car story.
14:07:55, Fri		
2016-01-29	INBOX	Hi. I like my new job. \U0001f60a
15:51:12, Fri		
2016-01-29	OUTBOX	Yay. And DD thing is at 1:00. Still in with andy.
17:29:24, Fri		
2016-01-29	OUTBOX	Hey, just finished with andy, this is going to be great.
17:54:07, Fri		\U0001f60a\n\nWalking down with in 2, hopefully see you there.
2016-01-30	INBOX	Hi there. Wide, wide awake. 13,742 things to worry about in my new
08:08:18, Sat		job. \U0001f61e Nothing like self-doubt in the dead of the night
2016-01-30	OUTBOX	/ou were born to do this job. *I* have zero doubt. You're
10:42:18, Sat	all desided to the last	going to be amazing.
2016-01-30	INBOX	Sigh. I've got to figure out staffing. I need a squared away assistant SC,
12:10:58, Sat		and that's assuming I can move along the current one. Plus UCs.
	89	hasn't said anything, which is easy enough, I'll reach out to him,
	20	although he can be wishy washy about what he wants. \n\nAnyway. Hi.
		Doing it wrong, so I'll try and dial back now, having failed three and a
		half hours ago
2016-01-30	ОИТВОХ	You are smart and good. People will want to work for you. \n\nAs.for
12:13:34, Sat	COIDOX	Jason, he's wildly sensitive to hierarchy and people's time. I'm sure he's
12.13.34, 380		just waiting for you to reach out.
2016-01-30	INBOX	And hey, what was the upshot of where you're sitting for the next 30
12:41:09, Sat	INDOX	days. Where you are now?
2016-01-30	ОПТВОХ	days. Where you are now:
12:46:32, Sat	OUIBOX	\U0001f633\n\nStaying where I am for
12.40.52, 341		*************************************
		30 days. I offered it, he was grateful bc the politics of space are super
2016-01-30	INBOX	jacked up there right now.  Does he want the CMS room up there? Too late now?
12:47:46, Sat	INDOX	boes he want the divisionin up there: Too late how:
2016-01-30	ООТВОХ	Didn't ask bc it's too late now anyway.
	OUTBOX	Didit ( ask be it s too late now allyway.
12:48:16, Sat	INDOV	And Cod ather than the head of Partner apparent and all the se
2016-01-30	INBOX	And, God, other than the head of Partner engagement, get all those
12:50:30, Sat		other assorted a holes out of thereTruly. Time to say, sorry, it's tight,
	Ş	and it's important. No, the CIO doesn't need to be there. Sorry
		Shut up This is where Rybicki could help. Be a COS - or
		gell, let the DCOS do it. But someone's got to be a heavy.
2016-01-30	INBOX	There are some hard calls here, but also some easy ones. Too bad, so
TOTO OT 30		

2016-01-30	INBOX	And sorry we need your "war room." \U0001f621\n\nYou KNOW
12:52:30, Sat		that was a okay to make that HER office, right? Move her on to the zero?
2016-01-30	ОИТВОХ	Yeah, that's not going to happen. I just have to be gracious and patient.
12:53:27, Sat		Not my strong suits, but I'll survive. \U0001f612
2016-01-30	INBOX	Oh, you can't say sh*t. At all. Andy will eventually become so
12:56:51, Sat	10.100.000.0000	exasperated about having to wait 5 minutes for you to walk down while
		all the worthless lumpies are RIGHT THERE that he'll blow his top and have someone do it.
2016-01-30	INBOX	Remind me to discuss interviews with you - who does certain ones
12:59:17, Sat	10.5.256 90.5.3 (2000)	
2016-01-30	OUTBOX	Interviews for what?
13:06:47, Sat		
2016-01-30	ОИТВОХ	Oh, and you came up very briefly during chat with and andy. Remind
13:08:14, Sat		me.
2016-01-30	INBOX	Will explain elsewhere. And a Q for you I'll send elsewhere. And
13:09:32, Sat		what?!?!?!? Now I'm TOTALLY curious.
2016-01-30	OUTBOX	\n\n Nothing big. Just talking about getting
13:18:28, Sat	COIDER	his 15, being an agent came up, andy said to me I thought you were
15.10.20, 540		supposed to take care of this! I exclaimed that I tried, said yeah, she
1		and pete, and I told him how you and I would take turns harassing
	8	He chuckled.
2016-01-31	оитвох	I know it is. I'll definitely be in at some point, have to consolidate
04:06:13, Sun	GOTBOX	comments for another paper. Probably around 2:00? I will
04.00.13, 3011		let you know as soon as I have a sense of it.
2016-01-31	ОИТВОХ	No, I can't be the IOB I'm adjudication relates to a TS docket. And I need
DEPOSITOR DE SE	COTBOX	the application in front of me to explain what the order allowed, why
18:12:48, Sun		it's an iob.
2016-02-03	INBOX	Also, fYI, I just hit up and about the matter we talked about
00:34:54, Wed	INDOX	briefly just now. They owe me detail about what we're going to tell the
00.54.54, Wed		D, & I wanted to remind them it was still outstanding for our brief on
		-
2016-02-03	INBOX	Thursday.  Just wanted you to be aware of it in case you brought it up with her and
00:36:11, Wed	INDOX	conversation, that it didn't seem too coincidental. In fact, I guess it's
100.56.11, Wed		fine, because it really is coincidental. Great minds thinking
		alike.\U0001f636
2016-02-03	оитвох	It is, but I won't bring it up.
00:36:55, Wed	COTBOX	it is, but I won t bring it up.
2016-02-03	ОИТВОХ	And I know who I'm going to ask about whether I should say something
00:50:33, Wed	COTBOX	to Trisha - Jason Herring.
2016-02-03	INBOX	Good idea. Even with him in the Caribbean? Or when he gets back?
[1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]	HOOK	dood idea. Even with him in the cambbean: of when he gets back:
01:13:08, Wed 2016-02-03	ОИТВОХ	Will just email, say I have an interpersonal work question, not
	COLBOX	term with the consideration of the construction of the constructio
01:27:19, Wed		urgent.\n\nThought the more I think about it, the more I want to simply
		ask trisha to remove me from future requests, just have them come
		from her.

2016-02-03	INBOX	The problem with that is if whoever gets the tasking has questions, you
01:29:11, Wed		want them to go to you. And if Trisha does that (cuts you out), it creates additional work for her to be the intermediary, right?\n\nAnd, F
2016-02-03 01:45:19, Wed	оитвох	I really do hate him. Of course I'm swirling around and around and around in my head about what to do.
2016-02-03	оитвох	I really truly hate He is good at nothing, ineffective at
01:50:11, Wed		nearly everything he does, and phenomenally lacking in self-awareness.
2016-02-03 01:51:49, Wed	INBOX	I of course forgot it. Will need to ask when I'm not obviously on my phone. She didn't remember his last name\n\nAnyway is an ahole. Always will be. There is literally nothing you can do to change him or his opinion. And people realize he's a d*ck - so it truly becomes an issue of judging someone by their enemies. While it may drive you CRAZY, may be best simply to rise above this (never forget it) and take
2016-02-03	INBOX	Agreed. So why do you give him any power over you?
01:51:57, Wed	INDOV	U- is is a supermissible of the substant before the formation in the substant before t
2016-02-03 01:53:19, Wed	INBOX	He is inconsequential. His opinion holds no value to anyone worth a damn. He is one of the unfortunate dregs of society, and the fact that he behaves this way towards you does nothing but speak well of you.
2016-02-03 01:53:39, Wed	INBOX	Haters gonna hate. Shake it off. ;)
2016-02-03 01:55:16, Wed	оитвох	Honest to god, I'm not this time. F him. The Deputy Director picked ME to work for him, and I goddamn earned it, and he will never be asked to manage anything important for the rest of his career at the FBI. The swirl is whether to say anything to trisha. Of course now I'm leaning back toward no
2016-02-03	OUTBOX	Good summary of Not sure why they leave Snowden
02:01:04, Wed		entirely out of it, but that's why that DB max schrems sued
2016-02-03 02:02:21, Wed	INBOX	Lisa, that's all true. And if you want to rub his nose in it and destroy him, you can. This is not the moment. But honest to God I think that's the wrong choice. \n\nWhy do you think he's such a little prick? Exactly BECAUSE he will never be asked to manage anything important at the FBI. Feel sorry for him, not angry at him. He is displaying HIS weakness, his flaws, his shortcomings. Nothing else. Float past it unperturbed like the all star you are. Success is the best revenge.
2016-02-03	INBOX	And the higher you are, the more visible. The greater the number
02:06:48, Wed		of fans. And the greater number of dB haters. This is a good baptism by fire. Because he's such an ass, hopefully it's easier to move past. If the thought of him gets you down, then think of the response you got from and Randy and John and Andy. He will never get that. Ever.
2016-02-03	оитвох	I know. I know, I know. \U0001f636
02:07:54, Wed	1 3	

2016-02-03	OUTBOX	Okay. I'm not going to say anything. I know this is going to spin out of
02:10:09, Wed		control (I'd bet any amount of money that he is going to tell KDM, who
		is going to feel obligated to say something to trisha, who I hope and
		pray will have my back and not assume I've done something to bring it
		on myself).
2016-02-03	OUTBOX	God, it's very hard for me not to want to get in front of this.
02:10:53, Wed		27 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
2016-02-03	INBOX	Trisha knows you are imminently capable. She knows he's a d*ck. It will
02:14:35, Wed	65	be ok.
2016-02-03	OUTBOX	Yes, but my reputation is that I have "sharp elbows." So yes, I'm
02:16:12, Wed		capable, but she doesn't necessarily know whether I played a role in
<b>,</b>		this going south.
2016-02-03	INBOX	One data point. She will have a thousand. And she already has many
02:17:10, Wed	N.O. C. C.	with you. \U0001f636 \U0001f636 \U0001f636
2016-02-03	INBOX	Kill him with kindness. You have far, far - wildly so - more power.
02:17:47, Wed		, and the second
2016-02-03	INBOX	Why does HE bother you so?
02:17:58, Wed	IIII	Willy abes the bother you so.
2016-02-03	ОИТВОХ	They all do. It's not just him. Honestly, because I'm painted unfairly.
	OUTBOX	And I KNOW it's because of jealousy and I KNOW there's nothing I can
02:23:07, Wed		B
		do about it. But my sense of fairness is as foundational a part of me as
		literally any single trait I can think of. It's why I'm in government, why
		public service is a calling for me. So I think it is just very hard for me to
		let go when something is unfair.
2016-02-03	INBOX	Yeah there's no good answer to the fairness thing. \n\nI lovehate him. I
02:52:37, Wed		get it.
2016-02-03	INBOX	Well, mostly hate. Shame\U0001f61e
02:52:59, Wed		
2016-02-03	INBOX	That sucks. I'm sorry. How are you feeling about after a night of
12:24:26, Wed		admittedly poor sleep?
2016-02-03	ОИТВОХ	I don't know. Whatever. Angry. Resolved to leave ogc after this.
12:48:07, Wed	COIDON	Probably not going to say anything and will just steam inside instead.
12.40.07, <b>WCG</b>	4	Trobubly flot goting to say anything and will just steam inside instead.
2016-02-03	INBOX	Well at a minimum, I think you should talk to Jason. Even if it doesn't
12:55:42, Wed	mison.	change anything decision wise, it's another voice to confirm that you're
12.55.42, WCa		doing the right thing, even if that's not particularly satisfying.
		doing the right timing, even if that's not particularly satisfying.
2016-02-04	INBOX	Just had a great convo with one of the two new agents that the section
00:37:26, Thu	8	picked up today. I knew her from WFO, public corruption agent. She's
23.37120, THU		very excited and told me that she wouldn't let me down and that I
		wouldn't regret it. So that makes me happy.\U0001f60a
		wouldn't regret it. 30 that makes me happy, \00001100a
		Picked up as an ssa?
2016-02-04	OUTBOX	Enteropy Committee (Committee Committee Commit
	ООТВОХ	
2016-02-04 00:46:23, Thu 2016-02-04		And I know you're super busy, but you need to spend some time down
00:46:23, Thu 2016-02-04	OUTBOX	And I know you're super busy, but you need to spend some time down there with them every week or two. It's your responsibility to start
00:46:23, Thu		And I know you're super busy, but you need to spend some time down there with them every week or two. It's your responsibility to start identifying and cultivating the next generation of leaders in CD

2016-02-04	INBOX	Yes. I had nothing to do with the pick, so glad she's good. Don't know
00:53:18, Thu		the guy from NY but the unit chief likes him and he's done decent cases in NY, so that's good. Need to get some people who actually know our work, though.
2016-02-04	оитвох	I am really tired of these feckless international bodies having opinions
11:19:17, Thu	ir.	about sh-t they truly know nothing about. \n\nBBC News: UN panel 'rules in Julian Assange's favour'\nUN panel 'rules in Julian Assange's favour' - http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-35490910
2016-02-04	INBOX	Add it to the list of why to hate the UNI mean, c'mon. Thats
11:39:26, Thu		infuriating.\n\nSorry about all the sick. Depending on when my 11 with DoJ and ead finishes, may be able to give you a ride.
2016-02-04	OUTBOX	It's just utter bs. HE IS NOT DETAINED THERE. He is CHOOSING to
11:43:21, Thu		remain there be he doesn't want to be arrested. Then he'd be goddamn detained. F-er.
2016-02-04 12:21:17, Thu	INBOX	And, to be clear, detained if he leaves because he allegedly raped someone. An alleged violent sexual assault.
2016-02-04	OUTBOX	Yes. Poor Julian.
12:24:24, Thu	39	Also
æ		two stories, remind me: 1) Andy's Porsche is parked in the garage (he doesn't want to drive it home until the roads are less nasty) so let's go find it. 2) Figured out why so different - he's both very mature and wildly immature at the same time. Have the perfect story to demonstrate this, re Steinbach.
2016-02-05 00:06:36, Fri	INBOX	luet
- 59	ja Ž	leaving, talked with JR just now and was able to answer Qs he had for D ssci tomorrow.
2016-02-05 13:16:43, Fri	INBOX	And damn these 12 hours days are ROUGH
2016-02-05 13:31:41, Fri	оитвох	It's good preparation for when you're DD. \U0001f60a
2016-02-05 17:33:53, Fri	INBOX	Only if you'll be the GC
2016-02-05 17:34:41, Fri	INBOX	Or DGC. That would work, too
2016-02-05 23:23:22, Fri	INBOX	Have got a STORY for you. \U0001f620
2016-02-05 23:55:01, Fri	OUTBOX	What?!!
2016-02-06 00:04:32, Sat	INBOX	Work, but not for here. Agency F'ed uo big. Will get to Andy, prob Mon. Not telling you in that capacity, want to vent as a friend. Better to talk around it - how long are you at dinner? I can do a work call later or tomorrow
2016-02-06 15:36:05, Sat	OUTBOX	Hee hee, Andy is funny. He sent me his gmail, and said "Don't sent me anything classified, especially TS/SAP. Thanks."

2016-02-09	ОИТВОХ	Could you resend that judicial watch thing please?
00:18:58, Tue		
2016-02-09	INBOX	Is your mailbox full or something? My resend attempt is hanging in the
00:22:10, Tue		outbox
2016-02-09	OUTBOX	Crap. Could be.
00:22:45, Tue		
2016-02-09	INBOX	Just sent a third time. Looks like it went thru
00:23:31, Tue		****
2016-02-09	ОИТВОХ	Got it. Thank you.
00:23:57, Tue	2010	
2016-02-09	INBOX	Not a big deal re the letter, I can give you background on discussion
00:24:32, Tue		about it between D and JB if you want. Was during the second to last D brief
2016-02-09	INBOX	Really interesting convo with from edva. Remind me to tell
01:34:37, Tue		you.
2016-02-09	INBOX	He was an associate deputy director. Because we let those assholes into
02:21:19, Tue		our organization without reciprocity. Because it's so great for us.
		Witness what you're watching. Thanks
2016-02-09	OUTBOX	talking like one of us. It's offensive.
02:22:01, Tue		guardian fed a desirada a ser a como de como d
2016-02-09	INBOX	a douche. Another win for Kortan and the OPA machine
02:24:40, Tue	Eng STOTARY CAMBER STOT	
2016-02-09	OUTBOX	I Miss Barack Obama http://nyti.ms/1moVWIF\n\nHaven't been thrilled
12:09:42, Tue	CALCA SANSE A PARTICIPANT	with him, but David Brooks is right.
2016-02-09	OUTBOX	
12:12:13, Tue		n\nSanctions Lifted, American Tourists Head to Iran
584	7.00	http://nyti.ms/1LbGwxR
2016-02-09	OUTBOX	Okay and now I'm sick to my stomach. Andy is having us meet at 915 to
12:58:43, Tue		talk about the D's brief yesterday.
2016-02-09	INBOX	Lisa - it's going to be OK. Who's meeting - just the two of you?
13:00:10, Tue		
2016-02-09	OUTBOX	Everyone who was there minus
13:00:26, Tue		
2016-02-09	OUTBOX	With a disclaimer at the bottom that it was mandatory and no
13:01:35, Tue		substitutions.
2016-02-09	INBOX	So why are you sick to your stomach? If he had any issue with what you
13:02:06, Tue		did, he'd talk to you alone
2016-02-09	OUTBOX	Could be a finger wag for all of us? Or me and Mike, but didn't want to
13:09:41, Tue		just single us out?
2016-02-09	OUTBOX	Me, baker, Steinbach, beers, and What else is it?
13:10:46, Tue*		
2016-02-09	INBOX	Finish arguing out what you should have but couldn't because it was in
13:27:06, Tue	8	front of the D. Worst case (and I think it's unlikely), he says hey let's get
*		the debate done BEFORE we prep the D. Even that's not bad.
2016-02-09	INBOX	And ? Sheesh.
13:27:26, Tue	1	

2016-02-09	OUTBOX	I should have said something to him yesterday about knowing I
13:29:41, Tue		misplayed it.
2016-02-09	INBOX	It's going to be ok. You're in the club.
17:03:47, Tue	4	
2016-02-09	INBOX	And what makes me really angry about that Apple thing? The fact that
23:51:22, Tue		Tim Cook plays such the privacy advocate. Yeah, jerky, your entire OS is
		designed to track me without me even knowing it.
2016-02-09	OUTBOX	I know. Hypocrite.
23:59:59, Tue		24 Telephone (1994)
2016-02-10	INBOX	And ha, I had mine already turned off. Apparently I'm paranoid.
00:02:58, Wed		
2016-02-10	OUTBOX	
00:06:16, Wed	3	
2016-02-11	INBOX	Oh, and remind me to talk to you about talking to Jim Baker about
00:15:37, Thu	11/200	David.
2016-02-12	INBOX	And remind me Trisha (and I don't know who else) had the idea of
00:53:37, Fri		sitting in on all these final interviews. Apparently she briefed Jim and
		they decided no. Rubbed me the wrong way - if we're going to throw a
		third FBI person in there, put me in there, as the SESer, much like an
•	1	SAC might participate
2016-02-12	INBOX	Or at least ask the investigative team rather than being the good idea
00:54:01, Fri	1940 Table	faries from on high
2016-02-12	OUTBOX	Yeah, that's fair. You should say something politely to trisha.
01:25:51, Fri	Вотвеж	really that stains to a should say something pointary to this its
2016-02-12	INBOX	Well, except Trisha works with Bill and JG. Though this all explains JG's
01:33:52, Fri		"you and Jon should go, split them up, with at all of them."
		Because he's got Jim and Trisha pushing her (as they did with
		at State, in front of the Deputy and the D, without raising it before hand
		- I agreed to it, because I thought they were right, though I was a little
	(E) (F)	cranky about being put into a spot where I would potentially have to
		argue otherwise in that group), and JG's experience is having a senior
		guy there. \n\nBecause it's a great spot for me to be in to be telling doj
		"fewer people " while I simultaneously argue for two more for us.
		\U0001f621
e- Penggan dan sangkat dan sangkat ber		
2016-02-12		Well it's an investigation, not a prosecution. So there might be more
2010 02 12	оитвох	ACCOMPANION CONTROL OF THE CONTROL O
01:35:41, Fri		agents. I didn't totally follow your first paragraph.
	OUTBOX INBOX	ACCOMPANION CONTROL OF THE CONTROL O
01:35:41, Fri		agents. I didn't totally follow your first paragraph.
01:35:41, Fri 2016-02-12		agents. I didn't totally follow your first paragraph.  There are a billion in the gym. Easy day. \n\nYep, also one of my SSAs
01:35:41, Fri 2016-02-12		agents. I didn't totally follow your first paragraph.  There are a billion in the gym. Easy day. \n\nYep, also one of my SSAs stepped down to be a pilot and works out if Manassas. \n\nWhat IS
01:35:41, Fri 2016-02-12 12:54:44, Fri	INBOX	agents. I didn't totally follow your first paragraph.  There are a billion in the gym. Easy day. \n\nYep, also one of my SSAs stepped down to be a pilot and works out if Manassas. \n\nWhat IS doing about his SA application?

2016-02-12	INBOX	Should I talk to him about it? It's just that there's such a fine line
13:28:43, Fri		between struggling and succumbing to inertia
2016-02-12	OUTBOX	No, not right now. Andy just started
13:29:31, Fri		The professional profession of the control of the c
2016-02-13	OUTBOX	I'm no prude, but I'm really appalled by this. So you don't have to go
03:27:02, Sat	Colorador Colorador dos Sos Sos Sos	looking (in case you hadn't heard), Trump called him the p-word. The
50	4	man has no dignity or class. He simply can not be president. \n\nWith a
		Slur for Ted Cruz, Donald Trump Further Splits Voters
	a a	http://nyti.ms/1XolCkO
2016-02-13	INBOX	Oh, he's abysmal. I keep hoping the charade will end and people will
03:28:49, Sat		just dump him. The problem, then, is Rubio will likely lose to Cruz. The
)		Republican party is in utter shambles. When was the last competitive
		ticket they offered?
2016-02-15	INBOX	arguing about how great Bernie Sanders is and the evils of a two-
00:05:34, Mon		party system. \n\nSigh
2016-02-15	INBOX	YOU can explain
00:17:45, Mon		how Bernie isn't electable in a general election and it's more important
50.072.0343.15.0.5 <b>.5</b> 6.02.034.030		to field a competitive candidate
2016-02-15	оитвох	Yeah, I'd be fighting the urge to be rude and dismissive and tell him he'l
00:19:41, Mon		realize he was wrong when he gets older.
2016-02-15	OUTBOX	Hell, at least be happy he's arguing for Bernie Sanders and not Ted Cruz
00:21:57, Mon		
2016-02-15	INBOX	
00:24:02, Mon		
₽.	00	(nC)
		true re Cruz. THAT would be enough to put him on the street entirely.
2016 02 16	OUTDOY.	A
2016-02-16	OUTBOX	Are you still at work? I'm so sorry if you are:(
23:00:04, Tue	N*V	
2016-02-16	1110014	W. d
	INBOX	Yep been talking with Bill. Just got done.
23:38:15, Tue	6	
23:38:15, Tue 2016-02-17	INBOX.	I'm sorry. That stinks. Just pulled out of HQ. sent me an email
23:38:15, Tue	6	I'm sorry. That stinks. Just pulled out of HQ. sent me an email explaining she lives 100 miles (!) from the airport and has ALWAYS used
23:38:15, Tue 2016-02-17	6	I'm sorry. That stinks. Just pulled out of HQ. sent me an email
23:38:15, Tue 2016-02-17	6	I'm sorry. That stinks. Just pulled out of HQ. sent me an email explaining she lives 100 miles (!) from the airport and has ALWAYS used
23:38:15, Tue 2016-02-17 22:37:00, Wed 2016-02-17	INBOX	I'm sorry. That stinks. Just pulled out of HQ. sent me an email explaining she lives 100 miles (!) from the airport and has ALWAYS used a car service based on cost comparison. \U0001f612
23:38:15, Tue 2016-02-17 22:37:00, Wed	INBOX	I'm sorry. That stinks. Just pulled out of HQ. sent me an email explaining she lives 100 miles (!) from the airport and has ALWAYS used a car service based on cost comparison. \U0001f612
23:38:15, Tue 2016-02-17 22:37:00, Wed 2016-02-17 22:37:24, Wed 2016-02-17	INBOX:	I'm sorry. That stinks. Just pulled out of HQ. sent me an email explaining she lives 100 miles (!) from the airport and has ALWAYS used a car service based on cost comparison. \U00001f612  Well, yes. Except your cost comparison is wrong.
23:38:15, Tue 2016-02-17 22:37:00, Wed 2016-02-17 22:37:24, Wed	INBOX:	I'm sorry. That stinks. Just pulled out of HQ. sent me an email explaining she lives 100 miles (!) from the airport and has ALWAYS used a car service based on cost comparison. \U00001f612  Well, yes. Except your cost comparison is wrong.
23:38:15, Tue 2016-02-17 22:37:00, Wed 2016-02-17 22:37:24, Wed 2016-02-17 22:38:10, Wed 2016-02-17	INBOX:  INBOX  OUTBOX	I'm sorry. That stinks. Just pulled out of HQ. sent me an email explaining she lives 100 miles (!) from the airport and has ALWAYS used a car service based on cost comparison. \U0001f612  Well, yes. Except your cost comparison is wrong.  Oh sweet jesus.  She stopped by earlier but and were there. I am going to
23:38:15, Tue 2016-02-17 22:37:00, Wed 2016-02-17 22:37:24, Wed 2016-02-17 22:38:10, Wed	INBOX:  INBOX  OUTBOX	I'm sorry. That stinks. Just pulled out of HQ. sent me an email explaining she lives 100 miles (!) from the airport and has ALWAYS used a car service based on cost comparison. \U00001f612  Well, yes. Except your cost comparison is wrong.  Oh sweet jesus.  She stopped by earlier but and were there. I am going to have her grab her UC and stop by. I'm in the process of deciding
23:38:15, Tue 2016-02-17 22:37:00, Wed 2016-02-17 22:37:24, Wed 2016-02-17 22:38:10, Wed 2016-02-17	INBOX:  INBOX  OUTBOX	I'm sorry. That stinks. Just pulled out of HQ. sent me an email explaining she lives 100 miles (!) from the airport and has ALWAYS used a car service based on cost comparison. \U00001f612  Well, yes. Except your cost comparison is wrong.  Oh sweet jesus.  She stopped by earlier but and were there. I am going to have her grab her UC and stop by. I'm in the process of deciding whether or not I talk to the unit chief, who approved it, before I talk to
23:38:15, Tue 2016-02-17 22:37:00, Wed 2016-02-17 22:37:24, Wed 2016-02-17 22:38:10, Wed 2016-02-17 22:39:54, Wed	INBOX:  INBOX  OUTBOX  INBOX	I'm sorry. That stinks. Just pulled out of HQ. sent me an email explaining she lives 100 miles (!) from the airport and has ALWAYS used a car service based on cost comparison. \U00001f612  Well, yes. Except your cost comparison is wrong.  Oh sweet jesus.  She stopped by earlier but and were there. I am going to have her grab her UC and stop by. I'm in the process of deciding whether or not I talk to the unit chief, who approved it, before I talk to both of them
23:38:15, Tue 2016-02-17 22:37:00, Wed 2016-02-17 22:37:24, Wed 2016-02-17 22:38:10, Wed 2016-02-17 22:39:54, Wed 2016-02-17	INBOX:  INBOX  OUTBOX	I'm sorry. That stinks. Just pulled out of HQ. sent me an email explaining she lives 100 miles (!) from the airport and has ALWAYS used a car service based on cost comparison. \U00001f612  Well, yes. Except your cost comparison is wrong.  Oh sweet jesus.  She stopped by earlier but and were there. I am going to have her grab her UC and stop by. I'm in the process of deciding whether or not I talk to the unit chief, who approved it, before I talk to
23:38:15, Tue 2016-02-17 22:37:00, Wed 2016-02-17 22:37:24, Wed 2016-02-17 22:38:10, Wed 2016-02-17 22:39:54, Wed 2016-02-17 22:40:57, Wed	INBOX:  INBOX  OUTBOX  OUTBOX	I'm sorry. That stinks. Just pulled out of HQ. sent me an email explaining she lives 100 miles (!) from the airport and has ALWAYS used a car service based on cost comparison. \U00001f612  Well, yes. Except your cost comparison is wrong.  Oh sweet jesus.  She stopped by earlier but and were there. I am going to have her grab her UC and stop by. I'm in the process of deciding whether or not I talk to the unit chief, who approved it, before I talk to both of them  I probably would talk to the uc first. Just get a sense of it all.
23:38:15, Tue 2016-02-17 22:37:00, Wed 2016-02-17 22:37:24, Wed 2016-02-17 22:38:10, Wed 2016-02-17 22:39:54, Wed 2016-02-17	INBOX:  INBOX  OUTBOX  INBOX	I'm sorry. That stinks. Just pulled out of HQ. sent me an email explaining she lives 100 miles (!) from the airport and has ALWAYS used a car service based on cost comparison. \U00001f612  Well, yes. Except your cost comparison is wrong.  Oh sweet jesus.  She stopped by earlier but and were there. I am going to have her grab her UC and stop by. I'm in the process of deciding whether or not I talk to the unit chief, who approved it, before I talk to both of them

2016-02-17	ОИТВОХ	Can't you look up her address?
22:42:14, Wed		
2016-02-17	INBOX	For sure. I'm going to have the UC do that. Good learning experience.
22:42:53, Wed		\U0001f612
2016-02-17	INBOX	May also have him research the various costs of parking at Dulles, to
22:47:16, Wed	2	ensure the cost comparison is right (it isnt) as well as the airfare from BWI to BJ
2016-02-19 02:17:26, Fri	INBOX	NOW HOW THE F CAN HE BE A REPUBLICAN? !?!?
2016-02-19 02:17:59, Fri	оитвох	I have absolutely no idea. Still, he is so very interesting.
2016-02-19 12:13:50, Fri	оитвох	Still really want to hear about how it went with Laufman, and with Bill. I'm sorry I couldn't talk about it last night.
2016-02-19	INBOX	And of course I can't wait to tell you about Laufman convo, and Bill,
13:06:40, Fri	INDOX	And of course ( can't wait to ten you about Ladiman convo, and bin,
2016-02-20 00:09:22, Sat	OUTBOX	Oh god. And is trying to explain/defend apple's position.  Based entirely on the misinformation apple and privacy groups are spewing.
2016-02-20	OUTBOX	I have a copy of you're interested.\n\n Justice Department Calls
11:59:31, Sat		Apple\u2019s Refusal to Unlock iPhone a \u2018Marketing
# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #		Strategy\u2019 http://nyti.ms/1oPZDsi
2016-02-20	OUTBOX	In Nod to Law Enforcement in Apple Case, Obama Ends Attempt to
12:08:24, Sat		Straddle Privacy Divide http://nyti.ms/1QooMH6
2016-02-20	OUTBOX	The times, for once, does a decent job of explaining our position, for
12:30:52, Sat	97070419753344	once.
2016-02-20	OUTBOX	
23:50:46, Sat	3.5.2.5.3.0	
2016-02-21	INBOX	
02:04:35, Sun	MA (2000)	· ·
02.04.55, 5411		What was the Apple thing? You
2046 02 24	OUTDOY	can tell me on imsg, or it can wait.
2016-02-21	ООТВОХ	
02:05:44, Sun	INDOX	
2016-02-21	INBOX	
02:07:04, Sun	OUTDOY	And Latif have to de the District of the
2016-02-21 22:52:01, 5un	OUTBOX	And I still have to do the D's talking points,
2016-02-22	OUTBOX	Man, you know it's a bad day when you beat and rybicki into the
11:32:38, Mon		office.
2016-02-22	INBOX	And Jones is out so I need to be in at 715 to cover. \U0001f61d\n\nAlso
23:25:21, Mon	<b>1</b> 88	remind me gossip about
2016-02-23	INBOX	Sorry. Spent the last 45 minutes talking with a REAL
01:50:18, Tue	2	prosecutor I'm edva - about this DOJ silliness.

2016-02-23	ОИТВОХ	What does he think?
01:50:41, Tue		
2016-02-23	INBOX	It's interesting, I've got and David and him and everyone calling
01:51:05, Tue	INIDOU	me to survey the terrain. I guess that's good.
2016-02-24	INBOX	*
11:48:15, Wed		8:30. I will arrive right about then and will be free until 910 when my
		midyear brief starts.
2016-02-24	INBOX	Hey I know your evening sucks. I need to talk to you tonight about
23:54:20, Wed	*	work. Things went sideways with Andy and Bill. \n\nAt my desk, calling
VICTOR SERVED - 1000 MICHIES € 1 (1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 10		to see if he is still here.
2016-02-24	INBOX .	Sorry, I'm busy. Call back later.
23:57:18, Wed	0.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.0000	STREET, AND A ST
2016-02-24	OUTBOX	He is I just got off the phone with him. He told me about wrap.
23:57:51, Wed	72.000	
2016-02-24	INBOX	I'm talking to him now
23:59:51, Wed	Control of the Contro	and the dispersion of the second state of the
2016-02-25	OUTBOX	Yup. Though truthfully, I'm not sure I understand what the problem is.
00:06:26, Thu	, = 3 22 32 32 33	Constant Constant particular states to the constant constant to the constant constan
2016-02-25	INBOX	Sorry still on phone. Issue is if Laufman makes himself one of the two
00:15:04, Thu		DoJ, do I send the two case agents or insert myself. Andy told Bill send
<b>S</b>	i i	the best two. And what "best" means isn't clear to me in this context.
		ANTEROPERATURA MARKETER STOCKETOR TO THE BEST OF THE PROPERTY OF THE STOCKETOR AND T
2016-02-25	OUTBOX	Best means best. It's not a trick.
00:15:28, Thu		
2016-02-25	OUTBOX	If Laufman does that, then that's doj's loss. And I think warrants a call to
00:15:52, Thu	Ĺ	his superiors.
2016-02-25	INBOX	Hi. Just leaving. Trisha still there (or at least her car is). Saw leaving,
01:00:20, Thu		too, though be didn't see me
2016-02-25	INBOX	We talked about it, but "best" was not in terms of agents (though that's
01:02:07, Thu		what I wrote). It's about what the best outcome is.
		1800 Menter des 1940 California (1941 - 1940 California (1940 California
2016-02-25	оитвох	Do you or Bill fundamentally believe that 3 and 3 is the RIGHT thing for
02:20:31, Thu		the case? If the answer is no, then you call pack and say we're good
		as is. You have never wavered from saying 2 and 2 is best. I don't get
1		what the hesitation is now.
2016-02-25	ОИТВОХ	One more thing: she might be our next president. The last thing you
02:52:46, Thu		need us going in there loaded for bear. You think she's going to
ne mara a care con of Lutter of Anni ( 5 th Table )		remember or care that it was more doj than fbi?
2016-02-25	INBOX	Agreed. I called Bill and relayed what we discussed. He agrees. I will
02:56:28, Thu	A CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR	email you and same.
2016-02-25	OUTBOX	Cool. You going to call back? I have one more thing to say, so long as
02:57:29, Thu	mer Same Paris de 1927 (PARIS TOST)	you are out of earshot of folks.
2016-02-25	INBOX	Sorry meeting went long with Bill. So is covering 10 and my 11
15:14:23, Thu	and the second section of the section of t	just cancelled.

2016-02-26	ОИТВОХ	Hi. Just left andy and the scif is closed. \U0001f621
00:33:39, Fri	3	
2016-02-26	оитвох	
01:53:19, Fri	5	And I'm reading the apple motion.
2016-02-26	оитвох -	I wrote the following to andy: "Hey I'm sorry. It's just wildly aggravating
01:55:22, Fri		how much churn has gone on this. Have a good night."\n\nAnd he just wrote back: "Agree. Strongly."\n\nSo I guess it's all good.
2016-02-26	ОСТВОХ	I know. It shouldn't, but it's killing me too. I'm really angry but I'm trying
01:56:05, Fri		to calm down.
2016-02-26	INBOX	It IS all good.
01:56:18, Fri		
2016-02-26	INBOX	I sent you the email and DoJ's response.
01:56:37, Fri		
2016-02-26	INBOX	This is what texted back:
01:56:59, Fri		
2016-02-26	OUTBOX	I saw. I LOATHE the man.
01:57:06, Fri	2	
2016-02-26	INBOX	Weak. Not surprised though.
01:57:14, Fri		*
2016-02-26	оитвох	Damn.
01:57:21, Fri		
2016-02-26	INBOX	I think it is disgusting. I'll have to hear how we ended up totally losing
01:57:25, Fri	September of the second state of the second st	on everything. I've been around long enough to not be surprised, but
2015 02 25	OUTBOY	just confirms we have no say over our own case.
2016-02-26	OUTBOX	l know. I know. I'm sorry.
01:57:51, Fri	INDOV	College for the theta and the the theta and the the theta and the the theta and the
2016-02-26	INBOX	So I look forward to that conversation
01:58:00, Fri		
2016-02-26	OUTBOX	Does he know I'm working this behind the scenes too?
01:58:18, Fri		
2016-02-26	INBOX	To be clear, those both were from Jon to me
01:58:19, Fri		
2016-02-26	INBOX	No. Unless you want me to tell him
01:58:40, Fri		
2016-02-26	оитвох	Yeah, I think you should.
01:58:51, Fri		
2016-02-26	INBOX	He knows we're good friends
01:58:51, Fri		
2016-02-26	OUTBOX	I don't even have an argument for you. I mean, you know everything
01:59:39, Fri,		do. And Andy did fight, but at some point, to what end?
2016-02-26	ОИТВОХ	I don't know
01:59:45, Fri		•
2016-02-26	INBOX	
01:59:48, Fri		\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\n\nHe knows you put a word in
		for him with Andy after we talked.

2016-02-26	оитвох	I'm stuck between two men I hugely respect.
02:00:17, Fri		
2016-02-26	INBOX	Picking your battles, with a view from the very top of the agency.
02:00:51, Fri		Because most big bureaucratic fights are never clear wins.
2016-02-26	оитвох	Yeah, I'd tell him. Because truly, I've done very little else the last couple
02:01:18, Fri		of days.
2016-02-26	INBOX	This isn't a huge loss. We'll get a good interview. And if it isn't, we'll
02:01:29, Fri		adjust.
2016-02-26	оитвох	And at the end of the day, it IS hard hill to die on to fight between 6 and
02:01:41, Fri		7.
2016-02-26	INBOX	And for the rest of the time we deal with DoJ, we'll hear about "how we
02:02:14, Fri		did it that time in 2016."
2016-02-26	INBOX	Yes, hence Andy's 4 vs 7 argument
02:02:36, Fri		
2016-02-26	INBOX	Anyway. We BOTH need to move on for our sanity.
02:02:52, Fri		
2016-02-26	оитвох	Yes, I was just about to say the same thing.
02:03:06, Fri		
2016-02-26	QUTBOX	Hey, help me tomorrow to remember to order business cards. I'll need
02:22:21, Fri		the link again
2016-02-26	оитвох	Trying to let it go, but has Bill responded?
02:26:25, Fri		
2016-02-26	INBOX	I think Andy would tell him (or rather than not at all because he
02:28:06, Fri		knew you were relaying to me, right?
2016-02-26	INBOX	What's never happening again?
02:28:14, Fri		
2016-02-26	ОИТВОХ	I specifically asked if I should tell you and he said yes.
02:29:05, Fri		
2016-02-26	INBOX	Andy WILL travelmaybe not a whole day then, but a good chunk
02:29:07, Fri		
2016-02-26	OUTBOX	
02:29:18, Fri		AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER
2016-02-26	оитвох	So, re my cards, should I wrote Counsel to the DD or Special Counsel?
02:30:52, Fri		
2016-02-26	INBOX	Sorry, was on phone with . He is immensely relieved he doesn't
02:36:46, Fri		have to choose between himself or . \U0001f612
2016-02-26	INBOX	I don't like just Special Counsel
02:37:10, Fri	5 W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W	*
2016-02-26	INBOX	Although wait, I'm not sure about that
02:37:31, Fri		
2016-02-26	OUTBOX	Yeah well his is a cocksucker, he should still be stressed about that.
02:37:37, Frí		
2016-02-26	INBOX	He is (
02:37:51, Fri	12 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
2016-02-26	INBOX	Stressed that his is the face of the section
02:38:07, Fri	22	
2016-02-26	оитвох	I also think! prefer just counsel. That's why I asked. But think about it.
02:38:39, Fri	The second secon	■ 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1

2016-02-26	INBOX	I will. Don't think either of those is quite right. What's your agreed upon
02:40:04, Fri		title?
2016-02-26	OUTBOX	Special Counsel. But truly, I can put whatever I want on them
02:40:50, Fri	No. Color St. Administration of the Color of	The Annual State of the Control of the School of the Schoo
2016-02-26	OUTBOX	
11:05:09, Fri		Mtg with
		the d is 3-3:30, but I think there's a high chance that it will go over.  Normally I would just bail, but it's the d and an important legal issue.
2016-02-26	INBOX	And I get three hours of Laufman and n two consecutive
11:21:57, Fri		meetings this morning. Gosh, today's going to be great
2016-02-26	INBOX -	There won't be. Bill has MYE wrap at 230, just like he did for DD's
11:26:05, Fri		function. And if like the one for DD, for which I was late, I won't have time.
2016-02-26	INBOX	hope you didn't mind me
20:58:36, Fri		busting your balls in front of Trisha. You certainly didn't mind giving me
		the finger in front of her. \U0001f609
2016-02-27	INBOX	I know! I left it till the last second. Just spent an hour on conference
21:30:05, Sat	\$100 PM 700 PM 700 PM 700 PM	call with Jon and giving them a dump and discussing path forward. \n\nAnd hi. \U0001f636
2016-02-29	INBOX	So called JB on Sat night to complain about leaks. I need to talk to
12:55:21, Mon	III DOX	him today
2016-02-29	ОИТВОХ	And how the hell does have Jb's cell phone number?
12:56:25, Mon		
2016-02-29	INBOX	That's the way Washington works. JB told Bill they've known each other
12:57:55, Mon		for a long time.
2016-02-29	OUTBOX	Oh. I would run by his ofc now - he'd almost always there by now.
12:58:24, Mon		
2016-02-29	INBOX	Ok getting coffee - no time this morning - I will try on the way back.
13:11:36, Mon	19	
2016-02-29	INBOX	Jim in morning brief with D
13:21:58, Mon	21 - 200000000 - 18000	•
2016-02-29	OUTBOX	Then I would try him again right before 9 if you can.
13:28:51, Mon		
2016-02-29	INBOX	Will try. Just shot him an email asking for 15
13:42:26, Mon		
2016-02-29	OUTBOX	Talk in 2?
13:42:44, Mon		
2016-02-29	INBOX	Also I have your notebook. Nope sorry headed into dad mtg.
13:43:04, Mon		
2016-03-01	INBOX	Just spoke with Bill, he's emailing and JB to get together first
03:07:31, Tue		thing. I need to give you details.
2016-03-01	OUTBOX	Will try. Need to talk to then andy about
03:20:03, Tue		
2016-03-01	оитвох	What details?
	WIND TENER	PACHARAMAN CONCARACTER!

2016-03-01	INBOX	What I told Bill about you seeing jg
03:23:04, Tue	18	
2016-03-01	OUTBOX	Ah. What'd he say?
03:23:29, Tue	<u> </u>	
2016-03-01	INBOX	And about me (actually us, again) seeing them months ago
03:24:00, Tue	3	
2016-03-01	INBOX	He wanted to know if there were specifics rather than rumor and
03:24:16, Tue		innuendo
2016-03-01	INBOX	All this makes me weary,
03:24:43, Tue		
2016-03-01	OUTBOX	No specifics, just interesting timing.
03:24:57, Tue		
2016-03-01	OUTBOX	I know, I know.
03:25:15, Tue	0	
2016-03-01	INBOX	That's what we meant by specifics. Specifics about him meeting them.
03:25:32, Tue		
2016-03-01	OUTBOX	Not following. It's not rumor, we/I saw them!
03:26:21, Tue	25.00.07	
2016-03-01	INBOX	We're saying the same thing. I told him jg was close to them. He asked
03:28:05, Tue		if I had specifics. I said I had seen him with one a few months ago, and
		that you had seen the three of them together last week.
2016-03-01	INBOX	. \U0001f61e\n\nYou think wraps are generally going to go this
11:52:41, Tue		late, or is this just a busy time?\n\nAnd that reminds me, I had wanted
- 52		to ask you about your response to Andy's little dig on about
	ž.	having all kinds of free time in the
2016-03-01	OUTBOX	We stayed behind to try to talk to andy about but then that
11:56:10, Tue	W. T	didn't even work.\n\nYeah, don't think he totally meant it as a dig, but
	200 1000	of course it was.
2016-03-01	INBOX	That stinks. But at least it's an outlier in staying late. \n\nI wasn't sure.
12:01:14, Tue		It had an edge.
2016-03-01	OUTBOX	Yeah, I'm not so sure it is. I'm getting more convinced that the last few
12:02:18, Tue		weeks of ease were the anomaly. :(
2016-03-01	INBOX	Yeah it sucks, no question about it. Hopefully Andy and everyone will
12:12:44, Tue	8 30	find a rhythm and it will get a *little* better.
2016-03-01	INBOX	Hi. Bill going to brief Andy. knew not to mention yet, right?
12:59:39, Tue		
2016-03-01	INBOX	Also need to talk to you before you talk to Andy on topic
13:01:46, Tue		100 PARTIES AND AND 100 OF 100 PARTIES OF 100 PARTI
2016-03-01	OUTBOX	Yes, he will not mention.
13:02:55, Tue		
2016-03-01	INBOX	Hey got summoned to Bills
16:32:55, Tue	ner deliktistinse (si	Antonin rate of contrast and contrast of the c
2016-03-01	INBOX	Ces called wanting to know what if anything Baker had done and Beth's
23:20:39, Tue		response
2016-03-02	INBOX	The same of the sa
01:19:48, Wed	- CARTADO \$45	
01.13.40, WEU		

- 182M - 182
1 <b>5</b> to
t see how
ough. Bill
3 03
33-72-4.
out. Not
andy?
VP1
100

2016-03-03	INBOX	We're hosting a conference all day in
11:29:41, Thu		Bonaparte - I have to give the opening at 845 but have a lot of flexibility
		in the morning after that.
2016-03-03	очтвох	I'm not sure if I'm coming in but I'll let
11:31:31, Thu		you know. If not, probably won't be free until after lunch.
2016-03-03	INBOX	Oh, that's right. Np. Steinbach wants to meet his SCs and up from 2-3,
11:35:41, Thu	Cest strate	and I've got to talk to my DS counterpart whenever the conference
\$	10 THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRAC	wraps up.
2016-03-04	оитвох	God trump is a loathsome human.
02:10:50, Fri		26.
2016-03-04	INBOX	Yet he may win
02:11:26, Fri		
2016-03-04	INBOX	Good for Hillary
02:11:35, Fri		
2016-03-04	OUTBOX	It is.
02:11:51, Fri	j.	
2016-03-04	INBOX	Would he be a worse president than cruz?
02:12:46, Fri		25
2016-03-04	OUTBOX	Trump? Yes, 1 think so.
02:13:14, Fri		8
2016-03-04	INBOX	I'm not sure ,
02:13:37, Fri	4	× a
2016-03-04	INBOX	Omg he's an idiot
02:20:04, Fri		
2016-03-04	OUTBOX	He's awful.
02:20:33, Fri		
2016-03-04	INBOX	America will get what the voting public deserves
02:21:02, Fri		
2016-03-04	OUTBOX	That's what I'm afraid of.
02:21:36, Fri		
2016-03-04	INBOX	Department of Environmental Protection? !?!
02:22:11, Fri		
2016-03-04	INBOX	God Hillary should win 100,000,000 - 0.
02:24:25, Fri		
2016-03-04	OUTBOX	l know.
02:35:16, Fri.		
2016-03-04	INBOX	What was that weird shiny thing on his lip?
02:26:19, Fri		
2016-03-04	OUTBOX	I know. It was like a piece of food!. says this keeps getting better
02:27:58, Fri	1	every minute.
2016-03-04	оитвох	Also did you hear him make a comment about the size of his d*ck
02:34:56, Fri		earlier? This man can not be president.
2016-03-04	INBOX	Yes I did. In relation to the size of his hands.\n\nAnd all the "Little
02:37:06, Fri		Marco" blah blah blah
2016-03-04	INBOX	And God, Detroit used to be SO beautiful and shining and elegant.
02:38:08, Fri		\U0001f61e Sigh.
2016-03-04	OUTBOX	I know. Detroit is really a beautiful city. Camden was too.
02:38:35, Fri		9 N-2

2016-03-04	INBOX	His flag is f*cked up
02:43:17, Fri		25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 2
2016-03-04	оитвох	Yes it is.
02:43:53, Fri		
2016-03-04	OUTBOX	Stupid f*ck.
02:54:35, Fri		383
2016-03-04	INBOX	\U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f62
02:54:35, Fri	1 may	0\U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f6
	la:	20\U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f
	i i	620\U0001f620\U0001f620
2016-03-04	оитвох	God we're the same.
02:55:04, Fri	1	A Company of the Comp
2016-03-04	INBOX	Ok I may vote for Trump \n\n;)
02:56:09, Fri		, and the second
2016-03-04	INBOX	"And look, I'M OLD"
02:57:00, Fri	g in the control of the	
2016-03-04	оитвох	What?!\n\nPoor Kasich. He's the only sensible man up there.
02:58:39, Fri	9 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
2016-03-04	INBOX	He was pretty much calling for death for Snowden. I'm a single issue
02:59:53, Fri	III DOX	voter. ;)\n\nEspionage Machine Party
2016-03-04	INBOX	Exactly re Kasich. And he has ZERO appeal
03:00:23, Fri	III SON	and the majority management appear
2016-03-04	оитвох	Ah. Got it. Was re-reading the article so I stopped paying attention.
03:00:33, Fri	COIDON	This oct to trouble the distall so I stopped paying detailed.
2016-03-04	оитвох	That's so sad. He's an adult who can be trusted. Why are we so
03:01:08, Fri	00.00%	superficial.
2016-03-04	INBOX	What article? Steve's?
03:01:46, Fri		
2016-03-04	INBOX	We like spectacle and combat. Our monkey ancestors
03:02:08, Fri		tre line operation and contact and manner, and and
2016-03-04	оитвох	I can't accept that.
03:02:29, Fri	00.00.	
2016-03-04	INBOX	Why do you think we're so superficial?
03:04:50, Fri		
2016-03-04	оитвох	I think because we're largely undereducated?
03:05:30, Fri		
2016-03-04	INBOX	But that proves my point. There's some basic instinct it appeals to.
03:08:13, Fri		
2016-03-04	INBOX	So just understand you don't get a fair and balanced (hey, it's Fox's
04:01:45, Fri		night) viee
2016-03-04	INBOX	View
04:01:52, Fri		, h
2016-03-07	оитвох	Okay, I guess I'm going to kill the light and try to sleep. Though right
03:09:32, Mon		now I'm reading the latest draft in the apple litigation.
2016-03-08	ОПТВОХ	Hey, andy has in the morning so if you're going to need
00:50:03, Tue	SOIDOX	early answers you won't be able to get them. He won't be at morning
00.30.03, Tue		
		meeting either. Randy has the stick.

2016-03-08	INBOX	Waiting to hear back from Bill. I'm fine not having early answers - State
00:51:51, Tue		may not be, but that's their issue. I don't think we want to push the
		issue thru Randy.
2016-03-08	оитвох	Hey ust told me about having to meet with Bill and Trisha this am.
11:02:55, Tue		Okay if I tell her we talked (that you called to get some insight about
		what went sideways?)
2016-03-08	INBOX	Yes re Tell her I called you after I saw the email from Steinbach
11:11:25, Tue		
2016-03-08	оитвох	Yup, that's what I was going to do.
11:11:48, Tue	- U- 59	
2016-03-08	INBOX	I sent her what I sent Bill, can forward to you if you want, but she can
11:13:23, Tue		tell you as well. Talked to last night as well, he was again
		changing it, and thought he would need to run up through NSD if we
		wanted to make the change
2016-03-08	INBOX	Trisha apparently trying to grab Bill and Mike at 745. So I have no 9 with
12:48:04, Tue		Bill.
2016-03-08	оџтвох	Yup, that's what said
13:03:29, Tue		
2016-03-09	INBOX	Emailing
02:26:40, Wed		
2016-03-09	INBOX	K. Lync me when you get to desk, I'll finish. Re oca and briefing
15:44:03, Wed		requirements
2016-03-09	OUTBOX	Yes. But need to try to fix a HUGE who f-up.
23:03:25, Wed		
2016-03-09	INBOX	Who or wfo?
23:03:52, Wed		
2016-03-09	INBOX	Have info.for you
23:04:04, Wed		
2016-03-09	INBOX	
23:05:46, Wed		
2016-03-09	INBOX	
23:10:27, Wed		
2016-03-09	оитвох	Wfo.
23:10:37, Wed		
2016-03-09	оитвох	I'm still here.
23:15:34, Wed	29	
2016-03-09	очтвох	Need to go meet with andy again now.
23:17:21, Wed		
2016-03-09	INBOX	Ok I need to be back at hq at 630 anyway
23:18:16, Wed	ļ	
2016-03-09	INBOX	Heading back to hq
23:30:51, Wed	3	
2016-03-09	OUTBOX	You here? I need to leave soon.
23:40:42, Wed		
2016-03-09	INBOX	
23:40:50, Wed	51	
2016-03-09	оитвох	In scif. One sec
23:41:09, Wed	3.0	

2016-03-09	INBOX	Pulling in now will drop off in garage
23:41:44, Wed	III DOX	r anning in now will drop on in garage
2016-03-09	INBOX	Meet me in my office in 3?
23:41:52, Wed	III DOX	meeting in my office in or
2016-03-10	INBOX	Though I'm exhausted. Talked with for a while about half an hour
02:31:31, Thu		ago. He does give a shit, and he's not a bad atty.
2016-03-10	OUTBOX	Both are absolutely true.
02:39:54, Thu		
2016-03-12	OUTBOX	What the f is wrong with people?\n\nA Texas Candidate Pushes the
20:58:35, Sat	*	Boundary of the Far Right http://nyti.ms/1QTqBqj
2016-03-12	INBOX	That Texas article is depressing as hell. But answers how we could end
21:05:11, Sat	e van	up with President trump
2016-03-12	OUTBOX	Wasn't it? Seriously, how are people so incredibly ignorant?
21:07:50, Sat		300 0 3 7 700
2016-03-12	INBOX	I have no idea, but it depresses me. Same people who drive more when
21:12:46, Sat	999-979	they get extra daylight from daylight savings, I'm guessing.
2016-03-12	INBOX	\U0001f621\nTrump Clarifies, and It\u2019s Worse -
21:21:03, Sat		NYTimes.com\nhttp://mobile.nytimes.com/2016/03/12/opinion/trump-
V 2007 19 19 20		clarifies-and-its-worse.html? r=0
2016-03-14	INBOX	I don't know how you tell to check her email, but I cancelled the
11:34:46, Mon		900 due to Jones scheduling a career board for his special assistant.
ec 60	50 000V	\U0001f612
2016-03-14	OUTBOX	Don't listen to npr this morning - is an uninformed
11:53:08, Mon	10 70	douche. \U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621
2016-03-14	INBOX	I'm in a bad mood. I'm really angry is
11:53:28, Mon		taking up three section Chiefs time to do interviews for his gs-15 Special
		Assistant. A job which is entirely unnecessary.
2016-03-14	INBOX	Yeah, I really don't like him. What did he say?
11:53:43, Mon		
2016-03-14	оитвох	Totally unnecessary. He's awful.
11:54:39, Mon		
2016-03-14	OUTBOX	I'll tell you later. He sucks.
11:55:14, Mon		
2016-03-14	INBOX	You can tell me about and we can get indignant together.
11:58:38, Mon		
2016-03-14	INBOX	Also, if you can, please don't forget to ask Andy about his plans this
12:03:11, Mon		Friday. Fingers crossed\U0001f636
2016-03-14	INBOX	Crank crank crank. Went back to my office to do stupid epas for job
12:46:52, Mon	ļ	ratings. Now back to Jones
2016-03-14	INBOX	The new career board process is awful
15:04:48, Mon		
2016-03-14	OUTBOX	I can only imagine.
15:05:14, Mon	<u> </u>	
2016-03-14	INBOX	Geez no lie. Just looked at calendar. Your afternoon went to sh*t.
15:06:52, Mon		
2016-03-14	INBOX	Going to talk to I will make sure to extricate myself by 11:30.
15:09:54, Mon		
2016-03-14	INBOX	
17:51:09, Mon	î'	

2016-03-14	OUTBOX ·	
17:55:03, Mon		
2016-03-15	оитвох	speaking on DT. Very effective speaker.
15:10:46, Tue		
2016-03-15	INBOX	Yeah, he's great. I love Fantastic search stories with him from back
15:34:43, Tue	8	in the day. \u263a
2016-03-15	INBOX	He and his wife are the basis for the Jamie Fox movie The
15:35:01, Tue		Kingdom.
2016-03-15	оитвох	That's neat. Yeah, he was a phenomenal public speaker.
15:35:28, Tue	R BW S	2
2016-03-15	INBOX	How long does your thing go?
15:37:21, Tue	1 m - m - m	,
2016-03-15	INBOX .	Noon?
15:37:23, Tue		
2016-03-15	оитвох	Yes, though Andy is speaking at 11:45, but it looks like they're going to
15:37:55, Tue		run over.
2016-03-15	INBOX	\U0001f615\n\nYou still have something fairly early during lunch with
15:40:24, Tue		Trisha? I ask because I can't check because of course unet is still f'ed
		up
2016-03-15	OUTBOX .	I probably will wait to eat between 1 and 2. Have a 12:45 with Trisha.
15:41:06, Tue		
2016-03-15	INBOX	Boo. ;)\n\nI have my cd staff meetingwhich Bill informed us may go
15:44:00, Tue		extra long today. \U0001f612
2016-03-15	INBOX	And scrubbed my business cards, no mystery dot. Betting they'll do
16:09:16, Tue		another run for you.
2016-03-15	INBOX	Just ran into Told him he got rave reviewshe related
16:23:58, Tue		leaving his cell up near the podium while Andy spoke
2016-03-15	INBOX	And no unet stinks
16:24:53, Tue	111.7.7.7.4	
2016-03-15	OUTBOX	He did. Andy did awesome with it too. "Either there's a backpack bomb
16:26:47, Tue		up here or someone is getting a phone call."
2016-03-15	INBOX	Stupid unet forcing me to do all kinds of work-arounds. How hard can
16:32:39, Tue	15	this be?
2016-03-15	INBOX	said he had some rejoinder back - something about not being able
16:33:36, Tue		to get inhe said he realized he left his phone up there 19 minutes
		before it actually rang
2016-03-15	OUTBOX	W
16:34:45, Tue	AND SELECTIVE CONTRACTOR	1397
2016-03-15	INBOX	Who is Greg Brower? He's getting his SES certificate with me on Thurs
16:40:31, Tue		morning.
2016-03-15	OUTBOX	He's the new Tom Bondy. Head of Lit Branch. Former US Attorney of
16:41:18, Tue	co-cor-0048,085008,04009,05	Nevada.
2016-03-15	INBOX	Damn. Impressive enough. Good guy?
16:41:46, Tue	10 s 10 feb. (1.0 10 5 42,0 4)	The remaindens of the part of the state of t
2016-03-15	OUTBOX	Seems to be. Was also at (head of) EOUSA and GC at GPO I think?
16:43:44, Tue		
2016-03-15	INBOX	Wow. And government printing office?
16:55:44, Tue	CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR O	*************************************
	3	I v v

2016-03-15	OUTBOX	I'm in a call with andy and when I'm done then
19:04:54, Tue	Service Advantage Control	grab food.
2016-03-16	OUTBOX	I can not believe Donald Trump is likely to be an actual, serious
04:11:51, Wed		candidate for president.
2016-03-16	INBOX	
09:49:34, Wed		\nhttp://mobile.nytimes.com/2016/03/16/technology/apple-court-filing-iphone-case.html
2016-03-16	INBOX	And already driving to get info to Bill.
11:06:13, Wed		
2016-03-16	INBOX	Complaint delivered, with copy for and Andy.
11:45:04, Wed	100 100	
2016-03-16	INBOX	\nB) I'll wait regardless. 830 with Jones then 910
12:05:35, Wed	Cacas - escription in creatives in	mye. Should be done but 940 or so.
2016-03-16	INBOX	K. Finishing up call with hit me on lync or desk
19:44:56, Wed		
2016-03-16	INBOX	Done?
21:06:34, Wed		
2016-03-16	оитвох	Just waiting for baker, then done.
21:06:50, Wed		
2016-03-16	INBOX	Our guy us talking
21:11:39, Wed	•	Section 1 - Conf.
2016-03-16	INBOX	Is
21:11:42, Wed		8
2016-03-16	оитвох	Rock on.
21:25:55, Wed		N. C.
2016-03-16	INBOX	How'd your mtgs go? Still crushing the interagency policy process?
21:26:45, Wed	Order Assessment of a Sept. 25. 25.	\u263a
2016-03-16	OUTBOX	Damn straight. ;)
21:33:05, Wed		S Assertance - En South
2016-03-16	OUTBOX	Headed to desk just to check email then will pop down on my way out.
21:34:11, Wed		I STANDER OF CHARGE CONTROL OF CONTROL OF CONTROL OF STANDER OF CONTROL OF CO
2016-03-17	INBOX	Just sent you calendar invite. Other option is the 30 minutes after your
12:18:33, Thu	2 3	ET guidelines brief. (11-ish, I think?)
2016-03-17	INBOX	And hi. Kind of wish you could have been there. \U0001f636\n\nRandy
13:00:06, Thu		and JB both came over afterwards to sat congrats. Jim said, I guess I
*		assumed you had been (ses) for a while." :)
2016-03-17	ОПТВОХ	Wish I could have too.
13:09:42, Thu		
2016-03-17	OUTBOX	My 4 30 just moved to 9 30 so now I'm scrambling. \U0001f612
13:10:27, Thu		
2016-03-17	OUTBOX	Andy leaving at 2 today so afternoon hopefully more free.
13:22:08, Thu		
2016-03-18	INBOX	I can wait\n\nBill mentioned he came in late to the mtg
00:27:19, Fri		

INBOX	Finally leaving. As I think about going away, I remember that we have
	moved around interviews so that I can be there for them. Those are the
	things I need to be present for.\n\nThe question remains whether I
	need to be here for this, or not need to, but should.
OUTBOX	Drive safely. \n\nThis case is fungible, possibly sooner than later. Your
	family is not. You need to go.
INBOX	Yeah, but you know what bugs me? If you weren't in there, who is
CC.	supposed to point out all the doubts and qualifications about the
	reporting, all the potential misinterpretations and exaggerations? that
	person needs to be in the room. I understand it could be you, but it
	could be me or somebody else at that level. They should have the
	benefit of that type of nuance and information, and clearly they don't,
	or at least they don't enough. They're certainly not getting it out of the
	so-called senior leaders who are sitting round that table beside Andy.
	so-called sellor leaders who are sitting round that table beside Andy.
OUTBOX	Jim does nuance. I'm not the only one in the room.
	, and the state of
INBOX	But Jim doesn't get the reporting, does he? Saying hey this isn't a raw
	cut, all the opportunities for error/exaggeration?
INBOX	Thought of the perfect person D can bounce this off of
оитвох	Who?
	Note that the second se
INBOX	Pat\n\nYou gotta give me credit if we go with him. \n\nAnd delay
	briefing him on until I can get back and do it. Late next week or later.
OUTBOX	We talked about him last night, not for this, but how great he is. He's in
W 80 202	private practice though, right? Suppose you could still bring him back.
	And yes, I'll hold.
INBOX	Yes, he's at Skadden in Chicago. I haven't talked to him for a year or
	two. Don't forget that Dag Comey appointed him as special counsel in
A	the Plame matter, and that he was there for Comey's investiture.
Ï	
INBOX	I could work with him again \u263a\n\nAnd damn we'd get sh*t DONE
Principal Principal Principal Control	
OUTBOX	I know. Like I said, we discussed boss and him yesterday.
cuesa e Propinsi de Propinsi de Propinsi	
INBOX	And it frustrates me, because Bill, at his 7:15, brings up the whole
24400000.44349	matter in front of his DADs. One who is acting for Dina. Who
si	immediately goes to John and tell him how Bill is stressed because all
	the stuff is going on about a special prosecutor. Bill needs to learn to
	not talk to everybody about this when he's upset about it. And I
	guarantee that agent, while discreet, is certainly going to tell at least a
	few other people.\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f62
111	
	OUTBOX INBOX INBOX INBOX INBOX INBOX INBOX INBOX INBOX INBOX OUTBOX INBOX

2016-03-18	INBOX	I'm sending to the 8:30 with Jones so that I can meet with John.
12:06:06, Fri		The second secon
2016-03-18	оитвох	Did he go so far as to talk about why we started talking special
12:46:19, Fri	2000	prosecutor?!
2016-03-18	INBOX	Don't think so. In my office talking to Jon
12:47:24, Fri	9	
2016-03-18	оитвох	
16:32:08, Fri	501277	
2016-03-18	INBOX	K. I'm waiting on\U0001f612
16:32:45, Fri	100	
2016-03-18	OUTBOX	If door is closed, probably okay to knock if his secretary is gone.
21:13:53, Fri	1000	1955 WG1 83 AF
2016-03-18	INBOX	Ok. You sure? And if she is, I'll just hang
21:14:36, Fri		
2016-03-18	ОСТВОХ	She leaves at 530. I just asked. Jim behind closed doors with Trisha now,
21:16:19, Fri	100	so I'm waiting. \U0001f612
2016-03-18	INBOX	Is it going to be weird if I show up, given Trishas email to you last night?
21:18:08, Fri		
2016-03-18	INBOX	And let me know when you go in, I'll wait 10 then walk up
21:18:27, Fri	312	
2016-03-18	OUTBOX	Yes, but remember, you're here to back brief on touhy.
21:18:39, Fri	200-20	
2016-03-18	INBOX	Totally got it
21:19:02, Fri		
2015-03-18	оитвох	I'm going in now.
21:19:16, Fri		e control of the cont
2016-03-18	оитвох	Come soon
21:21:16, Fri		
2016-03-18	INBOX	30 sec out
21:22:38, Fri		
2016-03-18	INBOX	Hit me before you go, obviously. And J*sus how embarrassing I can't
21:31:31, Fri	\	believe how out of breath I was. \U0001f628
2016-03-18	INBOX	I mean that was weird
21:31:45, Fri		
2016-03-18	INBOX	Hey, do you know if you're close?
21:56:57, Fri		•
2016-03-18	OUTBOX	Walking to my ofc now
21:57:39, Fri	1-0	Variable Indiana and American
2016-03-18	оитвох	You didn't need to run!
21:57:59, Fri	<del> </del>	Contraction of the same and the
2016-03-18	INBOX	You said come soon!\n\nl was mortified!
21:58:38, Fri		
2016-03-18	ОИТВОХ	It wasn't that bad.
21:59:01, Fri		
2016-03-18	OUTBOX	Soon, now now! Or immediately!
21:59:14, Fri		
2016-03-18	INBOX	Ha. I AM responsive.
21:59:59, Fri	1	

. 9		•
2016-03-18	INBOX	Yes it was.
21:59:59, Fri		
2016-03-18	INBOX	
22:00:40, Fri		
2016-03-18	INBOX	That and I better up my aerobic activity
22:01:01, Fri		
2016-03-19	INBOX	Thinking about earlier, I regret not saying more to you and Jim. I should
00:42:58, Sat		have said, I know Bill is out, but we talked last night. I'm out next week.
9. <b>5</b> 8		Since I have you both, here are my thoughts because I know the
20		message doesn't always make it cleanly to the top. Will do that next
		time.
2016-03-19	INBOX	Of course I might have still been so out of breath that I sounded like an
00:44:35, Sat	22-22	asthmatic without his inhaler. \U0001f612
2016-03-19	OUTBOX	Yeah, would have been a totally reasonable approach. I didn't think of it
01:07:44, Sat		either.
2016-03-19	INBOX	Sigh. Missed opportunity. Good news is I think the right message got
01:14:18, Sat		through. Have it in hand for next time.
2016-03-19	OUTBOX	Sorry, have been on with Bill P for the last 45 or so. He hadn't received
02:22:25, Sat	k	any back brief from Mike, which I figured, so I emailed him to let him
		know I was happy to chat with him on the dl.
2016-03-19	OUTBOX	I do like him. He gets nuance. I wonder if he gets intimidated/nervous
02:23:30, Sat	Lie	around Andy, or the bluster of the guys, bc he's much more normal just
		discussing an issue back and forth.
2016-03-19	OUTBOX	I had intended
02:27:23, Sat		to talk to Bill this weekend or Monday and be in bed already, but when
5,0	4	if I was free now there was no way I could leave him to wonder like
	4	that.
2016-03-19	INBOX	Re bill
02:31:35, Sat		
2016-03-19	INBOX	I think some of it is his personality. I think he's naturally sort of old
02:32:14, Sat		fashioned gentlemanly in a Midwestern sort of way
2016-03-19	оитвох	He is. I think he was pretty offended by my back and forth with randy.
02:32:48, Sat		
2016-03-19	INBOX	It's not intimidation. Which is why I get a little angry at doing the
02:33:33, Sat	88	imitation thing. He's not weak - to the extent he has a weakness, it's the
		over thinking earnestness, maybe thinking it's a tad bit more thoughtful
		than it is. But it is genuine without guile.
2015 02 12	INIDOY	You told him? He should be.
2016-03-19	INBOX	rou tola nim? He snoula be.
02:33:55, Sat	INDOV	
2016-03-19	INBOX	He is paying the price for a number of aggressive but unthoughtful
02:34:28, Sat	OUTCOV	decisions by
2016-03-19	оитвох	I did. I gave him the same readout as you, full and complete.
02:34:36, Sat	uus ov	a the first of the second of t
2016-03-19	INBOX	I'm sorry I didn't tell you and Jim what I thought. Made the mistake of
02:35:27, Sat		thinking you knew what I knew and therefore didn't want to repeat.
	The rest process is	Should have treated you both as an opportunity.

2016-03-19	INBOX	Good and thank you. Not that it's my place to thank you, but hopefully
02:35:51, Sat		you know what I mean.
2016-03-19	INBOX	So. Where does go next? Given Andy's preference, clearly
02:36:32, Sat		replaces Eric. And ls done?
2016-03-19	OUTBOX	Yes, I think so. :(
02:36:54, Sat		
2016-03-19	OUTBOX	Stb? What's his background? Science - at all?
02:37:41, Sat		
2016-03-19	INBOX	I don't think so.
02:38:07, Sat	7.4	
2016-03-19	оитвох	Adic? I could see that
02:38:23, Sat		
2016-03-19	INBOX	Who knows. Depends on Andy and Andy and D.
02:38:53, Sat		
2016-03-19	INBOX	I'm worried about Andy's response to him.
02:39:02, Sat		
2016-03-19	INBOX	And if they leave him in CD AD for four years I'm done. is kma after
02:39:22, Sat	76	me.
2016-03-19	INBOX	They won't, because that's too long.
02:39:39, Sat		
2016-03-19	INBOX	But he'd do a better job than .
02:39:52, Sat		
2016-03-19	INBOX	I actually think would be better than as Intel ead. But that's a
02:40:35, Sat		weird call about what that job needs.
2016-03-19	INBOX	is young too
02:40:41, Sat	8	
2016-03-19	OUTBOX	I know. I don't think andy meant to be mean. But you know I'll keep an
02:41:13, Sat	1	eye out, and will say something if I need to.
2016-03-19	OUTBOX	is good simply because he can bust heads. And division is in
02:41:47, Sat		desperate need of that.
2016-03-19	INBOX	You shouldn't, other than maybe the specific behavior. Don't think
02:43:04, Sat		you're going to change anyone's perception. It's just unfortunate,
		because Andy is behaving towards him exactly as and JG and
		everyone behaved towards Andy.
2016-03-19	INBOX	Yep re . Thats not
02:43:17, Sat	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
2016-03-19	OUTBOX	I know re Andy. But he is not naturally a mean person, not like or
02:44:28, Sat		someone, so I do think it is an anamoly. But like I said, I'll keep an eye out.
2016-03-19	INBOX	Did you tell Bill you told me as well?
02:44:47, Sat	(1.1) (\$1.50 (\$1.00) (1.1)	Carrier Accessorate Carrier Access and Carrier State Carri
2016-03-19	OUTBOX	I didnt.
02:44:55, Sat		and in the description of the second of the
2016-03-19	оитвох	Didn't want to get you "in trouble" for not reaching out, since I reached
02:45:33, Sat	200 ACOV CO-4. TAKETA SCO	out for him on my own.
2016-03-19	INBOX	Is mean?\n\nAnd yes re imsg. Promise I won't keep you long.
02:45:33, Sat	2007*ವರ್ಗ	The second secon
2016-03-19	оитвох	Yes, he is. He's a bully.
02:45:47, Sat		Andrew V termination of the Section State (Section Section Sec

2016-03-19	ОИТВОХ	
02:56:25, Sat	EACH CHARLEST CONTRACTOR	
2016-03-19	OUTBOX	
02:57:16, Sat	STEP STATE OF STATE O	
2016-03-19	INBOX	
07:14:54, Sat		l 'm
82	É	WIDE awake thinking about work. Processing what the team told me
	8	yesterday afternoon. I should have told you yesterday, I hadn't
		processed the significance of it until now. I will need to call this
		weekend.
2016-03-19	оштвох	So why the need for to be so decisive all of a sudden? You need
10:24:12, Sat		to tell so she can start pushing this while you're gone.
2016-03-19	оитвох	Does his decision include no we're not going to ask for a waiver?
10:26:33, Sat		
2016-03-19	INBOX	I'm not sure about any of this. Because often muddles things
10:29:02, Şat		A
2016-03-19	INBOX	That's fine. I don't know what, if anything, Bill will provide me over the
15:52:18, Sat	3	week. Jones will have nothing. I don't think you'll have the time or
		desire to rehash next weekend what happened on the case over the
		course of the week. I'll go crazy without an ability to know what's going
	a a	on, so I'd appreciate it if you would keep me posted if there are case
		updates /developments.
2016-03-19	OUTBOX	Yes, of course I will keep you updated re the things I have visibility into,
16:01:18, Sat		though will likely be in a better position to report more granular
		case updates.
2016-03-19	INBOX	Thank you. I appreciate it, obviously. You know i, like you, go insane
16:25:31, Sat	INDOX	being out of the loop. And I won't abuse it,
15.25.51, 540	25	being out of the loop. And I won classe ity
2016-03-20	OUTBOX	Did she know about the reporting?
20:15:00, Sun	Di Productionalisti	en specialistic - regener state Antonis state - inspecialistic - Not discussed - € 20
2016-03-20	INBOX	@ / @Whatever. It's passed. Just perennially irritating. \n\nDid
21:05:21, Sun	8	call you?
2016-03-20	INBOX	Funny. She's stubborn that way. I could almost feel the couple of times I
21:06:50, Sun		encouraged her to do so that she wasn't going to.
2016-03-20	OUTBOX	Yeah, I would have put a grand down saying she wouldn't.
21:38:37, Sun		
2016-03-20	INBOX	Why? Not wanting to be reliant on you? Ego? Competition? \n\n1 mean
21:40:07, Sun		it was funny, I could literally sense it.
2016-03-20	оитвох	No, probably not wanting to bother. I hope it's not anything else.
22:04:44, Sun		Though she will surely not like how involved I've been this week.
2016-03-20	INBOX	Well it was the right decision (your involvement). I told her you were
22:12:08, Sun		since Bill was out. She'll understand, at least, right?
2016-03-20	оитвох	I'd hope so.
22:13:42, Sun		
2016-03-20	INBOX	@ / @an't be on vacation while on vacation
22:29:08, Sun		

2016-03-20	INBOX	@ / \n\ni'm so so
22:29:08, Sun	III	sorry. Hoping to call Bill tonight and therefore generate another
	3	conversation that I c
2016-03-20	ОИТВОХ	Why do you have to call him?
22:29:39, Sun		9
2016-03-20	INBOX	\u0500\u03f5\u0402 a very bad idea. To tell him this isn't the same as
22:36:07, Sun		or
2016-03-20	INBOX .	\u0500\u03f5\u0401A) to update him on what I just forwarded you. To
22:36:07, Sun		tell him the IG's
2016-03-20	INBOX	\u0500\u03f5\u0403Petraeus or whatever else gets thrown out there.
22:36:13, Sun		\nB) oh, God. My ca
2016-03-20	INBOX	\u0500\u03f5\u0404pacity for blech trumps yours a hundred times
22:36:13, Sun		over. \U0001f615
2016-03-20	INBOX	C) you'd think.
22:36:17, Sun		
2016-03-20	оитвох	A) here's the thing re your ig point though: it isn't about accountability.
22:37:21, Sun		Can you talk for 30 seconds?
2016-03-20	оитвох	And Eric Smith just called me to tell me two executives ( and
23:44:00, Sun		had complained to him about me. I am so goddamn pissed
		about It was not an easy conversation for him to have, and I'm
	1	grateful that he did it. And now I want to curl up in a ball and die. Yet
(A)		again, it's Lisa out of her lane.
2016-03-20	OUTBOX	I am so angry. And so upset.
23:53:16, Sun		
2016-03-21	OUTBOX	Honestly, I should just step down. Why the fuck am I working my tail off
00:12:47, Mon		and missing my family just to have people criticize my involvement in
		things?
2016-03-21	OUTBOX	And the worst part is that even if he agreed with me would never
00:13:37, Mon	lives.	say anything to "Agent code" and all.
2016-03-21	INBOX	\U0001f615 What happened?
00:25:45, Mon	INDOV	Will be stated and the state of
2016-03-21	INBOX	Who's criticizing anything? I'm not following
00:26:31, Mon	OUTDOY	Will at the second of the seco
2016-03-21	ОИТВОХ	What do you mean what happened? I sent you four text messages. Did
00:26:44, Mon 2016-03-21	ОПТВОХ	you not get them? This was the first text:\n\nAnd Eric Smith just called me to tell me two
00:27:07, Mon	COTBOX	executives ( and , had complained to him about me. I am
00.27.07, 101011		so goddamn pissed about It was not an easy conversation for
ite.		him to have, and I'm grateful that he did it. And now I want to curl up in
		a ball and die. Yet again, it's Lisa out of her lane.
		a ball and die. Tet again, it's Lisa out of her lane.
2016-03-21	INBOX	No. None.
00:27:25, Mon	into O.K	No. None,
2016-03-21	OUTBOX	But you got the one about me saying I should just step down?
00:27:58, Mon	JOIDOX	but you got the one about the saying I should just step down:
2016-03-21	INBOX	@ 0/ @'m mid-dinner. I can step out right now, or can text freely a bit
	INDOX	
00:30:22, Mon		later. \n\nThey're wrong. And being a jerk.

2016-03-21	INBOX	@ 0/ @\nl just got the one you sent, and the one about stepping down.
00:30:22, Mon		Not the one you just sent about Eric. That sucks. And it's wrong and inaccurate. I
2016-03-21	INBOX	You need to talk talk to Andy tomorrow early if you can
00:30:41, Mon		
2016-03-21	INBOX	And don't you DARE step down. What in the F is upset about?
00:31:08, Mon		
2016-03-21	оитвох	I asked a lot ("too many") pointed questions during Brekke's DDPI.
00:32:32, Mon		Again, when I'm on their side, everyone loves Lisa. But God forbid I disagree
2016-03-21	OUTBOX	I'll be damned if I say anything to Andy. I am going to say something to
00:33:55, Mon	705 1	Let's see him say I overstepped to my face, when I've had his back sooo many times.
2016-03-21	INBOX	I think you should talk to Andy. Talk to , but Andy needs to know.
00:36:48, Mon	III.	Ultimately he's the guy your working for.
2016-03-21	оитвох	I will not have this conversation with him again. And as I said above
00:38:14, Mon	JOILON	(maybe you didn't get it), And the worst part is that even if he agreed
00.50.14, 14.011		with me, Andy would never say anything to "Agent code" and
		all.
2016-03-21	INBOX	@ 8? @I got that one. It's not so much about him saying anything to
00:45:32, Mon	INTOON	(though he should - I would). It's making sure he's aware and
00.43.32, Muli		supports it. After all,
2016-03-21	INBOX	@ 8? @ Andy is the one who asked you if you had it and told you to
	INDOX	brief rather than calling me or Jon up. So he needs to own the impact of
00:45:34, Mon		다는 것들은 이 기계에 되었다면 보고 있는데 가장 보고 있는데 보고 있는데 보고 있다면 되었다면 되었다면 보고 있다면 보고 있다면 되었다면 되었다면 되었다면 되었다면 되었다면 되었다면 되었다면 되었
2016-03-21	INBOX	@ 8? @u. Or at a minimum understand how that plays out in the mind
	INDUX	of his subordinates.
00:45:34, Mon 2016-03-21	OUTBOX	If I talk to maybe I'll tell Andy the results of that conversation.
HOMBO MARKAGANA STRANG	COTBOX	I'm not doing more. But I am taking this week and giving serious
00:49:04, Mon	1 h	
	F-	thought to stepping down. I can save face, say it was too hard on my family. I'm doing this job for two years fearful of speaking up.
2016-03-21	INBOX	@ / @Fine. And you have an easy way out, which would save face. But
00:54:49, Mon		you can't do that.\n\nDoing the job two years fearful of speaking up IS an option, but there
2016-03-21	INBOX	@ / @ are many - better - other ones. Talk to Randy, then talk to
00:54:55, Mon		Baker. Then talk to Andy. He wants you there because of who and how you are.
2016-03-21	INBOX	Trust yourself.
00:55:27, Mon		
2016-03-21	OUTBOX	I don't have confidence that Andy has my back. He should, but I never
00:56:24, Mon		operate with full confidence that he does.
2016-03-21	OUTBOX	My god, I am so hurt and so angry.
00:58:46, Mon	30.30%	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2016-03-21	OUTBOX	Like we need another reason to dismiss the UN\n\nI Love the U.N.,
01:00:46, Mon	John	but it is Failing http://nyti.ms/1Vj35sn
2016-03-21	INBOX	\u0500\u03f9\u0601This is part of the job that sucks. Moderately
01:07:41, Mon	, iiio	powerful men with li
OT'OL'HT' MOU	!	powerful men with it

	Terrane in comme	I
2016-03-21	INBOX	\u0500\u03f9\u0602mited ability. I promise everything will look better
01:08:23, Mon		in the morning
2016-03-21	INBOX	\u0500\u03f9\u0603. And I'm going to go find a Jake Sullivan quote I
01:08:47, Mon	0,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	found when I was
2016-03-21	INBOX	\u0500\u03f9\u0604 prepping for him. \n\nHang in there. You're not
01:09:59, Mon	70	wrong. You deserve t
2016-03-21	INBOX	\u0500\u03f9\u0606e even more effective because of it. \U0001f636
01:10:04, Mon		
2016-03-21	INBOX	\u0500\u03f9\u0605o be there. You can learn to negotiate all this sh*t
01:10:04, Mon		and you will b
2016-03-21	INBOX	Hi. Home from dinner. Off to find that Sullivan quote. Missed Bill, so I'm
01:33:50, Mon		just going to email him.
2016-03-21	OUTBOX	Where was outrage when I got the cyber PPD thrown out? F-
03:08:51, Mon		cking hypocrite.
2016-03-21	INBOX	@ P? @Damn. Wrote below last night and thought I sent:\n\n Ok. Hope
10:18:43, Mon	Î	you can. I'm sorry about earlier. I'd be livid, and crushed. Good on Eric
452		for calling. I want
2016-03-21	INBOX	@ P? @ to hear if he had any solution.\n\nBut if there's one thing I
10:18:46, Mon		would tell you, it's to trust yourself. You're excellent at your job, and
		bright, and deserv
2016-03-21	INBOX	@ P? @e to be there. Don't you dare step down. I won't hear of it, and
11:44:53, Mon		wont let you do it.
2016-03-21	OUTBOX	Yeah, his solution was essentially roll back, know my place.
11:46:03, Mon		
2016-03-21	OUTBOX	It's not your call. I'm talking to randy today, and jim. Maybe Andy. I'll
11:46:49, Mon		decide at the end of the week.
2016-03-21	INBOX	F roll back. \n\nLisa of course I know it's not my call. I just don't want
11:51:06, Mon	2	you making a hasty decision, particularly when you're angry and hurt.
2016-03-21	ОИТВОХ	I'm not. I'm giving it a week.
11:58:51, Mon	DOTDEX	THE HOST THE GIVING TO A TOUCH
2016-03-21	оитвох	Hi. Was in one meeting after another starting at 12:30 and ending now.
22:38:44, Mon	COTBOX	Spoke to neither Andy nor Randy. Leaving hq now. Still hate this place.
2016-03-21	INBOX	And damn that's a full day
22:47:38, Mon		
2016-03-21	ОИТВОХ	To be clear, I had a meeting from 11-1145 as well, it just wasn't
22:49:28, Mon		sequential like the rest of them.
2016-03-21	INBOX	You work far too hard and too effectively to have to put up with the
22:57:52, Mon		sh*t you're dealing with.
2016-03-21	OUTBOX	That is correct. And I STILL f-ing left later than I wanted to, doing work
22:59:01, Mon	ne never version in entire (CP)	for the FBI. Walking in the door now.
2016-03-21	INBOX	\u0500\u0320\u0401The Bu is lucky to have you. I'll never forget that.
23:03:07, Mon		\n\nDon't forget
2016-03-21	INBOX	\u0500\u0320\u0402 what you're doing is independent from these a-
23:03:10, Mon	III DOX	holes and makes a re
2016-03-21	INBOX	\u0500\u0320\u0404\ufffd
l	INDOX	/40000/40020/404/41114
23:03:13, Mon		

2016-03-21	INBOX	\u0500\u0320\u0403al difference for the country. I mean that, in a
23:03:13, Mon		sappy big R way.
2016-03-21	оитвох	Yeah, Jim said the same thing. He knows he can get me with sap.
23:15:25, Mon		
2016-03-21	INBOX	\u0500\u0321\u0301He and I know we can get you with the truth of
23:26:10, Mon	16.	how important what w
2016-03-21	INBOX	\u0500\u0321\u0302e do is
23:26:15, Mon		\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\n\nReason 442 (actually, it would
		be in the top 5)
2016-03-21	INBOX	\u0500\u0321\u030:\U0001f636
23:26:35, Mon		
2016-03-21	OUTBOX	JM clearly spoke to andy about it tonight - I left it up to him whether to.
23:47:39, Mon	**************************************	JM wants to talk tonight.
2016-03-21	INBOX	What did he think/say about it? Forgot he would have been in there as
23:51:36, Mon	IIIOOX	well.
2016-03-21	ОИТВОХ	He wasn't. He was on A/L.
SERVICE MANAGEMENT OF THE PERSON	OOTBOX	The Wash C. He Was Off Ay L.
23:52:19, Mon 2016-03-21	INBOX	Oh. You talked to him about it? What did he think,
ENGAGES AND ARTE DESIRAL	INDOX	On. Tou taked to him about it: What did he think,
23:54:41, Mon	INIDOV	_0500_0333_0304A-d b
2016-03-22	INBOX	\u0500\u0322\u0301And hey, apparently I can't send email.
00:24:25, Tue		\U0001f621\n\nl wrote back about Andy
2016-03-22	INBOX	\u0500\u0322\u0302's, said that was good news, and asked you not to
00:24:27, Tue		parse it out and
2016-03-22	INBOX	\u0500\u0322\u0303spin unnecessarily negatively about it
00:24:30, Tue	0 22 22	
2016-03-22	оитвох	He just called me. We had a very good, very clear conversation that I
00:37:38, Tue		am, generally speaking, not to change anything about the way I am
2016 02 22	INBOX	engaging on issues.
2016-03-22	INDUX	@ "@Yay!!\n\ni'm so happy. Thats what I hoped but things get weird.
00:46:41, Tue		\n\n\'m so so glad. You have to be hugely relieved. \n\nSo are you still going to talk to Randy
2016-03-22	INBOX	@ " @? Andy C? Eric? And if so, what approach? I kind of think Randy is
00:46:44, Tue		the easiest, just straightforward.
2016-03-22	INBOX	\u0500\u0324\u0201And arghh. I'm frustrated I can't email out.
01:08:38, Tue	II. COX	\n\ni'm so happy, and so
2016-03-22	INBOX	\u0500\u0324\u0202 glad he reaffirmed everything you are. Makes me
01:08:43, Tue	IIVOOX	like him more. \U0001f60a
2016-03-22	ОСТВОХ	He is going to talk to Randy and Andy C. separately, then address the
01:57:11, Tue	OUTBOX	other EADs more broadly. (Apparently Steinbach has been inquiring
01.57.11, 148		about my role too).
2016-03-22	INBOX	Good. A nice stern cup of stfu would go a long way.
MANAGES CHOOLOGISTON CHICAGO TOTAL	INDOX	Good. A fince stern cup of stru would go a long way.
01:59:57, Tue	OUTDOY	Voe ho was your sloap that moutisulasty in that some!!
2016-03-22	ОИТВОХ	Yes, he was very clear that particularly in that small group, he values my
02:00:16, Tue		views as much as theirs (at a minimum), and that he fully
•		expects/demands that I speak freely. He apologized for not saying
		something to Randy at the time, said he was so stunned he thought it
		was a joke at first. We talked too about strategies for the bigger group,
		which I had already given thought too.

2016-03-22	OUTBOX	Yeah, I hope he is as clear and direct with them as he was with me.
02:01:08, Tue		There's always that risk, but at least I know where I stand.
2016-03-22	INBOX	@ {" @Wow. Sounds like a really good conversation. I wouldn't hold out
02:04:04, Tue		too much hope for a perfect resolution, but like you said, at least you
		clearly know whe
2016-03-22	INBOX	@ {" @re you stand.
02:04:06, Tue		
2016-03-22	INBOX	\u0500\u0326\u0201And I'm sorry I'm not there. \n\nI want to talk all
02:04:38, Tue		about this with y
2016-03-22	INBOX	\u0500\u0326\u0202ou. \U0001f615
02:04:38, Tue	d. 35-23656 km	
2016-03-22	OUTBOX	Yeah, same here.
02:07:31, Tue	3 2 100 10 10 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	•
2016-03-23	INBOX	Yes. Still there\n\nYou being all fired up on the call certainly didn't
00:46:04, Wed		improve matters
2016-03-23	ОИТВОХ	Ha. Meanwhile I just feel like throttling DOJ
00:47:02, Wed	74-00/00/00/2009/ANDOOS	
2016-03-23	INBOX	Not to worry. I'm impressively, even stunningly, effective at redirection.
00:51:58, Wed	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2016-03-23	INBOX	I WAS TALKING WITH MOFFA! Sheesh. \U0001f60a
01:51:47, Wed	III.	The state of the s
2016-03-23	INBOX	I am getting aggravated at Laufman. If I ever get a data connection
22:50:26, Wed	III DON	back, you'll see why.
2016-03-23	INBOX	@ H! @m but whatever. It's just that nagging question of whether
23:37:43, Wed	INDOX	Mike knows/appreciates who does and knows what.
2016-03-25	оитвох	K. Still in Andy's ofc, be down soon.
18:33:55, Fri	GOTBOX	in still in rainay 5 step be down 500 in
2016-03-25	INBOX	Bill wants to talk some more. 5 minutes. I can also meet you up there
18:35:08, Fri	III OX	bill Marks to talk some morer's minatest roam also meet you up there
2016-03-26	INBOX	sent me an article about an Israeli company an Israeli news outlet is
19:08:19, Sat	III OOX	claiming is helping us. Meant to follow up with you on your note last
15.00.15, 541		week. \n\nSigh. Just for a few extra hours in each day\U0001f636
		Week. (IT(IDIgII. 1031 TOT a TEW EXITA HOURS IIT EACH day (OCCOTION
2016-03-26	оитвох	I read it.
19:09:28, Sat	Joine	
25.05.20, 541		
2016-03-26	оитвох	
19:10:12, Sat		
2016-03-26	оитвох	And "they" (DOJ, the hill, IC) don't like when we ask them to justify their
19:12:43, Sat		"need to know."
2016-03-26	INBOX	Yeah well that doesn't exactly change my point\U0001f615
19:13:02, Sat		Her that about a change my politim (about 1013
2016-03-26	INBOX	Did you see the Hayden article from The Hill that I sent? Jackass
19:13:31, Sat	INDOX	Did you see the hayach at the north the till that I sent: Jackass
2016-03-26	оитвох	Yup. All these former intel guys just trying to make money. Forget when
RELIGIOUS CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	OUTBUA	they came from.
19:14:04, Sat	INBOX	Oh he's all about foreign spying still. Just wants to be the simultaneous
2016-03-26	INDUA	and the second control of the second control
19:14:51, Sat	1	champion of domestic privacy liberties

2016-03-26	INBOX	Just talked to Moffa. He's solid. Andy needs to start grooming him to be
21:53:52, Sat	100 000 00	AD Intel one day
2016-03-26	OUTBOX	K. Will tell him eventually.
21:57:51, Sat		
2016-03-28	INBOX	When are you going to talk to him? Are you?
00:45:44, Mon		
2016-03-28	OUTBOX	Still waiting until Andy has spoken to them. And yes, I will. I have to.
00:46:14, Mon	, .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2016-03-28	INBOX	The longer it goes, the harder it is for Andy to say anything. \n\nSorry.
00:49:11, Mon		WELLOW D
2016-03-28	OUTBOX	I know. But he has to. I'll make him.\n\nRemind me convo re he and.
00:49:47, Mon	4	baker.
2016-03-28	INBOX	I just want some time. Let's please hopefully fingers crossed try and
00:50:10, Mon	The state of the s	take advantage of these days Andy is away
2016-03-28	INBOX	Ok. Of course I want to hear it, particularly in light of what Bill said
00:50:39, Mon	164 164	about Trisha.
2016-03-28	INBOX	Andy and Baker or Randy and Baker?
00:50:54, Mon		The factor of the control of the con
2016-03-28	оитвох	Andy and baker.
00:54:44, Mon	OG : BOX	Array and Barrett
2016-03-28	INBOX	Hi. Forgot I'm acting dad today. 7:15 with Bill. \U0001f61e
09:21:36, Mon	IN BOX	711. Forgot Fin decing and today. 7.15 With Sin. (00001010
2016-03-28	ОИТВОХ	Yuck. That stinks. Where's now?
	OOTBOX	rder. Hide Stiffes. Where 3
10:01:24, Mon 2016-03-28	INDOV	Who knows. Working on his United Platinum status?
	INBOX	who knows. Working on his officed Placifich Status?
10:32:11, Mon	INDOV	Lateral description of the control o
2016-03-28	INBOX	Just got done with Bill \U0001f612
16:11:56, Mon	1	
2016-03-28	INBOX	Talked to Brian Brooks got answers to Andy's Qs about whether we
23:20:01, Mon	55	could do anything forensically to accommodate DoJs questions at
	Es:	meeting. Short answer no, I can fill you in tomorrow if you want more
	AS A	detail or just let Bill brief it up.
2016-03-28	оитвох	Of course I will want info directly. And good. We shouldn't be. can
23:29:49, Mon		just get over herself.
2016-03-28	INBOX	Yeah that was my feeling, too. Brian offered several times to ask again
23:34:32, Mon	1. The state of th	and i told him that answer was perfectly fine, just needed to be able to
		say we did an honest ask at an executive level. Besides, I got him to tell
	*	me the whole story of the Apple thing.
	a	
2016-03-28	OUTBOX	Hey, I was just following directions to keep it quiet. Everyone and their
23:37:14, Mon		mother wants to know how we did it and who the third party is. Hope
8		he didn't tell you for former as that is likely classified by now

2016-03-28	INBOX	Also, the more I thought about the meeting this morning and what
23:37:32, Mon		you're waiting on from it made me angry. I want - the team needs - your thoughts and input. I get you work for and advise him, but he's not going to relay to anyone, let alone me, your thoughts as the meeting is going on. You're smart and your a good attorney and what you think makes a difference to more than just Andy. Anyway, I value your input and opinion and I'm disappointed I didn't get it at the meeting
2016-03-28	OUTBOX	Yup. And it just makes me that much more angry that apparently now
23:39:16, Mon		I'm supposed to add Bill and Trisha to the list. Whatever. Is what it is I guess.
2016-03-28	INBOX	Not Bill I don't think. I really think he was relying what he heard, not
23:42:16, Mon		what he saw.
2016-03-28	INBOX	And you know I defended you without reservation, and I believe he
23:42:47, Mon		trusts my judgment.
2016-03-28	INBOX	And I'm not commenting on Trisha because I simply don't know. I'm not
23:50:18, Mon		saying I think you do. \n\nAnd dammit I know super busy but I
2016-03-28 23:57:53, Mon	INBOX	worry she has too much going on. I'm going to ask her to have someone in OGC do the atty opinion process research along the lines of what you suggested. I fear too much of what we want and need to ask is going to be construed by DoJ as "opinion." I don't want to ask about each of the 1500, but I do want to say, who gave you guidance about what constituted a record? Who did you ask or rely on to make a decision? Who and under what circumstances would you seek guidance from State? All "opinion," right?  Also - and you have to reply to each of these (this and above), dammit, don't skimp responses - where did Mike have to go? He left the last
		brief to the D 45 minutes early as well. Whatever. \n\nWhat points did you make to Andy in the meeting? I assume you'd relay to me at some point as you did the final one but I am curious as to your thoughts along the way.
2016-03-29 00:05:13, Tue	INBOX	Finally, what is Andy/your understanding of what happens next after the meeting today? That we charge ahead with the two scheduled
		interviews provided doj tells Beth we aren't done and intend to interview about sort?
2016-03-29	ОИТВОХ	I do not agree that all are opinion. Opinion is about the attorneys
00:07:27, Tue		IMPRESSIONS, strength of the case, etc. Understanding what criteria they used to determine whether something was work-related, and
UN)		whether someone applied those criteria re a particular email, is not opinion. I'll do some research. And yes, is much, much too busy.
2016-03-29	OUTBOX	To brief the D. He had a CT Homeland session with POTUS.
00:08:06, Tue		

2016-03-29	INBOX	
	INDOX	
00:10:58, Tue	i.	
	8	
2045 02 20	OUTDOY	
2016-03-29	оитвох	
00:11:08, Tue		
2016-03-29	оитвох	
00:12:04, Tue	lo.	
		:\U0001f612
2016-03-29	OUTBOX	
00:12:42, Tue	3/1	
2016-03-29	INBOX	
00:16:35, Tue		
, ¥		
• 0	c.	
		. \u263a
2016-03-29	OUTBOX	
00:18:35, Tue	2 (100 to 0.40 ) 12 - 170 to 0.000 0.400 to	
2016-03-29	оитвох	B) yeah, I wanted to push on that, since uh, they all already know each
00:21:01, Tue	OO TOON	other George, but again, don't know my place.
2016-03-29	оитвох	C) You know I'll look at whatever whenever you want.
00:21:21, Tue	COTBOX	cy rou know in look at whatever whenever you want.
2016-03-29	INBOX	A) sounds right. How much you want to bet I'll be pulling teeth cone
Children chair and the children was statut	INDOX	Thursday?\nB) I know I can't speak for Mike or Trisha, but as far as
00:24:32, Tue	y	
		everyone else in the room, on this case, it's your place.
2016 02 00	OUTDOY	
2016-03-29	оитвох	So I would like to suggest the following to andy, but it depends on how
00:26:08, Tue	0:	important it really is to you: I really think should be present for all
		future calls with D counsel. Enough that I'd be willing to tell Andy, hey,
		this is a "look George, now I'm coming to you with an ask. We need a
	25	person in that room to ensure a trust - filled relationship moving
		forward. Bc it's starting to deteriorate." Or whatever.
	-	A) The second of
2016-03-29	оитвох	A) Then you'd better tell them first thing tomorrow morning. By
00:26:54, Tue		email.\n\
2016-03-29	INBOX	I don't think we're there yet, re having on all calls. Maybe if they
00:29:02, Tue		keep up these asinine proffers. But if they agree to get us interviews,
		then I think we can let them have their attorney discussions. Let's see
	7	how this week unfolds before you do.
2016-03-29	OUTBOX	Okay.
00:30:10, Tue	4	
7	2)	

2016-03-29	оитвох	No more proffers. Period. God, I need to let this go. I can actually feel
00:30:50, Tue	PATOMETER (137.300 TM (23.13)	my blood pressure rising.
2016-03-29	ОИТВОХ	And btw, it's all well and good that you like but I think he's an
00:32:42, Tue	7 15-10 06-12 16-14-16-15-16-15-16-15	arrogant *sshole. agrees, which makes it dispositive for me. I get
Št.		he's the best you've got on that team, and maybe he's in fact good, but
¥2		that doesn't change my fundamental read on him.
		that doesn't change my randomental read on him
2016-03-29	оитвох	And I swear that it has nothing to do with our exchange at
00:33:48, Tue	3	the end. I actually thought that was quite reasonable.
	3.	
2016-03-29	INBOX	A) me either. Let's see how this week goes. And I'll send something via
00:35:52, Tue		email tomorrow. \n
		\nD) he may be an asshole. I like some assholes.
		But he has experience and talks sense. If it wasn't your exchange at the
		end, what was it?
2016-03-29	OUTBOX	I can't put my finger on it. His demeanor the whole time. I don't think
00:36:55, Tue		he is used to or likes to be disagreed with.
2016-03-29	INBOX	And I GUARANTEE they will proffer more with Beth! Nothing was said
00:37:12, Tue		today that they wouldnt, in fact, my take away was they were pretty
		clear they intended to!
2016-03-29	OUTBOX	No more proffers! We made clear that we needed an exchange with the
00:38:35, Tue	26 26 8007 50	witnesses. It doesn't matter what she proffers.
2016-03-29	ОИТВОХ	And what is this I like some as shoes bullshit. Name me one. Not
00:39:30, Tue	8 201 824 K	someone funny snarky and can be a d*ck. I mean an arrogant asshole.
		,
2016-03-29	очтвох	Yes, I just wanted to make sure you understood it was not about that in
00:39:56, Tue	MANAGEMENT NEWSON WOOD OF THE	any way.
2016-03-29	INBOX	They will want to do both. You HEARD them say they wanted to follow
00:40:10, Tue	Transfer town that court	up the proffer with her. Do you think Andy/Jim have the same hard no
		proffer line/understanding?
2016-03-29	INBOX	apparently.
00:40:38, Tue		
2016-03-29	OUTBOX	I'll ask, but probably no. I don't think they care if they continue, so long
00:41:03, Tue	W-425 37 25	as we get in front of the witnesses.
2016-03-29	OUTBOX	No, you make excuses for people you like. Name me one.
00:41:17, Tue	V - 524 49 500 C	
2016-03-29	оитвох	I don't actually think you do like assholes. We're too similar, care too
00:42:00, Tue		much about fairness and equity and kindness.
2016-03-29	INBOX	I like I can see him being an asshole. And you and both think
00:42:19, Tue	Š.	so. Ergo, he's an asshole, who I like.
2016-03-29	очтвох	NAME ME ONE.
00:42:35, Tue		
2016-03-29	INBOX	He has said a number of things to me in confidence which make me
00:43:02, Tue		believe he believes strongly in all three. So then he's not an asshole,
naven-hami i zestarenden 🐔 - Elizaro Til i		right?
2016-03-29	INBOX	In that case, you're right. I don't like assholes. \n\nThere. That was easy
00:44:42, Tue	11.1.100.010.010.010.010.01	enough. \U0001f609

2016-03-29	оитвох	Perhaps not, but he comes off as one. This is my first ever meeting with
00:44:46, Tue		him, and he came off as one. has met with him many many more
	ļ	times, and she also regards him as one. And I'm sorry, I probably have no better skill than reading people on first blush. I will always trust my
		gut.
2016-03-29	INBOX	You read me wrong!
00:45:17, Tue		
2016-03-29	оитвох	You're making me angry. Either tell me I'm right and you were talking
00:45:33, Tue		crap or point out another asshole you like.
2016-03-29	OUTBOX	No, not when it comes to someone's fundamental nature I didn't.
00:45:53, Tue		
2016-03-29	OUTBOX	
00:46:22, Tue		
2016-03-29	INBOX	Look. I think he very much believes in equity and fairness (notice in
00:49:34, Tue		didn't say kindness - that may be, but i dont know him that well). I think he has a fundamental mistrust of headquarters. DOJ, mainly, but FBI
		too. I think he can be arrogant and doesn't like being disagreed with
	2.5	until he's measured you up and decided he's OK with you. That may
C		make him arrogant, or rebellious. But I don't think it makes him an asshole.
2016-03-29	INBOX	And no. I guess I don't like assholes. And I don't think he's one.
00:50:02, Tue		
2016-03-29	оитвох	I suppose we can agree to disagree re him. You obviously know him
00:50:56, Tue		better, I trust my gut.
2016-03-29	INBOX	Can someone who
00:52:56, Tue		believes in equity and fairness be an asshole?
2016-03-29	оитвох	Yes, of course! Someone is weak, insecure, threatened, whatever, and
00:54:54, Tue		act like an asshole as a defense mechanism. I'm just telling you,
		everything about him, from jump, read that way to me. Even his
		posture was agressive, like he couldn't believe he had to justify himself again.
2016-03-29	OUTBOX	You did. And they will.
00:55:05, Tue		
2016-03-29	INBOX	Well I like him, as far as I can tell. I'm not going to argue any more
00:57:00, Tue		whether or not he's an asshole.
2016-03-29	очтвох	Deal.
00:57:18, Tue	Ø.	T-0-74 Areas
2016-03-29	INBOX	God. You KNOW I would\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636\n\nJust
01:15:24, Tue	070-05-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00	emailed to ask what the plan and timing was re Beth.
2016-03-29 02:37:14, Tue	INBOX	Sorry was on phone with
2016-03-29	INBOX	As expected, couldn't hear Andy or Jim and wasn't clear that we
	INDOX	felt we must interview on sort and get on laptops.
02:41:16, Tue	INBOX	
2016-03-29	INDUA	- [11] [11] [12] [12] [12] [13] [13] [13] [13] [13] [13] [13] [13
02:41:38, Tue	INDOV	conveyed. \U0001f612
2016-03-29	INBOX	So I did.
02:41:43, Tue		

2016-03-29	OUTBOX	Well he should be able to call anyone else in that room and get that
02:41:46, Tue		message.
2016-03-29	INBOX	We both agree we need a united FBI-DOJ decision and position before
02:42:06, Tue		he calls Beth.
2016-03-29	INBOX	I'm telling you, DoJ is miraculous about hearing what they want to hear.
02:42:28, Tue	1	
2016-03-29	ОИТВОХ	No, they're just better than we are.
02:42:42, Tue	39	
2016-03-29	INBOX	Ok. I'll tell you the worst news. Per atty proffer, Combetta says he used
02:43:14, Tue	780 S.	BleachBit (a wiping program) when he deleted the psts from the laptops.
2016-03-29	INBOX	\U0001f621\n\nNo one on the team knows that yet so you have to
02:43:37, Tue	INBOX	wait to tell Andy.
2016-03-29	OUTBOX	The ones m and s used?
	COTBOX	The offes in and a used:
02:43:40, Tue	ОИТВОХ	Call have to get them to confirm that Decemit change anything You
2016-03-29	DOTBOX	Still have to get them to confirm that. Doesn't change anything. You
02:44:17, Tue	MEON	would never just take some attys word for it.
2016-03-29	INBOX	Doesn't mean they're not somewhere else, but if true, and done
02:44:17, Tue		properly, makes this much harder.
2016-03-29	INBOX	Apr 18 I'm in nzalthough I wonder if I should cancel with the case
02:49:01, Tue		where it is
2016-03-29	ОИТВОХ	You should go. It's important to meet these folks too. You will someday
02:49:50, Tue		have your other job back.
2016-03-29	оитвох	Aargh. and now I can't stop thinking about
09:04:43, Tue		your stupid case. The whole thing really makes me angry. \U0001f621
2016-03-29	INBOX	
11:15:08, Tue		Trisha scheduled a meeting from noon until 1. \U0001f612
2016-03-29	INBOX	Grassley letter about reporting we had people sign NDAs. Still. Not.
11:19:24, Tue	3345-762343	Done.
2016-03-29	INBOX	So. Changing topics so I stop wallowing in regret. Why is so low?
11:46:00, Tue	III, BOX	Trisha? Or everything?
2016-03-29	оитвох	Yeah, mostly Trisha and working way way too hard and not feeling like
11:54:30, Tue	COTSON	Trisha is satisfied with anything is doing.
2016-03-29	оитвох	But you can't say anything to her!
11:55:22, Tue	COTSON	but you can essy anything to hell
2016-03-29	INBOX	I won't. But I can be sweet, and appreciative
BESTELLISMEN GALLESCHERKE.	MUDOX	Thorras bacrean be sweet, and appreciative
11:56:28, Tue 2016-03-29	ОИТВОХ	Yes, that would be good.
	OUTBOX .	, Tes, that would be good.
11:56:49, Tue	INDOV	\ and what is Tricia doing? the only competent passes of a base
2016-03-29	INBOX	) and what is Tricia doing? the only competent person she has
11:57:01, Tue	OUTTOOY	
2016-03-29	оитвох	I know. I'm sure she doesn't know she's doing it and would be appalled.
11:58:36, Tue		I've been talking to every day about needing to say something to
		Trisha. Or to talk to Jim about getting advice about how to address her
		concerns. It's bad enough that she's been thinking about going back to the line

2016-03-29	INBOX	And Jesus I'm late. Just now entering dc
12:05:42, Tue		
2016-03-29	INBOX	definitely needs to say something. That's no good having to work
12:08:30, Tue	INDOV	that way, feeling that way.
2016-03-29	INBOX	Yay. I'm ready when you are. Bill is stopping by my office at 9:45,
12:57:50, Tue		something from upstairs this morning
2016-03-29	INBOX	Hey Trisha pushed the noon meeting. Call or stop by if you can before
15:46:43, Tue		lunch re email drafting.
2016-03-29	INBOX	Also said he would send the draft email on to Andy tonight. Don't
23:30:56, Tue		know why, but if you talk to him, want you to give me some credit in
	£	the drafting of that thing. Doesn't make a big difference I guess.
ř		\n\nAlso, Tricia email to apologize for changing yesterday to Monday.
		She said she had convinced herself that today was already Wednesday.
	a a	I told her not to worry about it, that some weeks were like that, and
		that it was probably better to put the day of the week in there anyway.
2016-03-29	оитвох	I will. Was going to say pete and I drafted it so it should be good to
23:37:02, Tue	15	go. \n\nPoor Trisha. That's rough.
[⊕] /		N9C 1
2016 00 00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2016-03-30	INBOX	Been talking to about you. I will show
01:04:24, Wed		you tomorrow.
2016-03-30	OUTBOX	Also, andy responded,
01:04:58, Wed	The state of the s	said he would read email tonight.
2016-03-30	оитвох	And more info re
01:08:21, Wed		·
2016-03-30	INBOX	
01:11:51, Wed		We emailed. I want to show you tomorrow. Any chance in hell you let me wait?
2016-03-30	INBOX	Ok. Are you going to trust me to believe you'd feel the same way, roles
01:17:14, Wed		reversed?
2016-03-30	INBOX	And our cone of silence/circle of trust?
01:17:34, Wed		2/ 2
2016-03-30	оитвох	I don't know what the first question means, but yes, of course to the
01:22:03, Wed		second question.\n\nI'm not going to like this, am !? \U0001f615
2016-03-30	INBOX	You're going to be fine once you read it a second time and understand
01:23:20, Wed		he knows nothing about you and lumps you into the HQ good idea fairy
	X	collective.
2016-03-30	ОИТВОХ	I'm not sure how I can be a "former prosecutor" and have never
01:32:28, Wed	The resolution of the resoluti	defended my position before a judge, but whatever, I don't give a shit.
**		Really, the person who should be most offended is She's done
	5 kg	nothing but as reasonable questions of them, him in particular, and
		instead been cross-examined for having the temerity to question "the
		most aggressive prosecutor agents have ever seen." But yup, you're
	8	
		right. Not arrogant or an asshole.
2016-03-30	INBOX	Sigh. How is he to know you from Adam? Compared to the and
01:36:18, Wed		other people he's encountered along the way?

2016-03-30	INBOX	You both react so strongly because there is (good, positive) similarity
01:36:52, Wed		between you.
2016-03-30	INBOX	I'm going to once get you to understand your first impression was
01:37:10, Wed		incorrect.
2016-03-30	INBOX	And stop with the don't give a shit, of course you do. But understand
01:38:45, Wed	76	he's judging improperly based on ignorance. And be strong enough in
¥		your own skin and character to know he's wrong about you. Because of
		his insecurities and prejudgments.
2016-03-30	OUTBOX	How about he just explain the intricacies to the group of people at the
01:38:51, Wed		table who inquired of him in the first place? \n\nOn this guy? Don't waste your f-cking breath.
2016-03-30	OUTBOX	I know he's wrong about me. I don't need his validation to know I'm
01:40:22, Wed	DO TOOK	good at what I do. I'm not interested in engaging in a dick measuring
D1.40.22, **Ca		contest, thanks.
2016-03-30	оитвох	Yes, poor He knows all the answers. If only everyone would just
01:40:46, Wed	COIDON	do as he said.
2016-03-30	INBOX	Please - if you got summoned from Trenton to appear before the US
01:41:11, Wed	III	Atty or the new Head of OCRS, you'd feel the same contempt. "The
01.41.11, <b>v</b> ved		suits at main (/front office) have never done it, have no idea what it
		really takes." It's the same for him.
2016-03-30	оитвох	But you've said I've done it. Apparently your word doesn't carry much
01:43:37, Wed	COTDOX	weight either. \n\nAnd yes, you have the luxury, like all prosecutors, of
01.43.37, WCu		being myopic about everything about the case in front of you. We have
	•	an institution to protect. Go fuck yourself,
%		an institution to protect. do ruck yourself,
2016-03-30	оитвох	And frankly, it shows how much weight he gives your opinion too. She's
01:48:32, Wed		competent and has character and knows what she's talking about gets
		met with I can explain to her the intricacies of privileges she has never
		actually litigated. Quite the charmer.
2016-03-30	INBOX	Stop!\nA) you want his validation, because if he's half of what he says,
01:49:24, Wed		he's a good prosecutor. I want his validation.\nA) 1) he doesn't know
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		you\nB) put yourself in his shoes. He's an AUSA in Richmond. He's not
	19	the AAG, or DAAG, even though he's wildly more qualified. That gives
		rise to a complicated mix of contempt and envy and impatience and
		disrespect. \nC) he's had to fight harder than he should for justice
		(sound familiar?). That breeds distrust of authority. \nD) you're
	3	welcome re my defense. Even though he isn't willing to drop decades of
		experience for an acquaintance he's had for 6 months, I hope I'm and
*		trust it counts for something.
2016-03-30	INBOX	And dammit maybe people's opinions aren't perfect but are shaped by
01:51:14, Wed		their insecurities. Where does your expectation of perfect people come
The second secon		from? Good people can be limited by their flaws. \n\nAnd their flawed
		opinion of you doesn't make it true (about you).
<i>1</i> 0		

2016-03-30	OUTBOX	I have zero confidence at this time that he is a good prosecutor. Lots of
02:00:38, Wed	COIDOX	inflated ego blowhards actually think they are, doesn't make it so. Pony
02,00,000, 1102		up a comprehensive memo on work product, teach us all what we don't
	V	know, and I'd be happy to change my opinion.\n\nYou want everyone's
		validation. \n\nI do appreciate your defense of me. I should have
		[16] [16] [16] [16] [16] [16] [16] [16]
		thanked you earlier. Thank you.\n\n! do not believe that I am perfect,
7025		nor do I expect others to be - that's entirely unfair.
2016-03-30	INBOX	A) he convicted MacDonald. I don't know the rest of his trial record but
02:02:48, Wed	*	I know he has a great reputation \nB) I do. It's a fault of mine. I'm
6		working on it. \nC) always. \U0001f636
2016-03-30	INBOX	D) you misunderstand me. I'm saying HE'S imperfect
02:03:14, Wed	1.00	10/10/10/10/10 W W W 10/10/10/10/10/10 U SI V
2016-03-30	ОИТВОХ	I haven't seen a whole lot of "doing" from him. But I've certainly heard
02:03:24, Wed		a whole lot of talk. He's litigated it 7000 times, should be no problem to
,		explain the law then. Hell, he can pull it out of the 7000 filings he's had
	1441	to make on the topic.
2016-03-30	OUTBOX	D) I'm not looking for perfect from anyone. What I'm looking is
02:03:51, Wed	COTBOX	humility.
2016-03-30	INBOX	D) consequently his beliefs and impulses and reactions (to you, in this
	IIVBOX	The Market of the Control of the Con
02:04:14, Wed	ОИТВОХ	specific instance) are flawed.
2016-03-30	OUIBOX	A) And that's legit. And from everything I know, a tough case. So kudos
02:04:32, Wed	INDOV	to him. Doesn't change the rest of it.
2016-03-30	INBOX	A) bullshit of course it does. There is work behind that success. And
02:05:31, Wed		unlike the poseurs, actually did the lift.
2016-03-30	оитвох	I know they are. I do not take the comments of someone who has
02:06:15, Wed		known me for a grand total of one hour to heart. I'm a lot more
<b>.</b>		confident than that. You don't have to believe me, but I'm not looking
	į.	for his validation. I'd simply take not being prejudiced and just a
		*smidge* less arrogance.
2016-03-30	INBOX	Who amongst us is humble? Andy? You? Me? Let's be brutally honest.
02:06:15, Wed		
2016-03-30	OUTBOX	A) I believe that! I meant that that was impressive!
02:06:33, Wed		<u> </u>
2016-03-30	INBOX	And I'm telling you it's wrong to read it as arrogance.
02:06:59, Wed		
2016-03-30	OUTBOX	Oh stop with the philosophical discussion. No. But do we make a point
02:07:11, Wed	Province September 1997	of rubbing everyone's nose in it?
2016-03-30	OUTBOX	Then me, and Andy are all wrong. So be it.
02:07:26, Wed		
2016-03-30	INBOX	I'd say - reduced to its core - it's inferiority and contempt and doubt.
02:07:30, Wed		50 S
2016-03-30	INBOX	Jesus. You're not all wrong. His assumption is you are Giuliano and
02:09:11, Wed		and Giacalone. Lisa Monaco. Same-same. Why should he
OZ.OJ.II, WEU		trust this is any different.\n\n\nWhy are you responding so damn
2016 02 20	ОИТВОХ	hard?!?!?
2016-03-30	OUIBUX	Which I dislike even more. It's the very trait I most loathe. Instead of a
02:09:39, Wed		modicum of humility, self-awareness, men in particular self-aggrandize
	1	to make up for their own inferiority.

2016-03-30	INBOX	And same question you're not arrogant? Andy's not? I'm not? The
02:10:26, Wed		Director?\n\nThe only person I can honestly say no to is
2016-03-30	OUTBOX	Am I confident in my abilities? Yes. Do I have to cut people down or rub
02:12:33, Wed		someone's nose in it to prove it to them, myself, or to feel good about myself? No, I do not.
2016-03-30	INBOX	I don't think he's self-aggrandizing. I think he's earned his chops and is
02:12:47, Wed	Ž.	engaging in behavior to minimize the devastating past impact of
Control of the Contro		politically motivated assholes torpedoing justice for their own
-0		advancement. There's a difference.
2016-03-30	INBOX	He didn't cut you down! He didn't say anything until prompted by me.
02:14:17, Wed		And his (misinformed - wrong in this instance but usually right) opinion
\$345 Ville	4	was informed by repetitive past experience.
2016-03-30	OUTBOX	So show me the fight for justice in this case. Not just the sweet nothings
02:14:34, Wed		he whispers in your ear alone, but the truth to power that he's speaking every day.
2016-03-30	INBOX	After he's been told by George and to hat their job is to get
02:16:04, Wed		process? That they are to be seen but not heard, like children? Why on
8: 0.0000830	- A	earth would he be cynical?
2016-03-30	INBOX	And the fact that you don't need to cut people down or run their nose
02:18:30, Wed		in it doesn't diminish my point. Most people are flawed. See past their
And the second s		problems - those problems are theirs, not yours - to let you see the
4442		good and noble in them.
2016-03-30	INBOX	I guess I'd say assume good intent. Whatever the pitfalls, assume good
02:26:07, Wed	1	intent.
2016-03-30	INBOX	Hi. 830 with Jones cancelled
12:17:28, Wed	<u> </u>	
2016-03-30	INBOX	Yep. But I don't know her schedule. She was talking desk search policy
19:33:07, Wed	<u> </u>	change with Trisha at 3 then calling Fort now.
2016-03-30	INBOX	Need to talk later just got pulled into briefing tomorrow with ces,
22:12:43, Wed		toscas, briefing chief of crim div on
		internal.
2016-03-31	OUTBOX	Hey, was thinking, don't you think DD should get a brief on that case for
00:07:09, Thu		tomorrow before doj does? Or at least at about the same time? Maybe
COLUMN DE LA COLUMN DE COL	- Construction Annual Construction	mention to bill?
2016-03-31	INBOX	Briefed Bill, he intended to tell Mike to brief Andy. Gave him enough
00:10:35, Thu	<u> </u>	copies.
2016-03-31	ОИТВОХ	I get that, but maybe an actual brief since that's what doj is getting and
00:11:39, Thu	20.	I don't think you'd want your DD not to know everything they do right?
2016-03-31	INBOX	Sorry called.
00:27:52, Thu		
2016-03-31	INBOX	Sounds smart to me but Bill/Mike need to raise it. And truly it's not that
00:28:52, Thu		big a deal.

2 2 2	100. 200	
2016-03-31	INBOX	Here's the thing re case brief - I don't know how to stress importance
00:59:57, Thu	35	without Jones deciding to invite himself. \n\nAnd truly, I think Bill will
		convey enough detail. And if Andy/Mike want more, they can ask.
		\n\nInterestingly, we'd brief internals somewhat regularly to EAD.
<b>.*</b>		Haven't with Mike. Also, he's sat down for big briefs with 1 and 3 (not
		sure about 2). Not us. Maybe he figures he'll hear about them if he
		needs to. I may also suggest to Bill that he my want to get a section
		significant case briefing.
2016-03-31	INBOX	Talked with Bill and Trisha and Will tell you on the way to lunch
15:43:12, Thu		- call me when you're clear.
2016-03-31	INBOX	Thanks for stepping out to make the call/ heads up about lunch, i
16:18:28, Thu		appreciate it. :)\n\nI am grumbly Bill is not going. Jim wasn't tracking on
•		all the various sources of ambiguity in the reporting. \U0001f612
2016-03-31	INBOX	And I am grumbly about Trisha. Will explain.
16:20:52, Thu	i è	

## Strozk-Page Texts

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-04-01	INBOX	Just read your last lync - that's REALLY frustrating. \n\nBecause it's
14:38:39, Fri		ok for Mike and Randy to have multiple people like and
		, but because Andy is HIGHER, he needs LESS. \n\nMakes no
		sense whatsoever.
2016-04-01	OUTBOX	Not just less, but no disagreement.
14:47:51, Fri		
2016-04-01	OUTBOX	Calling George now. I'm sure this is in your interest
21:05:54, Fri		
2016-04-01	INBOX	I spoke with and got an update about where they are if you
21:07:21, Fri		want it (nowhere, though they have spoken then written to prao)
2016-04-01	OUTBOX	Talk to yet?
21:07:50, Fri		
2016-04-01	INBOX	No decision on where or how to tell , other than she will be
21:08:16, Fri		called next week. No decision on what if anything to litigate.
2016-04-01	INBOX	No (that he could produce to me) analysis of fact vs opinion
21:08:37, Fri		product
2016-04-01	INBOX	told the working level today she thought the Sat interview
21:10:06, Fri		would only be State time
2016-04-01	OUTBOX	Steinbach walked in. Calling George now
21:16:03, Fri		
2016-04-01	INBOX	Sigh. Ok. Of course I'm waiting to hear all about it.
21:16:31, Fri		
2016-04-01	OUTBOX	Oh christ. Doesn't seem like it is about the case. \U0001f612
21:17:29, Fri		
2016-04-01	INBOX	Just got done talking with Bill
23:20:46, Fri		
2016-04-01	INBOX	Went in when I got back, gave him the dump from you. \n\nI'm
23:21:45, Fri		sorry about the disappearing. \U0001f636
2016-04-01	OUTBOX	No problem. Hope you told him I'd be happy to share directly, just
23:24:20, Fri		totally confused by the (non)rules going on in this case.
2016-04-01	INBOX	Yes!\u263a
23:26:30, Fri		
2016-04-01	INBOX	Yay. I told you Rich Quinn called, they're getting a ton of questions
23:58:52, Fri		about State saying they stopped investigation at FBI request
2016-04-01	ОИТВОХ	Really. No, you didn't mention.
23:59:51, Fri		
2016-04-02	INBOX	Standby will email article
00:00:36, Sat		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-04-02	OUTBOX	So look, you say we text on that phone when we talk about hillary
01:19:29, Sat		because it can't be traced, you were just venting bc you feel bad that you're gone so much but it can't be helped right now.
2016-04-02	INBOX	Right. But did you say anything other than work? I did,
01:20:30, Sat	INID ON	
2016-04-02 11:20:10, Sat	INBOX	Btw, he got neither of the two asac jobs he was in for earlier, and said (who sits on the asac board) said he wasn't getting Milwaukee, coming up. Talked about him with Bill, too. He's of the same mind I am. \n\nGotta figure out what to do with
2016-04-02 11:53:01, Sat	OUTBOX	That sucks about . Do they have any suggestions?
2016-04-02	INBOX	
12:29:03, Sat		No
·		idea what to do about . Probably suggest he try a different
		division (ct? lod?) to expand his experience.
2016-04-02	INBOX	I told you the story from about Andy getting his number?
20:56:08, Sat		
2016-04-02	OUTBOX	No, don't think I know the andy story. Or if I do I don't
21:22:02, Sat		remember.
2016-04-02	INBOX	Pretty sure I told you - Mike heimbach lamenting how bad it was
21:24:34, Sat		and what they'd have to do to get him selected for wfo. Anyway, I
		can tell you again.
2016-04-03	OUTBOX	I woke up thinking about and now I'm f-ing pissed and
11:08:36, Sun	COTBOX	can't fall back asleep. \U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f620
11.00.50, 5411		Carr C (all Back asseep). (C00011020 (C00011020 (C00011020
2016-04-03	INBOX	And yeah, behavior was inappropriate. I need to talk to him.
12:35:05, Sun		, , ,
2016-04-03	OUTBOX	And then at
12:52:43, Sun		6:30 I got all mad again about the fact that is now talking to
·		DOJ about my question. Because it is THAT offensive that I would
		dare question him. Asshole.
2016-04-03	OUTBOX	And then I get mad because I too can let rip all sorts of smart
12:53:47, Sun		remarks, but because I'm a girl younger my position whatever I'm
		expected to remain above the fray.
2016-04-03	INBOX	You get you're not the part that's objectionable to him, right? It's
12:54:08, Sun		the while thing
2016-04-03	OUTBOX	Uh huh. I'm just the part that keeps coming up.
12:54:33, Sun		

Type of Message	Body
INBOX	No. You're a professional and someone who works in the office of
	the highest ranking agent in the FBI. You behave professionally and
	appropriately.
INBOX	You remain above the fray because that's the right thing to do, not
	because you're a woman or young or anything else.
INBOX	Everyone gets was out of line.
OUTBOX	I don't believe that for a second.
OUTBOX	And he was perfectly fine in the meeting. Which means the "out of
	line" has been happening since then.
INBOX	And remember - I didn't tell this to because I had forgotten -
	you whispered it to me, and only after George said "share your
	wisdom with the group" did you mention it.
INBOX	He wasn't perfectly fine in the meeting. I thought he was angry and
	you were leaving. \n\nWhich part of what I said don't you believe?
	And what do you mean by out if line has been happening since the
	meeting*
OUTBOX	That everyone gets he was out of line.
INBOX	It's the part that keeps coming up with . I have not heard
	anything from anyone else in the meeting (other than
	talking ABOUT ). Because, I suspect, that was on him to
	research/speak to and he didn't and it was questioned.
INBOX	Everyone on our side does. I can't speak to DoJ. I told you what I
	told .
OUTBOX	And no, I don't have a problem with how he was in the meeting at
	all. I have a problem with the amount it has been a point of
	controversy since then, the amount that "that girl with the
	question" has clearly been discussed.
OUTBOX	Our side equals you and Jon and maybe
	Pete. If you guys don't have my back I've got real problems.
OUTBOX	Whatever. We don't need to talk about it.
INBOX	He was angry and talked to me - after I brought it up - and with
	. He may have spoken to after I brought it up with
	him. \n\nI think - and I may wrong - you are conflating the motives
	behind Randy Andy and with the ones at the meeting. The
	former is more along the lines of what you're saying. The meeting
	wasn't a function of yur age or gender. would have
	responded exactly the same way to a 45 year old male from the
	DD's office saying what you did.
	S office saying what you did.
	INBOX INBOX OUTBOX OUTBOX INBOX INBOX OUTBOX

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-04-03	INBOX	A) we have your back. Strongly and forever. So you'll be good.
13:06:07, Sun		\U0001f636\nB) Bill had no problem with what you said. \nC) there
		were no other FBI in the room at that point. \nD) Andy needs to
		f*cking say something to his crew.
2016-04-03	OUTBOX	You don't know that, re . But whatever, it really doesn't
13:09:37, Sun		matter.
2016-04-03	INBOX	It's true that I don't.
13:10:20, Sun		
2016-04-03	INBOX	And i do believe there's an inherent sexism in most people. So I
13:11:04, Sun		can't argue too strongly. Just my suspicion.
2016-04-03	OUTBOX	A) You have my back. Maybe . Bill who knows, only if she's
13:11:21, Sun		not threatened.
2016-04-03	INBOX	Truly, I'm going to talk to him. I think my main message is what I
13:12:31, Sun		told you've got a bunch of very good, bright attorneys in
		that room, and you're going to get a ton more bees with honey
		rather than vinegar. And then reinforce you're a superstar. Is that
		the right path?
2016-04-03	INBOX	A) that's life. I think most people can only count on one hand
13:13:22, Sun		the number of people who truly have their back, no matter what.
		\U0001f636+H466
2016-04-03	OUTBOX	I guess. I would probably be more direct - they don't know you
13:20:38, Sun		from adam, why are they supposed to take your word for it?
		Especially when they're all used to the no brigade at DOJ. And if it
		is that straightforward and obvious an answer, it shouldn't take
		much work to demonstrate that to everyone.
2016-04-03	INBOX	Makes sense.
13:22:21, Sun		
2016-04-03	INBOX	Do you have any desire to meet him - meeting about privilege or
13:23:07, Sun		lunch or HH? (I strongly suspect i know the answer)
2016-04-03	OUTBOX	You do know the answer. He can act all big and "sure I'll get a drink
13:24:58, Sun		with anyone" but at the end of the day that meetup is going to go
		no better.
2016-04-03	OUTBOX	And truly, I'm not sure why you're going to say more to him in the
13:26:53, Sun		first place. what do you
		think you're going to accomplish?
2016-04-03	INBOX	it's also defending a friend, a
13:29:25, Sun		colleague, who I have immense respect for. Whose back I
1		have.\n\nWhat I hope to accomplish is to understand his
		perspective on privilege, tell him he's been an ass, and defend you.

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-04-03	OUTBOX	And I'm just telling you it's pointless. Because he's not going to
13:32:03, Sun		care what you have to say about me, or saker or
,		whomever, and he's not going to care or listen to the notion that
		he's been an ass. He's the most aggressive prosecutor any agent
		has ever worked with. \n\nRe the understanding his view on
		privilege, he's going to have to explain that - to lawyers, not agents
		- whether you say anything or not.
2016-04-03	INBOX	Not sure I agree with your first point, but I understand it. And I
13:36:04, Sun		agree with your second. I just want to know what it is.
2016-04-04	INBOX	You on your call? ambushed me30 minutes
20:35:09, Mon		later\U0001f612
2016-04-04	INBOX	He literally followed me down the hallway arguing for
20:37:09, Mon		he does care, just a little TOO zealous
		sometimes.
2016-04-04	OUTBOX	I know. He just doesn't know how to let go. But it is because he
20:37:45, Mon		just Cares So Much.
2016-04-04	INBOX	stopped by give me 3
20:56:43, Mon		
2016-04-05	INBOX	Just realized you probably go from your meeting at doj
11:28:11, Tue		straight into wrap.
2016-04-05	INBOX	Hey are you going to need your UC policy working folder?
11:52:42, Tue		
2016-04-05	OUTBOX	Yeah, I will. Will get it at some point.
12:22:20, Tue		
2016-04-05	INBOX	Ok. I'm leaving for wf around 9 - want me to leave it someplace? If
12:35:36, Tue		you're en route to before then I could maybe meet you up
		there+H730
2016-04-05	INBOX	And sorry about AndyI'm sure he eventually well, but you
22:23:32, Tue		shouldn't have had to wait this long. \U0001f615
2016-04-05	OUTBOX	Yeah well I'm such a valued player
22:24:51, Tue		
2016-04-05	INBOX	And truly have 30 things to tell you (some or all of which can wait
22:25:23, Tue		till tomorrow), including an interview Bill is stressing about and
		wants me to do in NY. \n\nYou are!
2016-04-05	INBOX	This is a weak spot for Andy
22:25:33, Tue		
2016-04-07	INBOX	Couple of things. Same thing called about (timing of getting
01:44:04, Thu		Combetta and judges at edva) plus disagreement with
		interview topic for Mills ( is right)
2016-04-07	INBOX	Hey got a very cimplete answer from Bill just now.
15:40:29, Thu		

Type of Message	Body
OUTBOX	Maybe it is a friend thing. I gotta say, when he sent the email, and
	then was so concerned by my reaction that he followed up with
	that call, my overall feeling was "oh good, he cares!" I'm even okay
	with him caring out of a selfish desire because he really wants me
	to keep working for him. And so now I just feel like a suckered
	maybe? Guess he didn't care enough.
OUTBOX	I don't know. That's the best I can come up with.
INBOX	I'd feel that way. No doubt about it. \n\nBut see what I just
	imsged. I don't know if "friendship" changes when it's a superior -
	subordinate relationship, particularly when it's an advisor - high
	ranking dynamic (in other words, I think it's different - some - from
	my relationship with
INBOX	And interesting how elusive it is (for me, too!) to put ones finger
	on. \n\nI guess for me it's the thought (hope) that he's a stand up
	guy. And this is not stand up behavior. And when it's about YOU
	that's extra hard.\n\nLet me ask this (though maybe it doesn't
	translate). What would Giacalone do?
OUTBOX	I do think it's because he's my friend too. And honestly, I know he's
	my vast superior, but he's never treated me like that. Nor I him. So
	mostly I do just consider him my friend.
OUTBOX	Re giacalone, I really don't know. I think he would have taken it
	head on and addressed it, but he's such a different person, he
	would have done it in an awkward shucks-y way. Andy's not like
	that, just has to be earnest and direct, which is harder. John would
	say, "hey c'mon go easy on her. She's helping me out, means no
	harm, etc." I think? But what do I know.
OUTBOX	Remind me to tell you what came up st the end of convo with
	toscas. Punchline is it ends with andy telling Toscas that he is going
	to ask me to follow up with Stu on that joint venture stuff.
INBOX	Good!!!!
III DOX	
INBOX	That's gotta make you happy.
11100/	That's gotta make you happy.
INBOX	Were you able to talk to Andy about Randy?
OUTBOX	Sort of. Will explain.
INBOX	Sort of?!?!?! What?
	OUTBOX  INBOX  OUTBOX  OUTBOX  OUTBOX  INBOX  INBOX  INBOX  INBOX  OUTBOX

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-04-08	INBOX	Oh. Talking to Randy. Got it.
20:28:38, Fri		
2016-04-08	OUTBOX	We sort of talked
20:29:26, Fri		about Randy.
2016-04-08	INBOX	Of course I can't wait to hear
20:45:16, Fri		110.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000
2016-04-08	INBOX	Just talked with . Sounds like there was guidance received
22:28:32, Fri		
2016-04-08	OUTBOX	Good!
22:29:23, Fri		
2016-04-08	OUTBOX	What was the guidance?
22:29:45, Fri		
2016-04-08	INBOX	Talk briefly?
22:30:28, Fri		
2016-04-08	OUTBOX	Sure, but everyone close by
22:31:00, Fri		
2016-04-08	OUTBOX	Andy just called. Will try to call if I can. He spoke to randy. Randy
22:59:45, Fri		says everything all good
2016-04-08	INBOX	
23:21:38, Fri		do want to hear what Andy
	3	said.
2016-04-09 01:18:28, Sat	INBOX	
2016-04-09 01:18:35, Sat	INBOX	
2016-04-09	INBOX	
01:18:45, Sat	THE STREET STREET STREET	
2016-04-09	OUTBOX	Whew! Ho boy.
01:37:25, Sat		*
2016-04-09	INBOX	You think I should pull the whole doj contingent, or just
02:17:10, Sat		?
2016-04-09	INBOX	So. Should I pull aside and tell just him first?
02:40:47, Sat		The state of the s
2016-04-09	OUTBOX	Oh, sorry, I never answered that!
02:41:03, Sat		
2016-04-09	OUTBOX	I would tell and .
02:41:33, Sat	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-04-09	INBOX	That's what I'm thinking, too. will be a calming influence.
02:42:37, Sat		
2016-04-09	OUTBOX	That's a real f-u to laufman though.
02:42:47, Sat		
2016-04-09	INBOX	And we have a long relationship.
02:42:53, Sat		
2016-04-09	INBOX	Yep. Which he deserves, frankly. Funny, despite my various
02:43:39, Sat		feelings, I'm not at all worried about that.
2016-04-09	OUTBOX	And jesus, did you just see the Times update?
02:44:42, Sat		
2016-04-09	OUTBOX	Did he come for the prep?
02:45:27, Sat		
2016-04-09	INBOX	Yes I did and yes he did. But he's literally doing nothing other than
02:47:53, Sat		sitting in on the big interviews.
2016-04-09	OUTBOX	Wow. This is quite interesting. Jaffar is THE privacy guy at the
02:47:56, Sat		ACLU.\n\nWhy Apple\u2019s Stand Against the F.B.I. Hurts Its
		Own Customers http://nyti.ms/1WiZafn
2016-04-09	OUTBOX	I know. He's such a douche wad.
02:48:21, Sat		
2016-04-09	INBOX	And sigh. His underlying emotional terrain certainly complicates it.
02:49:15, Sat		And kudos to you for being sensitive to it \n\nYou're a good
		woman, Charlie Brown. ;)
2016-04-09	INBOX	I know who is.;)
02:49:35, Sat		
2016-04-09	INBOX	Stupid autocorrect undermined my gentle snark
02:50:25, Sat		
2016-04-09	INBOX	I have had correspondence from him to me explaining how I was
02:51:46, Sat		undermining his clients God and Constitutionally given rights.
2016-04-09	OLITROY	Vou should really stop doing that
	OUTBOX	You should really stop doing that.
02:52:05, Sat	INIDOV	Not following the woods a soften touch?
2016-04-09	INBOX	Not following - he needs a softer touch?
02:52:05, Sat 2016-04-09	INBOX	I know. I am The Man. \n\nBernie loving would hate me
02:52:48, Sat	INDUX	would flate file
2016-04-09	INBOX	Do you think I'm misplaying not having David there with
03:01:52, Sat	INDOX	and ?
2016-04-09	OUTBOX	If you do then just do the whole team. 3 out of the 4 is
03:04:53, Sat	JOIDOX	ridiculous. So yeah, then I would just do the whole team.
2016-04-09	INBOX	No, would just be and . \n\nOr add David and
03:06:06, Sat		. All the data past se
2016-04-09	OUTBOX	Just add them both, do it all at once. Then there's no grounds for
03:06:39, Sat		complaint about that.
2016-04-09	INBOX	Gotta go get Laufman
12:33:09, Sat		Socia 80 Bet Eddillian
12.33.03, 3al		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-04-09	INBOX	They are p*ssed. Just oh so slightly
12:52:13, Sat		
2016-04-09	OUTBOX	Oh well.
12:53:28, Sat		
2016-04-09	OUTBOX	They can take it up with the D.
12:54:35, Sat		
2016-04-09	OUTBOX	Did you have to invoke the D/Dd?
12:55:20, Sat		
2016-04-09	INBOX	уер
12:59:19, Sat		1-48 RC1
2016-04-09	INBOX	Done, but I need to call Bill with the storm that's coming
16:37:32, Sat		- 100 m
2016-04-09	INBOX	Found a way thru convo with to subsequently suggest to Bill
19:12:07, Sat		he needed to follow up with JB.
2016-04-09	INBOX	
21:09:58, Sat		
2016-04-09	OUTBOX	
21:12:06, Sat		
2016-04-09	INBOX	
21:13:47, Sat		
2016-04-09	INBOX	
21:14:08, Sat		
2016-04-09	INBOX	And Bill just said he and JB missed each other, and the JB has an
23:58:52, Sat		event tonight. Guess they'll talk tomorrow
2016-04-10	INBOX	I find I'm
01:20:08, Sun		increasingly profoundly bothered by JBs call and the lack of ANY heads up. Deeply. It was wrong given what I had already been asked to do. Gonna sleep on it and see where I am in the morning.

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-04-10	INBOX	Because you know where I was on Thursday or Friday night - when
02:09:14, Sun	INBUX	I was complaining about everyone expecting me to deliver the hard message while they vacillated in discussing with their counterparts. About how my sense of justness and character was at odds with waiting until Sat to say something. \n\nAnd rightfully, you point out stop being so prima donna-ish and just do it. \n\nAnd i do. \n\nAnd then I find out an hour later that in addition to what I was asked to do, JB went to counsel and had the discussion he did. And I'm the one facing the music. From some who I have known for a long time. Nobody else pays the price. Nobody else will have the
		same straight hard discussion. Yet I'm the only one who violated his sense of integrity to swallow hard and deliver the message.
2016-04-10 02:09:52, Sun	INBOX	I'm not sure if I want to be part of this
2016-04-10	OUTBOX	You are a part of this and that's not going to change. But I think
05:51:15, Sun		you have every right to be angry and frustrated about being left
·		out of the loop on your investigation, especially when you're going
		to be left holding the bag. And I think you're entitled to say
		something to Baker about that, though on this one I would
		probably discuss with Bill first.
2016-04-10	OUTBOX	I'm sorry Big big case, big big problems. But God knows
05:52:00, Sun		you're still the right guy to do it.H1133
2016-04-10	INBOX	Gmailed you two drafts of what I'm thinking of sending Bill, would
11:33:08, Sun		appreciate your thoughts. Second (more recent) is updated so you can skip the first.
2016-04-11	OUTBOX	Andy just called to make sure I had heard everything. Call when
13:56:15, Mon		you're free
2016-04-11	INBOX	Bill wants to talk to me at 1215
15:52:27, Mon		
2016-04-11	INBOX	Just talked with Bill, who spoke with
22:19:37, Mon		everyone this morning. Will fill you in tonight or tomorrow.
		\n\nAlso, he was under the impression Andy wanted the meeting
		and a prebrief with FBI only just before. I think may have
		screwed up that scheduling. Can you check? Obviously want to
2016-04-11	INBOX	confirm with him before going to We talked for 45 minutes
22:19:40, Mon	II VOOA	we taiked for 45 illinutes
2016-04-11	OUTBOX	Wow. Can you talk now?
22:20:43, Mon		
2016-04-11	OUTBOX	Sent email to andy re pre-brief. Will let you know what he says.
23:00:05, Mon		Will wait to reach out to re call-in line until I hear from him.
·		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-04-11	INBOX	Oof. Laufman just left me a minute long message. Don't think I'm
23:13:06, Mon		going to listen tonight. \n\nAnd it's weird. CES always shows as
		"restricted" when the call is coming in but then shows true name
		afterwards on the call list. Needless to say, I screen all restricted
		calls
2016-04-13	INBOX	Talked with for a while. She couldn't remember who said the
00:40:33, Wed		D was going to talk to the AG on Sun night or Mon about this.
,		
2016-04-13	INBOX	My point in forwarding the email was to talk about how we get to
02:21:03, Wed		a productive place
2016-04-13	OUTBOX	We'll get there, but for this meeting I'd solely just be taking
02:22:07, Wed		lead.
2016-04-13	INBOX	Well sure, but would be nice to know what that lead is in advance
02:29:48, Wed		rather than, I don't know, f*ck me in the *ss wirhout saying
		anything, kinda like calling counsel the night before. \n\nToo
		much negative done to expect benefit of the doubt.
		<b>3</b>
2016-04-13	INBOX	Maybe we can find some time to talk today
10:55:35, Wed		about the MYE thing? Really need to put it to rest. Certainly before
,		the meeting tomorrow, if I can.
2016-04-13	OUTBOX	I will. I promise.
11:00:08, Wed		
2016-04-13	INBOX	Btw, forgot to mentiom, Bill had me forward invite tomorrow to
21:54:09, Wed		\U0001f612
2016-04-13	OUTBOX	Are you serious?
21:58:34, Wed		
2016-04-13	INBOX	Yep. Came up in the meeting.
21:59:00, Wed		
2016-04-14	INBOX	Spoke to need to talk to you at some point. Not urgent, so
00:02:03, Thu		can certainly wait
		so free to talk.
2016-04-14	OUTBOX	Hi. I can talk now.
00:20:20, Thu		
2016-04-14	INBOX	Thank you. \U0001f636 \n\nWas obviously constrained on my
00:37:11, Thu		comments. What I identified IS what bothers me the most. And the
		rest is nonsense.
2016-04-14	OUTBOX	And I think that frustration is fair. But it doesn't change the
00:39:56, Thu		fundamental okay-ness of the prior two decisions (the summation
,		and the call to her). It just means it would be best to handle it
		differently in the future. And Jim WILL understand that. Just talk to
		him, one on one. You don't need to get Bill's permission, clear
		through him, just go talk to him. It will all be fine.
2016-04-14	INBOX	I will. He told Bill on Monday he'd be happy to talk to me any time.
01:11:35, Thu		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-04-14	INBOX	And I'm kinda sad about going to NZbut it's the right decision.
01:12:09, Thu		\U0001f612
2016-04-14	INBOX	Oh. BooI'll talk to her. If Jon and I are here, she doesn't need to.
01:34:16, Thu		Unless there is privilege research going on. She said she had
CAUSE DO CHE ADMICE DE LA CAUCACIONE		already done some.
2016-04-14	INBOX	JM got funny about telling Carl firstthat his standard
19:59:45, Thu	1011	presentation?
2016-04-14	OUTBOX	No clue. That's just how he gets when he really wants something
20:02:03, Thu		to go a certain way but he doesn't like confrontation.
2016-04-14	ОИТВОХ	Still here. \U0001f612\n\nForgot to tell you that randy asked if we
23:30:32, Thu		could talk and get a cup of coffee next week. Which is good, I suppose.
2016-04-14	INBOX	And yeah, that's good about randy. Hopefully a very different
23:34:44, Thu		character of convo than whatever Castor wanted to say.
2016-04-15	OUTBOX	Hi. Good qt with andy. Got to talk about a lot of
00:45:28, Fri		substantive stuff.
2016-04-15	INBOX	I'm glad - look forward to hearing about it i figured you left late
00:48:39, Fri		after I got an email from him at 7:45
2016-04-15	OUTBOX	Oh did he respond to yours? He didn't say anything.
00:49:52, Fri		
2016-04-15	INBOX	Hi. Well you're good for a late start, at least, Andy's out.
10:32:23, Fri		
2016-04-15	INBOX	And sorry about
22:09:10, Fri		delay, I was talking to Bill. Interesting Apple story if you have time
		to talk this weekend. Nothing urgent, it can certainly wait until
		Monday.
2016-04-15	INBOX	
22:27:15, Fri		
2016-04-15	OUTBOX	
22:35:57, Fri		
2016-04-15	INBOX	
22:37:13, Fri		
2016-04-15	OUTBOX	
22:59:25, Fri		
2016-04-15	INBOX	
23:01:05, Fri		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-04-16	INBOX	And how much should I reach out to ? He's still genuinely
00:41:38, Sat		hurt. I may have been a bit callous this afternoon. Or at least,
		tough love.
2016-04-16	OUTBOX	You should not reach out to . Jesus, he's an adult. He
01:11:12, Sat		should act like one.
2016-04-16	INBOX	Sigh. Agreed. My soft heart feels badly.
01:13:56, Sat		
2016-04-19	OUTBOX	And still in
22:20:24, Tue		with andy.
2016-04-19	INBOX	Hi going to try and catch Bill
22:33:36, Tue		
2016-04-19	OUTBOX	Still in with andy
22:41:32, Tue		·
2016-04-20	INBOX	Hey are you ok if I pass to team (including doj) that as of last eve,
10:59:04, Wed		JB has not talked with ?\n\nAlso, thinking about setting up
,		meeting with Brooks and you, Moffa. Want him to hear legal
		reasons why we need extraordinary measures, see interest of
		office of DD, and give him a little prep time for his folks to get him
		options (if any)
2016-04-20	OUTBOX	Yes. Just so you know, he waited to call her until Monday (on
11:00:35, Wed		purpose, wanted to resist the she calls and we jump), as of
		yesterday afternoon she hadn't responded. \n\nThat's fine too.
		,
2016-04-20	INBOX	Ok re JB. What's the best way for the team to intetact/coordinate
11:13:29, Wed		with him? I was thinking I really just want to get control over
		the investigation.\n\nAlso, remind me brief to Bill on
2016-04-20	OUTBOX	Maybe you should reach out to him? Just swing by his ofc before 8
11:27:24, Wed		and ask for an update?
2016-04-20	INBOX	Won't be in until 8stupid non-hov commute\U0001f615+H1721
11:28:29, Wed		' '
2016-04-20	OUTBOX	won't ask him direct, she'll feel the need to go thru trisha.
11:32:10, Wed		
2016-04-20	OUTBOX	You can tell them I told you, it's fine.
11:32:28, Wed		, .
2016-04-20	OUTBOX	Randy sent me an invite for 1:30-2.
11:35:59, Wed		
2016-04-20	INBOX	
11:36:38, Wed		ood, re Randy, right?+H1728
2016-04-20	INBOX	In addition to , remind me convo about OIA at 1:00 yesterday
11:39:05, Wed		, , ,
2016-04-20	OUTBOX	Hey check your vm before you talk to your MYE team. Jim spoke to
12:53:07, Wed		beth this am, nfi.

13:21:46, Wed 2016-04-20 OUTBOX 13:24:17, Wed 2016-04-20 INBOX 13:58:23, Wed 2016-04-20 OUTBOX 13:58:53, Wed 2016-04-20 INBOX 14:02:33, Wed 2016-04-20 INBOX 14:10:56, Wed	Yep heard same with more detail from Bill via JB and dd, need to talk to you  Feel free to call  Hey just left vm. I'm done/free  Vm at desk or cell?  Cell  You have a rough eta?  It hasn't come up yet. Nor did it ring.
2016-04-20 OUTBOX 13:24:17, Wed 2016-04-20 INBOX 13:58:23, Wed 2016-04-20 OUTBOX 13:58:53, Wed 2016-04-20 INBOX 14:02:33, Wed 2016-04-20 INBOX 14:10:56, Wed	Feel free to call  Hey just left vm. I'm done/free  Vm at desk or cell?  Cell  You have a rough eta?
13:24:17, Wed 2016-04-20 INBOX 13:58:23, Wed 2016-04-20 OUTBOX 13:58:53, Wed 2016-04-20 INBOX 14:02:33, Wed 2016-04-20 INBOX 14:10:56, Wed	Hey just left vm. I'm done/free  Vm at desk or cell?  Cell  You have a rough eta?
2016-04-20 INBOX 13:58:23, Wed 2016-04-20 OUTBOX 13:58:53, Wed 2016-04-20 INBOX 14:02:33, Wed 2016-04-20 INBOX 14:10:56, Wed	Vm at desk or cell?  Cell  You have a rough eta?
13:58:23, Wed 2016-04-20 OUTBOX 13:58:53, Wed 2016-04-20 INBOX 14:02:33, Wed 2016-04-20 INBOX 14:10:56, Wed	Vm at desk or cell?  Cell  You have a rough eta?
2016-04-20 OUTBOX 13:58:53, Wed 2016-04-20 INBOX 14:02:33, Wed 2016-04-20 INBOX 14:10:56, Wed	Cell You have a rough eta?
13:58:53, Wed 2016-04-20 INBOX 14:02:33, Wed 2016-04-20 INBOX 14:10:56, Wed	Cell You have a rough eta?
2016-04-20 INBOX 14:02:33, Wed 2016-04-20 INBOX 14:10:56, Wed	You have a rough eta?
14:02:33, Wed 2016-04-20 INBOX 14:10:56, Wed	You have a rough eta?
2016-04-20 INBOX 14:10:56, Wed	
14:10:56, Wed	
	It hasn't come up yet. Nor did it ring.
0046 04 00	It hasn't come up yet. Nor did it ring.
2016-04-20 OUTBOX	. ,
14:11:19, Wed	
2016-04-20 OUTBOX	Hopefully 15. Service was REALLY slow.
14:11:32, Wed	
2016-04-20 INBOX	Want me to call again? It can also wait
14:11:50, Wed	
2016-04-20 OUTBOX	Yes, let's tall now
14:14:44, Wed	
2016-04-20 INBOX	Hi there. I'm done.
19:54:31, Wed	
2016-04-20 OUTBOX	Waiting outside Andy's office now, and then I'm leaving. Randy is in
19:57:34, Wed	his office now.
2016-04-20 INBOX	Also, Bill said he would email JB and cc you and JM the white paper
20:35:40, Wed	you reviewed earlier.
2016-04-20 INBOX	Good talk with Jb, will tell you all about that tomorrow.
21:12:40, Wed	
2016-04-25 OUTBOX	Andy texted again:\n\nI heard this morning at the DOJ brief. GT
23:54:32, Mon	and I discussed the strategy. I think they have it lined out.
2016-04-26 OUTBOX	But I have a 15 minute meeting with
	Trisha at 9:15 now. \U0001f612
	And forgot to tell you that I spoke to Andy. Went well. Hope it is
	truly behind us.
	That was it! You'll have to tell me tomorrow.
21:22:09, Tue	2 2 2 2
	Im glad. I think it is (behind you). Thats the good part in that he
	couldn't have been more crystal clear.
	Talked with She said she thought the DC Bar limitations made
	sense, but I asked her to verify and that I'd appreciate
	understanding/her take on the matter, before brief to D on Thurs.
	Mike is supposed to send her a case.
	I don't understand why a taint team isn't sufficient
22:01:18, Tue	and the second s

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-04-26	OUTBOX	I wish Steve was alive. He would absolutely know this answer.
22:01:31, Tue		
2016-04-26	INBOX	\U0001f61e\n\nHow much do bar regs vary?
22:03:06, Tue		
2016-04-26	OUTBOX	Some, but this big of a difference would be really anomalous.
22:03:44, Tue		
2016-04-26	INBOX	Talking with specifically asked him NOT to talk to
22:06:30, Tue		me until AFTER he talked to Andy
2016-04-26	OUTBOX	Uh okay. And he waited three days because?
22:07:09, Tue		
2016-04-26	INBOX	No. Just now. Tonght. About this afternoons covo
22:08:11, Tue		
2016-04-26	INBOX	Conversation.
22:08:16, Tue		
2016-04-26	OUTBOX	The 2:00 you mean?
22:08:42, Tue		
2016-04-26	INBOX	Tell you what, can come lead this fucking case with . I'll
22:08:42, Tue		go work cases and get my car back
2016-04-26	OUTBOX	I'll mention to andy. Why did George insist on that?
22:09:14, Tue		
2016-04-26	INBOX	Read the email I just sent, note bcc
22:11:26, Tue		
2016-04-26	INBOX	Because is playing the "you're not getting accurate
22:12:00, Tue		information" game and is allowing it to happen.
2016-04-26	INBOX	Fuck thid
22:12:22, Tue		
2016-04-26	OUTBOX	That's not okay.
22:12:28, Tue		,
2016-04-26	INBOX	This. Thanks Samsung
22:12:29, Tue		
2016-04-26	INBOX	Hell no it's not.
22:12:43, Tue		
2016-04-26	OUTBOX	We will fix this.
22:13:23, Tue		
2016-04-26	INBOX	Bullshit. We will puss out. If I got a quarter of the support
22:14:37, Tue		blindly gives his guys, we might, but we won't. \n\nAnd I don't
		want to burn our relationship with this and
2016-04-26	INBOX	Jesus I'm maf
22:14:41, Tue		
2016-04-26	INBOX	Mad
22:14:45, Tue		
2016-04-26	INBOX	Fuck
22:14:46, Tue		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-04-26	OUTBOX	You should be. 100% Enraged.
22:16:11, Tue		
2016-04-26	OUTBOX	I called you.
22:16:16, Tue		
2016-04-26	INBOX	Just talked to Bill and qualified the fact vs my assumption in the
22:40:06, Tue		email. Also told him about "did you talk to Andy"
		comment last night. He is going to talk to Andy tomorrow morning.
2016 04 26	OUTDOY	Cool
2016-04-26	OUTBOX	Cool.
22:40:31, Tue	INDOV	CUI
2016-04-26	INBOX	Still
22:41:16, Tue		\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U000
		1f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621
2016-04-26	INBOX	Want to tell at . Scars motherf*cker? You want to see
22:42:01, Tue		scars?
2016-04-26	OUTBOX	I know It isn't right. But it's not worth burning your
22:42:57, Tue		relationship with the over. Let's take his temperature first.
		Even better, let him do your dirty work.
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	God I'm really angry with
00:10:23, Wed		give you, us, some credit for the persistence in this area. They just
		suck so much. I hope has the self-awareness and character to
		do so.
2016-04-27	INBOX	Talked to him just now, too. More info about George go if direct
00:31:42, Wed		with Andy - concern about info JB didn't have about the case in the
		context of his discussion with , and the implication of the
		faulty telephone process going on with info flow in the fbi
		\U0001f612
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	Can you talk now?
00:49:51, Wed		
2016-04-27	INBOX	I'll of course give
00:58:23, Wed		you a complete dump about what said, whenever you
		want.H2010
2016-04-27	INBOX	Thanks for observations about JB. Cost of doing business if D and
01:22:25, Wed		DD are going to use him.
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	And also, he's not "the team." This is all a red herring.
01:22:58, Wed		
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	Pisses me off a little that Andy hasn't called back. I guess it's
01:23:33, Wed	INDOV	possible he's still at dinner
2016-04-27	INBOX	Who's not the team - JB? Fine, but D and dd decided to use him.
01:25:16, Wed		And if accurate, was the cause of wondering what info is or
		isnt passed up. After all, of JB doesn't know that, what doesn't
2016 21 27	OLITE CY	Andy know?
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	Yeah I get it, but George KNOWS jb. This is all bs. Whatever, I'm
01:26:35, Wed		just irritated.

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-04-27	INBOX	I am too. This also plays into "Georges special relationship" with
01:31:29, Wed		Andy
2016-04-27	INBOX	Think we should touch topic of during D brief?
01:32:54, Wed		
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	Hmm. Let me get to you about that one. talked to me this am
01:33:57, Wed		about jb and ta's position re , just haven't had a
		chance to dig in yet.
2016-04-27	INBOX	And please re Andy don't go too far. He (and ) knows we are
01:34:07, Wed		close, right? And while what you're saying is exactly right, would
		you say it for any schmuck?
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	Probably not, but you're not any schmuck.
01:34:36, Wed		
2016-04-27	INBOX	\U0001f636\U0001f636\U0001f636 (damn right \U0001f609)\n\nI
01:37:04, Wed		think we mention that part (specifically that we're cleared to go
		back to task). I was talking about the specific allegation. We
		haven't discussed with him in a briefing we've given. I almost want
		to do it so 1) he knows we're aware 2) demonstrate that to Trisha
		and whoever else is deep in the "secret secret, ive got a secret"
		mindset and 3) get a sense of what he's thinking.
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	I just think andy is probably keeping him appraised of this, but we
01:38:34, Wed		can talk about it.
2016-04-27	INBOX	Agree. It is substantive in that it is relevant to the case, just not
01:42:03, Wed		sure if there is a decision point or information he would want in
		the context if his thinking about the issue.
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	Yeah, can maybe have bill ask andy, but otherwise don't think it's
01:52:01, Wed		probably necessary.
2016-04-27	INBOX	Ha. Want to talk to you about and her comment, too
02:05:41, Wed		
2016-04-27	INBOX	stupid comment, and whether you want me to say
02:06:41, Wed		anything to her
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	What would you say?
02:07:08, Wed	OLUTE CY	
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	So, it looks like there is a networking/break starting at 2, then kerry
02:08:37, Wed		sleeper from 2:15 to 2:45, then homicide trends at 2:45-3:15, then
		another networking/break. I would schedule for 2:00.
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	Then 3:30 is Oregon standoff/managing relationships.
02:09:07, Wed		
2016-04-27	INBOX	Just reinforce that you're good people, experienced, credible. Not
02:09:37, Wed		"little" anything. Not sure it would make a difference but willing to.
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	I'd be more interested in finding out why she said it. "What was up
02:10:34, Wed		with little counsel girl? Do you not like her?"

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	I don't need her to like me. I need to to treat me with respect
02:10:54, Wed		around others.
2016-04-27	INBOX	Ok. Will aim for then with JM. Thats the same time we have
02:11:05, Wed		blocked for mye wrap with Bill
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	So then I would go direct with her and not thru jm.
02:12:25, Wed		AND THE STATE OF T
2016-04-27	INBOX	Want me to grab you at 5? Also, see email, I think I just gave
02:12:34, Wed		reason to skip
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	I know. I saw email. Hence my :( for
02:13:04, Wed	Control of the Contro	\U0001f636
2016-04-27	INBOX	Have confirmation thru Andy he wants a meeting? All I have is
02:13:15, Wed		George via
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	Nope, I'd rely on that.
02:13:30, Wed		
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	He wants to go thru George, so be it
02:13:40, Wed		descent descent and the control of t
2016-04-27	INBOX	I'll cancel the brief.
02:13:40, Wed		
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	Don't cancel the brief, obviously.
02:13:58, Wed		55 58"(
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	Forgot to mention, I asked Trisha about embedding with a
03:25:04, Wed		squad at WFO for a few days, and she thought it was a great idea.
		Need to clear it through chain first \U0001f612, but please give
		a little thought to where it might make sense to stick for a
		couple of days. Thanks for the excellent idea.
2016-04-27	INBOX	Just got done with Bill, grabbing
11:54:31, Wed		breakfast with
2016-04-27	INBOX	Just got done with
12:50:30, Wed		1
2016-04-27	INBOX	Who is worthless
12:50:36, Wed		
2016-04-27	INBOX	Re embedding, what area (s) is going to be working?
12:53:37, Wed		W. J. 200 His Late Street Lines
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	Trisha doesn't know yet. But I suggested maybe a CI squad, and she
12:54:58, Wed		was fine with that. Just don't make any moves yet though please.
129		
2016-04-27	INBOX	Going to try and find out if DD in fact wants a
15:04:19, Wed		meeting today with Toscas et al, as well as Bill's convo with him.
3 400		AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	He didn't get my text until this am, so I haven't spoken to him
15:04:59, Wed		either.

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-04-27	INBOX	Hey just talked to Bill. He has not talked to Andy yet about Toscas
22:55:04, Wed		but says he still intends to. He said Andy was in a really bad mood
		this morning about a news article, plus in a rush.
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	He was. Kortan majorly screwed up.
22:55:39, Wed		
2016-04-27	INBOX	Nothing related to us (mye or cd)?
22:56:25, Wed		
2016-04-27	OUTBOX	No. Not at all.
23:00:14, Wed		
2016-04-27	INBOX	Whew
23:00:51, Wed		
2016-04-27	INBOX	Hey while I'm thinking about it please send me the name of the
23:57:23, Wed		forfeiture guy re
2016-04-28	OUTBOX	
00:45:52, Thu		Forfeiture guy is
2016-04-28	INBOX	
00:49:05, Thu		
~		
		hank you. I'm sure the "experts" in nsd will be happy I
		call him. \n\nBtw, how utterly f*cked up is it that George was by
		far and away the most aggressive DoJ person in the room today?
2016-04-28	INBOX	Dude, your wrap is loooong. Waiting on Bill before reaching out to
23:13:42, Thu		CES, also curious as to your thoughts about revised statement - Bill
		really wants to write something.\n\nYou have a while to go yet?
2016-04-29	INBOX	Sorry one work Q I forgot - D mentioned Do you think that was
00:30:35, Fri		something someone inside related to him or his outside checking
		up?
2016-04-29	OUTBOX	He no doubt called
00:32:13, Fri		
2016-04-29	INBOX	Makes sense. Wasn't sure if it was something he heard from JB or
00:33:29, Fri		Andy via the team
2016-04-29	OUTBOX	My guess is complaints from jb and Andy about the low levels of
00:44:50, Fri		engagement at doj led to discussions of who is on the team
2016-04-29	INBOX	I regret not saying (though I have in the past) is eah, might be
00:47:44, Fri		ok, but edva is clearly second fiddle to nsd.
2016-04-29	INBOX	This sucks alreadyteam walking to Woodward Table for farewell
13:04:06, Fri		breakfast for he took a job at
592		HQ)

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-04-29	INBOX	Toscas showed up. Just like Thurs meeting, he was by far the most
16:50:52, Fri		aggressive DoJ in the room. Literally siding with us and fighting
		back against et al's concerns. \U0001f621\n\nI literally
		said, twice, stop framing your facts to them simply in terms of PC
		for an affidavit. Frame your argument in terms of what is most
		compelling in the big picture to achieve what we want, then
		narrow down to show how it links specifically to our case/pc/etc.
		\n\nI think George got it, but jeez. It's not so hard, is it?
2016-04-29	OUTBOX	It's not. They're just not very good. Can you call George, ask him to
16:51:39, Fri		attend?
2016-04-29	INBOX	\U0001f60a D. \n\nHe's not. Already thought to myself, Jesus
16:54:49, Fri		George, why can't you have this conversation. He got immediately
		what I was saying (granted, he may already have had the benefit of
		Andy telling him). It's a smart play. It's not complicated.
2016-04-29	INBOX	Will have to tell you my convo with earlier and what
16:55:44, Fri		said. After I left, he apparently said he was "tired of taking sh*t
		from the Bureau." \U0001f612
2016-04-29	INBOX	Gotta go have career counseling with Billshould be done before
16:56:02, Fri		you get there, though.
2016-04-29	OUTBOX	Taking sht from the Bureau?! Poor It's so hard to be him.
16:57:07, Fri		
2016-04-29	INBOX	Especially gratifying that Toscas then tells him exactly the same
16:58:21, Fri		thing
2016-04-29	OUTBOX	How'd the talk go with Bill? What'd he say?
18:01:20, Fri		
2016-04-29	INBOX	
18:06:10, Fri		
2016-04-29	INBOX	In any event, he said he thought the world of me and couldn't
18:06:10, Fri		imagine me being anywhere other than the top quadrant.
2016-04-29	INBOX	Asked what I wanted to do and any geographic limitations.
18:07:31, Fri		In essence said I'd
2016-04-29	OUTBOX	What'd he say to that?
18:08:08, Fri		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-04-29	INBOX	He didnt, directly. Certainly didn't say no way, but nor did he say, "I
18:10:33, Fri		think you'll be able to do that." Two reasons, at least. Much easier
		for him to argue to advance me if he can say "Pete's willing to go
		to the east coast" or even mid-atlantic than it is to say "Pete's
		limited to HQ and WFO."
2016-04-29	INBOX	Second reason is he went to NY and left his family here.
18:10:48, Fri		
2016-04-29	INBOX	Always better to
18:12:32, Fri		at least say "look, I'm open to the needs of the Bureau, just be
		aware of these factors" rather than "I must stay here"
2016-04-29	ОИТВОХ	Especially if you do a
18:14:32, Fri		gig as dad first. Or be like andy and be willing to not ever be
		promoted further because of your geographic limitations.
2016-04-29	INBOX	I did tell him I'd be interested in being a DAD, he said good, some
18:24:00, Fri		people aren't interested in that. I did caveat that later to CD by
		saying if Jones or Gordon left. I suppose I'd think hard about CYD or
		Crim or even CTD. Not Intel or other divisions.
2016-04-29	INBOX	
18:24:03, Fri		
2016-04-29	INBOX	We talked about the impact of succession on 7th floor, eg
18:24:04, Fri		asking to go out as an SAC. He's also not thrilled with the idea of
		though hopefully would help
		temper that. A whole lotta CTD guys being put everywhere, that's
		for sure.
2016-04-29	INBOX	Hey also, mye brief to Bowdich on 5/10.
20:30:36, Fri		the four of us).
2016-04-29	INBOX	And what is deal with ? Told "whatever you do,
21:02:18, Fri		DON'T pick him. He will stay for 6 months and move on." So now
		I've got to fight through that
2016-04-29	OUTBOX	He's resentful of from the fights. Feels sold out by
21:10:59, Fri		him.
2016-04-29	INBOX	Ah. Got it. Going to have to fight back what he told
21:16:01, Fri		
2016-04-29	OUTBOX	That's just my guess.
21:39:46, Fri		
2016-04-29	INBOX	And sounds right re We can talk later.
21:40:53, Fri		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-04-29	INBOX	Just got off the phone with (who seems suddenly fine and
22:14:25, Fri		not upset \U0001f612). Can give you update on phone or on Monday. Not a lot of substantive movement.
2016-04-30	INBOX	And now we've switched from the Patriot Act to a wire carrying
02:04:49, Sat		current.
2016-05-01	INBOX	Btw, they've all decided in person mtgs are much better for us than
12:31:06, Sun		and separate and dialing in. \U0001f612
2016-05-01	INBOX	I suppose getting the background won't kill us, though I'm
12:33:08, Sun		certain it will be shared with the SpecAss community up there. I've never heard of him - what's his background?
2016-05-01	OUTBOX	Boy, they are just strategic geniuses arent they?
12:33:20, Sun		10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
2016-05-01	INBOX	That was my response. \n\nBut not just that, SOO very smart that
12:34:45, Sun		you mustn't doubt or question them.
2016-05-01	OUTBOX	Yeah, that's probably part of, him going to your meeting. No clue
12:35:11, Sun		his story. But was thinking it might be better for more lumpies like
		that to hear there's nothing there - don't know if he's smart
		enough to understand, but it probably doesn't hurt anything and is
		not worth trying to kick him out.
2016-05-01	INBOX	I laughed when said he got asked about the investigation
12:36:43, Sun		weekly. I get it daily. Maybe that's an agent - analyst difference.
2016-05-01	INBOX	
23:09:09, Sun		
127		check out Obama's remarks to the W+H2632ash Press Association
		last night. Just watched it - pretty damn funny.
2016-05-02	INBOX	I have my standing Midyear at 9:10, but should be
12:02:03, Mon		fast. 9:30 work?
2016-05-04	INBOX	Have been dealing with
00:02:13, Wed	MBOX.	f*ck up
2016-05-04	OUTBOX	And holy shit Cruz just dropped out of the race. It's going to be a
00:40:51, Wed		Clinton Trump race. Unbelievable.
2016-05-04	INBOX	What?!?!??
00:41:24, Wed		
2016-05-04	OUTBOX	You heard that right my friend.
00:41:37, Wed	1. The Proof of th	Delegation Control (See Control of Control o
2016-05-04	INBOX	I saw trump won, figured it would be a bit
00:41:37, Wed	Section in Section 1000	
2016-05-04	INBOX	Now the pressure really starts to finish MYE
00:41:57, Wed		(6)
2016-05-04	OUTBOX	It sure does. We need to talk about follow up call tomorrow. We
00:42:32, Wed		still never have.

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-04	INBOX	Schedule is open 9:45 until around 2:30. Let me know when I can
00:43:59, Wed		pencil you in. ;)
2016-05-04	OUTBOX	My schedule is utter sh*t tomorrow. I will let you know. :(
00:49:17, Wed		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2016-05-04	INBOX	
09:53:33, Wed		You
ŕ		still on track for at 7? And are you going to hit the AM briefs?
2016-05-04	OUTBOX	Meeting with at 7, not going to morning briefs.
09:53:57, Wed		
2016-05-04	OUTBOX	
11:20:54, Wed		Have to meet shortly
2016-05-04	INBOX	Going back to that memo, just remembered Jones wants
21:31:14, Wed		something soon (tonght or tomorrow). I don't know that Bill will
		read it before he gets back from London next week, but last thing I
		want is him launching it upstairs with 3rd party review in there.
2016-05-04	OUTBOX	Yeah, I would.
21:31:46, Wed		
2016-05-04	INBOX	Would what?
21:32:08, Wed		
2016-05-04	OUTBOX	Take it out
21:32:27, Wed		
2016-05-04	OUTBOX	Or talk to about it. Just say since we hate the third party
21:33:00, Wed		option, take it out.
2016-05-04	INBOX	K. I should probably tell no.
21:33:23, Wed		
2016-05-04	INBOX	I'll call her then. Will say I don't like it and want to take it out
21:35:50, Wed		
2016-05-04	OUTBOX	I would at least start with it out
21:36:17, Wed		
2016-05-04	INBOX	I just want to know in advance
21:38:01, Wed		since it's her product+H2810
2016-05-04	INBOX	Talked to took it out, taking it to Jones
21:55:17, Wed		
2016-05-04	INBOX	Bill is super stressed about the new Fox report
22:36:12, Wed		
2016-05-04	OUTBOX	Why? What's he going to do about it?
22:36:35, Wed		
2016-05-04	OUTBOX	Why not just have him send an email to and Andy explaining
22:37:10, Wed		what he think actually happened? Is it classified?
2016-05-04	INBOX	K. Anyway, I asked if it could have been DDshe wasn't sure.
22:51:16, Wed		She didn't mention

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-05	INBOX	Talked to last night, will update you at some point today -
11:56:03, Thu		from your schedule, sounds like the morning, while hard, is much
		better than the afternoon, which will be impossible
2016-05-05	INBOX	And damn. You see weekly odate with D?
13:57:53, Thu		
2016-05-05	OUTBOX	Hey good news, re that stuff, you should talk to . For
15:24:52, Thu		sure, has been informed of the situation in the past.
2016-05-05	INBOX	Nice. At lunch with
15:25:24, Thu		
2016-05-05	INBOX	I'll call him this afternoon.
15:29:05, Thu		
		\U0001f636+H2865
2016-05-05	OUTBOX	Racing to my desk, grab lock bag, then off to wh.
16:54:23, Thu		
2016-05-05	INBOX	Ooh. Fancy fancy. ;)
16:58:06, Thu		
2016-05-05	INBOX	May have to wait until wrap to hear all your stories. \U0001f636
17:00:28, Thu		
2016-05-05	INBOX	How was the WH?
18:41:52, Thu		
2016-05-05	OUTBOX	It was good. Regulatory options for
18:42:58, Thu		
2016-05-05	INBOX	Talked with good info from him, thank you. Also advancing
18:43:34, Thu		travel, will try in the next month or so. Need to coordinate
ŕ		as options with you all in a joint trip FAR better than without.
2016-05-05	INBOX	Sit room or oeob?
18:43:47, Thu		
2016-05-05	OUTBOX	Oeob. Just a lawyer group meeting.
18:44:06, Thu		
2016-05-05	INBOX	You going straight to DoJ?
18:44:27, Thu		
2016-05-05	OUTBOX	Yup. In ODAG'S car now.
18:45:30, Thu		
2016-05-05	INBOX	Wow!! Double fancy fancy. ;)
18:46:01, Thu		
2016-05-05	INBOX	You realize you could have been in the CD4 SC's carnot as
18:46:45, Thu		pretigious but nicer.
2016-05-05	OUTBOX	It is a lovely car.
18:47:49, Thu		
2016-05-05	INBOX	Do you have 5 secs for a call on MYE?
20:27:31, Thu		
2016-05-05	OUTBOX	No. In with andy
20:48:03, Thu		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-05	OUTBOX	Also, andy going to want to meet with just you and Bill tomorrow.
20:48:21, Thu		Will explain later.
2016-05-05	INBOX	Ok. Bill now going to wrap at request of . He has the info -
20:52:14, Thu		CNN breaking fbi has interviewed aides quietly at fbi building,
·		specifically Huma
2016-05-05	INBOX	Ok re mtg
20:52:29, Thu		
2016-05-05	OUTBOX	Just saw it on cnn.
20:53:13, Thu		
2016-05-05	OUTBOX	Also be by the phone btwn 530 and 6. Andy wants to meet with
20:53:33, Thu		you and bill.
2016-05-05	INBOX	That's what I was wanting to call and tell you
20:54:02, Thu		
2016-05-05	INBOX	Ok. Bill will be there at wrap
20:54:18, Thu		·
2016-05-05	OUTBOX	All the same stuff we have been talking about. Ec, letter to icig, etc
20:54:19, Thu		<i>d</i> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2016-05-05	INBOX	Ok - thinking through what we need to do?
20:54:51, Thu		
2016-05-05	INBOX	Bill just stopped by and told me same. \n\nYou're good at your job.
20:56:46, Thu		\u263a
2016-05-05	INBOX	Chat before you go?
23:04:19, Thu		, 3
2016-05-05	OUTBOX	I haven't been to my desk in like 9 hours. Let me just quickly check
23:07:48, Thu		email and try to get out of here.
2016-05-05	INBOX	Talking to Bill in the hall
23:08:18, Thu		
2016-05-05	OUTBOX	Np. Needed a phone number, just found it.
23:10:25, Thu		
2016-05-05	INBOX	K. Just finished.
23:10:48, Thu		
2016-05-05	OUTBOX	Jim locked himself out, I've been waiting with him. Headed to my
23:13:44, Thu		office now.
2016-05-05	INBOX	K. Hit me on lync
23:16:16, Thu		
2016-05-06	INBOX	called so need to call
00:36:08, Fri		him back.
2016-05-06	INBOX	Oh. Called , Huma's atty called just afterwards, he's talking
00:37:51, Fri		to her and calling back.
2016-05-06	OUTBOX	Fun
00:38:08, Fri		
2016-05-06	INBOX	Yeah. Wonder if they're raising hell about the media stuff. All
00:41:00, Fri		seemed very pro-Clinton camp
2016-05-06	OUTBOX	Agreed.
00:42:11, Fri		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-06	OUTBOX	Not gonna be charged isn't anyone at the fbi, that's for sure
00:42:35, Fri		
2016-05-06	INBOX	I might disagree slightly
00:42:56, Fri		
2016-05-06	OUTBOX	Okay. Not anyone informed at the fbi.
00:44:39, Fri		The state of the s
2016-05-06	INBOX	
01:15:15, Fri		
2016-05-06	ОИТВОХ	
01:17:03, Fri		
2016-05-06	INBOX	
01:19:16, Fri		
2016-05-06	INBOX	
01:19:45, Fri		
2016-05-06	OUTBOX	is a wussy with a p.
02:26:14, Fri		
2016-05-06	INBOX	Ha re your first 1026
02:52:58, Fri		
2016-05-06	INBOX	Also, Andy's conference room is too big. Way too big.
03:14:49, Fri		\n\nLeave the monitor wall in place. Too expensive to change.
		Move where he was sitting 15-20 closer to the screens. Liberate
		the remaining space. \n\nIt's too big. \n\nAnd it may be \$\$, but it's
		ridiculously too big.
2016 05 06	OUTDOY	Name to the second Theoretic Inc.
2016-05-06	OUTBOX	No way to change the room. The table alone was (You can't
08:52:58, Fri		repeat that!) No, instead it just means we now have to get a small
		conference table for his actual office, so that he can actually have a
2016 05 06	INIDOV	meeting that is intimate.
2016-05-06	INBOX	Does go to all the wraps, or was he sitting in for Eric?
09:01:30, Fri	OUTDOY	Citations in fact Full many
2016-05-06	OUTBOX	Sitting in for Eric now.
09:06:19, Fri	INIDOV	Evic accountially dome?
2016-05-06	INBOX	Eric essentially done?
09:08:13, Fri	OLITROY	And yes to the room But
2016-05-06	OUTBOX	And yes, re the room. But an idiot.
09:08:18, Fri	1	

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-06	OUTBOX	Yes. Leaves next week.
09:08:29, Fri		
2016-05-06	INBOX	Ridiculous re the room. A wildly costly mistake.
09:09:01, Fri		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2016-05-06	INBOX	NO one with sense questioned it?
09:09:18, Fri		· ·
2016-05-06	OUTBOX	I have no idea. Claim was something big enough for the weekly sac
09:10:04, Fri		svtc. So for one 30 minute mtg a week.
2016-05-06	OUTBOX	Ooh, and my office is cleared out. Need to ask my IT friends to put
10:31:19, Fri		a computer in there for me
2016-05-06	OUTBOX	
10:35:38, Fri		There's a meeting at 1:30 I'd really like to attend.
2016-05-06	OUTBOX	*Might* go in on Saturday. Will have to see.
10:36:51, Fri		
2016-05-06	INBOX	I've gotta go in this weekend, if only for a couple of hours
10:37:54, Fri		
2016-05-06	INBOX	War gaming with Moffa. Much to run past you.
12:12:21, Fri		
2016-05-06	OUTBOX	Have a 10, but after that I'm free. Maybe we can grab a cup then
12:16:14, Fri		powwow in your ofc.
2016-05-06	INBOX	How long is your 10? have a 10 as well. Probably 45 min, def over
12:26:36, Fri		by 11.
2016-05-06	OUTBOX	Yeah, probably 45 for me too.
12:29:10, Fri		
2016-05-06	OUTBOX	Possibly. Just depends on what time I get in.
12:30:48, Fri		
2016-05-06	INBOX	Ok. I have a 9 anyway, would have to be fast at 9:40. No worries
13:01:12, Fri		either away.
2016-05-06	OUTBOX	Andy on the phone with Bill right now permanently kicking Jones
21:07:29, Fri		out of the meeting. You're welcome.
2016-05-06	INBOX	And buttressing the decision, remind me side comments from him.
21:09:16, Fri		
2016-05-06	INBOX	Did.you show the email?
21:09:31, Fri		
2016-05-06	OUTBOX	And you're about to be sent the D's press statement. Just to you
21:09:31, Fri		bill and moffa.
2016-05-06	OUTBOX	I did. He chuckled. And said you're the only person I'm the Bureau
21:10:39, Fri		who could successfully use jaunty.
2016-05-06	OUTBOX	Just had a better idea. I'll ask andy this weekend, and if it's okay
23:22:55, Fri		with him, I'll sent up the question for you. Will check this whole
		strategy with andy.
2016-05-06	INBOX	Not following
23:25:58, Fri		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-06	OUTBOX	I'll ask you, in front of the D, "Pete, I apologize for putting you on
23:33:37, Fri		the spot, but I know you shared with andy some of the comments
		you've been hearing from folks, I think it would be valuable for the
		D to know them."
2016-05-06	OUTBOX	Can't right now but will shortly.
23:41:14, Fri		
2016-05-06	INBOX	Np. Have some time on all this.
23:41:44, Fri		
2016-05-07	OUTBOX	And hey call the field office. HQ isn't going to be able to
01:17:14, Sat		draft a damn search warrant, the field is. Call an ausa on duty for
		chrissake.
2016-05-07	INBOX	No kiddingbut he never knew shit about the Bu anyway
01:24:49, Sat		
2016-05-07	INBOX	Talked to Bill just now
02:20:39, Sat		
2016-05-07	INBOX	Think I'm going to call Laufman this morning. Want details
10:39:32, Sat		specifically on WHO is making these calls. Then call
		about what they did in PC investigation land.
2016-05-07	OUTBOX	Good plan.
10:41:02, Sat		
2016-05-07	OUTBOX	Don't let that pompous boob push you around either.
10:46:34, Sat		
2016-05-07	INBOX	I won't - he hasn't really tried to do that. He's largely absent.
10:48:51, Sat		
2016-05-07	INBOX	Work call?
14:07:33, Sat		
2016-05-07	INBOX	(Me with you, now? (
14:07:43, Sat		
2016-05-07	INBOX	Just got done talking to Bill
14:07:52, Sat		
2016-05-08	INBOX	check out the wp articles I emailed.
13:43:35, Sun		
2016-05-08	OUTBOX	Read a Clinton one.
13:45:46, Sun		
2016-05-08	INBOX	When is Andy out next?
14:34:30, Sun		
2016-05-08	INBOX	Turn on nbc
23:04:31, Sun		
2016-05-08	OUTBOX	What was it?
23:21:28, Sun		
2016-05-08	INBOX	Guccifer. Sleazy Romanian
23:27:24, Sun		
2016-05-08	OUTBOX	They ALL are.
23:50:01, Sun		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-09	INBOX	Funny to watch and think of
00:02:52, Mon		No wonder he's a brusque as he isand those
		Romanians aren't even the gypsies
2016-05-09	OUTBOX	Seriously, I kind of hate them. (I'm sure fine). But they have
00:23:58, Mon		the crookedness of the Russians with the entitledness of the
		Italians. Yuck.
2016-05-09	INBOX	Ha. Never really dealt with them.
00:25:41, Mon		
2016-05-09	INBOX	Hey, work related, with Bill out, does Andy want Jones bringing
10:41:42, Mon		MYE daily bullets? Or email from us to you I don't see
		how we don't include him. And I think I def bootleg you in case he
		Fs it up
2016-05-09	OUTBOX	I'm sure he doesn't care, plus I can't imagine that Jones will sit in
10:42:52, Mon		wrap. Will probably just give it to Steinbach.
2016-05-09	INBOX	I guess. Another thing to add to the to do list
10:47:00, Mon		
2016-05-09	INBOX	
11:10:54, Mon		Don't have anything until my
		910 mye staff meeting.
2016-05-09	INBOX	Jones moved wrap to right before D brief, so 2:30 is open
14:32:20, Mon		
2016-05-09	OUTBOX	Walking to desk
22:01:27, Mon		
2016-05-09	INBOX	I'm at my desk, hiding behind the yellow "away" light
22:03:04, Mon		
2016-05-09	INBOX	Jon got an eras machine last week. Wondering if I want to do the
22:03:31, Mon		same. \U0001f615
2016-05-10	INBOX	When are you free tomorrow Ito talk with Jon about speech? 1?
00:09:03, Tue		
2016-05-10	OUTBOX	Not sure. Will let you know.
00:20:16, Tue		
2016-05-10	INBOX	And talked to . Banner evening. \n\nConcluded by saying I
01:13:54, Tue		cannot overstate to you the sense of urgency about wanting to
		logically and effectively conclude this investigation.
2016-05-10	OUTBOX	Meet with Jon at 2 tomorrow?
01:40:20, Tue		
2016-05-10	INBOX	We have Bowdich at 2:30. Can you do earlier? Or any time after
01:41:58, Tue		3:45. And/or come to Bowdich with us
2016-05-10	OUTBOX	Don't want to do that. Let me look.
01:44:36, Tue		
2016-05-10	OUTBOX	I could do 1:30-2:30. Do you guys need to prep for that at all?
		, 3 , 11111
2016-05-10	OUTBOX	Wait but you both have your 1:00.
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
01:45:23, Tue	OUTBOX	I could do 1:30-2:30. Do you guys need to prep for that at all?  Wait but you both have your 1:00.

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-10	OUTBOX	Could also do 330 to 430
01:49:37, Tue		
2016-05-10	INBOX	Prep? Please. :D\n\n1:30 works. We're skipping 1 and sending our
01:49:43, Tue		ASCs
2016-05-10	INBOX	Told you if the D is stressed he's got the goddamn Allstars behind
01:50:28, Tue		him.
2016-05-10	INBOX	tell you my impressions of the ADD
01:51:04, Tue		;)+H33 <del>4</del> 2
2016-05-10	OUTBOX	Ha. We'll see. 1:30 it is then.
01:54:45, Tue		
2016-05-10	OUTBOX	Tell jon?
01:54:50, Tue		
2016-05-10	INBOX	I did, see invite.
02:10:59, Tue		
2016-05-10	OUTBOX	And I never got an invite for today.
09:01:11, Tue		
2016-05-10	INBOX	Hi. Really? Let me go check.
09:17:36, Tue		
2016-05-10	INBOX	Get it just now?
09:20:45, Tue		
2016-05-10	OUTBOX	And yes, I did.
09:31:36, Tue		
2016-05-10	INBOX	Going to go do my 4B career board
13:30:37, Tue		
2016-05-10	OUTBOX	Are you still going to LV next week? You know and
15:35:18, Tue		Laufman are going, right?
2016-05-10	INBOX	No and yes. \U0001f621
16:22:13, Tue		
2016-05-10	INBOX	Because they're dedicated to this case. \U0001f612 How did that
16:23:04, Tue		come uo?
2016-05-10	OUTBOX	Overheard . Plan to tell Dd as grist for his call to Carlin to get
17:00:39, Tue		them to take it seriously.
2016-05-10	INBOX	I neither told nor invited Jones
18:30:00, Tue		
2016-05-10	OUTBOX	I know. #1: you need to find out how he knew. #2: I talked to Andy
18:41:49, Tue		about it. He's out. Permanently. He will discuss with Bill.
2016-05-10	INBOX	Convo with Jon went badly in that I'm not sure he
20:26:51, Tue		understood me a+H3379nd three people walked up etc et. \n\n\n
		Writing bullets for Jones to give to EAD for Andy. Unless you think
		there's a better routing for it.
2016-05-10	OUTBOX	I really don't know.
20:30:24, Tue		
2016-05-10	INBOX	I'm happy to send straight to you
20:30:51, Tue		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-10	OUTBOX	I wouldn't for now. Wait for andy to reset
20:31:36, Tue		
2016-05-10	INBOX	These will all generate Qs from Jones
20:33:01, Tue		
2016-05-10	OUTBOX	Let me ask andy. Hold please.
20:33:38, Tue		
2016-05-10	INBOX	Thx
20:34:02, Tue		
2016-05-10	OUTBOX	Direct to me per DD
20:35:38, Tue		
2016-05-10	INBOX	Done. On the way, call me with Qs. On high side, you want unet
20:36:52, Tue		instead?
2016-05-10	INBOX	Wp article out
21:18:31, Tue		
2016-05-11	INBOX	Just talked to Eric with Q from Andy/D (maybe D)
00:00:30, Wed		
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	Eric Smith?
00:09:18, Wed		
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	Can you tell me the q?
00:10:12, Wed		
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	Got it. Wonder where that is coming from?
00:11:05, Wed		
2016-05-11	INBOX	Andy or D
00:11:45, Wed		
2016-05-11	INBOX	I didn't respond with my immediate answer, "of course we did"
00:12:05, Wed		
2016-05-11	INBOX	I don't know where it's coming from. Assume Andy either read
00:12:41, Wed		update and/or discussed with D
2016-05-11	INBOX	Your mission, Agent Page, should you choose to accept it
00:13:41, Wed		
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	Obviously I'll find out what I can tomorrow
00:14:24, Wed		
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	Forgot to ask, did that issue come up at wrap? Because
00:49:01, Wed		Paarman (acting ead) asked andy for a status update, saying Bob
		had inquired, but I could have sworn Andy mentioned to Bill
2016-05-11	INBOX	A) not tracking - I don't attend any cd wraps (Jones does both
00:50:52, Wed		jobs). Not my section, I don't think? \n\n(and Paarman is acting
		ead?!?!)
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	Just covered wrap.
00:51:16, Wed		
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	Yeah, my q didn't make sense. Disregard.
00:51:55, Wed		
2016-05-11	INBOX	Sorry, a cia buddy called looking for me to put in a good word with
01:09:01, Wed		Jen

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-11	INBOX	Your q made sense, I've just missed Jones' meetings today.
01:11:28, Wed		
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	Yeah, no kidding. Except I have like a thousand page litigation
01:17:54, Wed		outline that put together that I need to review tonight.
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	And among the list of 1000 things I need to do is call 1500 or
01:18:51, Wed		whomever to figure how to work 324 mail
2016-05-11	INBOX	Is your 324mail password messed up? Or you just need a tutorial?
01:26:14, Wed		
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	I never set up an account. Think I need them to do something to
01:27:14, Wed		link my token to the account. But I don't really know how to do it.
2016-05-11	INBOX	Oh. They do. And I have no idea what that is. I think it's already
01:31:48, Wed		linked to your rsa, but you've got to set up a PIN.
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	I figure it's probably not the same as my eras pin. Maybe I should
01:32:27, Wed		just try tomorrow
2016-05-11	INBOX	It's not
01:32:52, Wed		
2016-05-11	INBOX	I've got to get am eras. \n\nProbably\n\nMaybe
01:33:06, Wed		
2016-05-11	INBOX	And reading a NYT article on State placing classified in
09:39:48, Wed		unclassified systems reminded me of my irritation about the brief
		yesterday.
2016-05-11	INBOX	Have to go write MYE bullets for Jones \U0001f612\n\nYour end of
18:10:03, Wed		day ones will be better
2016-05-11	INBOX	Hey def have Andy talk to John/George about urgency if he hasn't.
21:34:06, Wed		I spoke to David, can explain later, tried to communicate the same
		thing to him. Got a "we're waiting on next version of affidavit from
		you." I am certain there are other things that can be getting
		prepped.
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	Dd calling state now
21:41:43, Wed		
2016-05-11	INBOX	Ooh. Want to hear about it! You already talk to ?
21:42:41, Wed		
2016-05-11	INBOX	And crap I've got to go in 30 or so
21:43:21, Wed		
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	Cancelled to sit in on this.
21:44:06, Wed		
2016-05-11	INBOX	Nice. Call me, obviously. The MOA is done/final (State OGC is
21:46:31, Wed		good), just need Jones to sign it tomorrow morning.
2016-05-11	INBOX	No word re anything from
21:47:23, Wed		
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	Are the two cds identified in any other way?
21:48:14, Wed		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	I'm not going.
21:48:18, Wed		
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	So they haven't heard from her yet?
21:48:27, Wed		
2016-05-11	INBOX	Just by date of delivery
21:51:02, Wed		
2016-05-11	INBOX	With a cover LHM from me
21:51:38, Wed		
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	is calling us back tomorrow.
21:51:53, Wed		
2016-05-11	INBOX	Not clear if they have heard from her. David was unaware of any
21:52:14, Wed		contact as of 4:30
2016-05-11	INBOX	After he researches, or you weren't able to talk to him?
21:52:40, Wed		
2016-05-11	INBOX	I left an email but he is returning from twin cities.
21:53:00, Wed		
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	We spoke to him. He will have answers tomorrow.
21:53:03, Wed		
2016-05-11	INBOX	I hope to hear later tonight, def tomorrow at 11 mtg with them
21:53:20, Wed		
2016-05-11	INBOX	Nice. Send thanks to Andy
21:53:41, Wed		
2016-05-11	INBOX	And call me re Laufman
21:53:51, Wed		
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	Oh he's going to ask for update before then.
21:53:57, Wed		
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	I will when I can.
21:54:02, Wed		
2016-05-11	INBOX	That's fine. I will call now as well
21:54:39, Wed		
2016-05-11	INBOX	And re call, got it. Just atmospherics on different perspective.
21:55:01, Wed		
2016-05-11	INBOX	phone went straight to vm. Left message
21:55:30, Wed		
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	Dd wants email direct to him when/if you hear about
21:57:48, Wed		obviously.
2016-05-11	INBOX	Will do.
21:58:43, Wed		
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	Great.
21:59:57, Wed		
2016-05-11	INBOX	Hey just sent you short email, can you check for tone?
22:00:36, Wed		
2016-05-11	OUTBOX	He called usss today, will call Cia tomorrow. Have much to discuss
22:00:42, Wed		with you later. But will probably be much later.

22:00:45, Wed 2016-05-11 22:00:37, Wed 2016-05-11 22:07:07, Wed 2016-05-11 22:07:07, Wed 2016-05-11 22:07:07, Wed 2016-05-11 22:07:07, Wed 2016-05-11 22:07:08, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:28, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:28, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:28, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:31, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:31, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:31, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:31, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:32, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:35, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:35, Wed 2016-05-11 23:28:18, Wed 2016-05-11 23:28:18, Wed 2016-05-11 23:54:20, Wed 2016-05-11 2016-05-12 0UTBOX  I am. Going out with now to get food. 2016-05-12 20:30:36, Thu 2016-05-12 1:08:54, Thu 2016-05-12 1:08:54, Thu 2016-05-12 1:11:37, Thu 2016-05-12 1:11:37, Thu 2016-05-12 1:11:37, Thu 2016-05-12 1:11:37, Thu 2016-05-12 1:11:38, Thu 2016-05-12 1:11:39, Thu 2016-05-12 1:11:30, Thu 2016-05-12 2:11:30, Thu	Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-11   INBOX   Why USSS?   2016-05-11   INBOX   Ok.   Before or after is fine.   2016-05-11   OUTBOX   Gotta run   2016-05-11   INBOX   INBOX   Can answer Qs here if urgent or will be 8:30 (I) when done   2016-05-11   INBOX   INBOX   Can answer Qs here if urgent or will be 8:30 (I) when done   2016-05-11   INBOX   INBOX   Andy is PISSED. Can't believe (even though he can) that doj is just going along with it all. Tried calling John and George but no answer.   2016-05-11   INBOX   Instruction of the can't believe (even though he can) that doj is just going along with it all. Tried calling John and George but no answer.   2016-05-11   OUTBOX   Instruction of the can't believe (even though he can) that doj is just going along with it all. Tried calling John and George but no answer.   2016-05-11   OUTBOX   Instruction of the can't believe (even though he can) that doj is just going along with it all. Tried calling John and George but no answer.   2016-05-11   OUTBOX   Instruction of the can't believe (even though he can) that doj is just going along with it all. Tried calling John and George but no answer.   2016-05-12   OUTBOX   Instruction of the can't believe (even though he can) that doj is just going along with it all. Tried calling John and George but no answer.   2016-05-12   OUTBOX   Instruction of the can't believe (even though he can) that doj is just going along with it all. Tried calling John and George but no answer.   2016-05-12   OUTBOX   Instruction of the can't believe (even though he can) that doj is just going along with it all. Tried calling John and George but no answer.   2016-05-12   INBOX   Instruction of the can't believe (even though he can) that doj is just going along with it all. Tried alling John and George but no answer.   2016-05-12   INBOX   Instruction of the can't believe (even though he can) that doj is just going along with it all. Tried alling John and George but no answer.   2016-05-12   INBOX   Instruction of the can't believe (even though he along the can't that doj i	2016-05-11	OUTBOX	10-4
22:01:37, Wed 2016-05-11	22:00:45, Wed		
2016-05-11   NBOX   Ok.   after is fine.   Before or after is fine.   OUTBOX   OUTBO	2016-05-11	INBOX	Why USSS?
22:02:23, Wed 2016-05-11 22:07:07, Wed 2016-05-11 22:11:06, Wed 2016-05-11 23:11:06, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:28, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:31, Wed 2016-05-11 23:28:18, Wed 2016-05-11 23:28:18, Wed 2016-05-11 23:28:18, Wed 2016-05-12 20:30:36, Thu 2016-05-12 10 UTBOX 2016-05-12 20:30:36, Thu 2016-05-12	22:01:37, Wed		
2016-05-11 22:07:07, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:28, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:28, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:28, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:39, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:39, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:39, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:39, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:31, Wed 2016-05-11 23:28:18, Wed 2016-05-11 23:28:18, Wed 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-1	2016-05-11	INBOX	Ok. Before or
22:07:07, Wed 2016-05-11 INBOX	22:02:23, Wed		after is fine.
NBOX   Can answer Qs here if urgent or will be 8:30 (!) when done	2016-05-11	OUTBOX	Gotta run
22:11:06, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:28, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:31, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:31, Wed 2016-05-11 20:28:18, Wed 2016-05-11 20:28:18, Wed 2016-05-11 20:28:18, Wed 2016-05-12 20:28:18, Wed 2016-05-12 20:28:30:36, Thu 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-	22:07:07, Wed		
2016-05-11 23:27:28, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:31, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:31, Wed 2016-05-11 23:28:18, Wed 2016-05-11 23:28:18, Wed 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-	2016-05-11	INBOX	K. Me too. Will be on cell
23:27:28, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:31, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:55, Wed 2016-05-11 23:27:55, Wed 2016-05-11 23:28:18, Wed 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 200-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 200-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2006-05-12 2018 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-12 2016-05-	22:11:06, Wed		
Andy is PISSED. Can't believe (even though he can) that doj is just going along with it all. Tried calling John and George but no answer.  2016-05-11 INBOX Is refusing  3:27:55, Wed 2016-05-11 OUTBOX Is the only real prosecutor.  3:28:18, Wed 2016-05-12 OUTBOX Is Totally off topic, but I'm kind of bummed: Randy offered to take Andy to the offsite using a Blackhawk on Monday. It's easier for him to have drive, be it's relatively closer from here. Wah wah.  2016-05-12 INBOX And I'll start percolating up next week. Figure a week or two to discuss, then calendar. Though honestly I wonder if it's stupid to even consider before the 15th  2016-05-12 INBOX Two days is doable, though, assuming it's not the week he wants to make the speech  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Re yeah, but it might end up being him and I and a hunch of others too, and I don't really want that. That's what happened last time.  2016-05-12 INBOX Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  2016-05-12 INBOX Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  2016-05-12 INBOX Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  2016-05-12 INBOX Intended to the case and head to stop (for Jones \u0000016012) at 10	2016-05-11	INBOX	Can answer Qs here if urgent or will be 8:30 (!) when done
23:27:31, Wed  2016-05-11 2016-05-11 23:27:55, Wed 2016-05-11 23:28:18, Wed 2016-05-11 23:28:18, Wed 2016-05-12 20:20:30:36, Thu 2016-05-12 20:20:30:36, Thu 2016-05-12 20:20:30:36, Thu 2016-05-12 20:30:36, Thu 20:30:30:36, Thu 20:30:30:36, Thu 20:30:30:36, Thu 20:30:30:36, Thu 20:30:30:36, Thu 20:30:30:36, Thu 20:30:30:30:36, Thu 20:30:30:30:36, Thu 20:30:30:30:36, Thu 20:30:30:30:30:30 20:30:30:30:30 20:30:30:30:30 20:30:30:30:30 20:30:30:30:30 20:30:30:30:30 20:30:30:30:30 20:30:30:30:30 20:30:30:30 20:30:30:30:30 20:30:30:30 20:30:30:30 20:30:30:30 20:30:30:30 20:30:30:30 20:30:30:30 20:30:30:30 20:30:30:30 20:30:30:30 20:30:30:30 20:30:30:30 20:30:30:30 20:30:30:30 20:30:30:30 20:30:30:30 20:30:30:30 20:30:30:30 20:30:30:30 20:30:30:30 20:30:30:30 20:30:30:30 20:	23:27:28, Wed		
answer.  2016-05-11 INBOX is refusing  2016-05-11 OUTBOX  2016-05-11 OUTBOX  2016-05-11 OUTBOX  2016-05-12 OUTBOX  2016-05-12 INBOX  2016-	2016-05-11	OUTBOX	Andy is PISSED. Can't believe (even though he can) that doj is just
answer.  2016-05-11 INBOX is refusing  2016-05-11 OUTBOX  2016-05-11 OUTBOX  2016-05-11 OUTBOX  2016-05-12 OUTBOX  2016-05-12 INBOX  2016-	23:27:31, Wed		going along with it all. Tried calling John and George but no
23:27:55, Wed 2016-05-11 23:28:18, Wed 2016-05-12 OUTBOX  BTW fwiw, still in the ofc with me.  OUTBOX  OUTBOX  Totally off topic, but I'm kind of bummed: Randy offered to take Andy to the offsite using a Blackhawk on Monday. It's easier for him to have drive, bc it's relatively closer from here. Wah wah.  O16-05-12 INBOX  And I'll start percolating up next week. Figure a week or two to discuss, then calendar. Though honestly I wonder if it's stupid to even consider before the 15th  OUTBOX  Two days is doable, though, assuming it's not the week he wants to make the speech  OUTBOX  OUTBOX  Veah, it probably is. And there's a strong risk andy will say you're too important to the case and need to stay here.  OUTBOX  Re Market Stoo, and I don't really want that. That's what happened last time.  OUTBOSA  INBOX  I think I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.	,		
23:27:55, Wed 2016-05-11 23:28:18, Wed 2016-05-12 OUTBOX  BTW fwiw, still in the ofc with me.  OUTBOX  OUTBOX  Totally off topic, but I'm kind of bummed: Randy offered to take Andy to the offsite using a Blackhawk on Monday. It's easier for him to have drive, bc it's relatively closer from here. Wah wah.  O16-05-12 INBOX  And I'll start percolating up next week. Figure a week or two to discuss, then calendar. Though honestly I wonder if it's stupid to even consider before the 15th  OUTBOX  Two days is doable, though, assuming it's not the week he wants to make the speech  OUTBOX  OUTBOX  Veah, it probably is. And there's a strong risk andy will say you're too important to the case and need to stay here.  OUTBOX  Re Market Stoo, and I don't really want that. That's what happened last time.  OUTBOSA  INBOX  I think I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.	2016-05-11	INBOX	is refusing
is the only real prosecutor.  3:28:18, Wed 2016-05-11 23:28:20, Wed 2016-05-12 0UTBOX  BTW fwiw, still in the ofc with me.  OUTBOS  1 am. Going out with now to get food.  BTW fwiw, still in the ofc with me.  OUTBOS  1 Totally off topic, but I'm kind of bummed: Randy offered to take Andy to the offsite using a Blackhawk on Monday. It's easier for him to have drive, bc it's relatively closer from here. Wah wah.  INBOS  And I'll start percolating up next week. Figure a week or two to discuss, then calendar. Though honestly I wonder if it's stupid to even consider before the 15th  Two days is doable, though, assuming it's not the week he wants to make the speech  OUTBOS  Yeah, it probably is. And there's a strong risk andy will say you're too important to the case and need to stay here.  OUTBOS  Re yeah, but it might end up being him and I and a hunch of others too, and I don't really want that. That's what happened last time.  INBOS  I think I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.	23:27:55, Wed		
2016-05-12 OUTBOX DIBOX DIBOX BTW fwiw, still in the ofc with me.  202:30:36, Thu 2016-05-12 OUTBOX DIBOX DI	2016-05-11	OUTBOX	is the only real prosecutor.
2016-05-12 OUTBOX DIBOX DIBOX BTW fwiw, still in the ofc with me.  202:30:36, Thu 2016-05-12 OUTBOX DIBOX DI	23:28:18, Wed		, .
23:54:20, Wed 2016-05-12 OUTBOX  BTW fwiw, still in the ofc with me.  2016-05-12 OUTBOX  Totally off topic, but I'm kind of bummed: Randy offered to take Andy to the offsite using a Blackhawk on Monday. It's easier for him to have drive, bc it's relatively closer from here. Wah wah.  2016-05-12 INBOX  And I'll start percolating up next week. Figure a week or two to discuss, then calendar. Though honestly I wonder if it's stupid to even consider before the 15th  2016-05-12 INBOX  Two days is doable, though, assuming it's not the week he wants to make the speech  2016-05-12 OUTBOX  Yeah, it probably is. And there's a strong risk andy will say you're too important to the case and need to stay here.  2016-05-12 OUTBOX  Re , yeah, but it might end up being him and I and a hunch of others too, and I don't really want that. That's what happened last time.  2016-05-12 INBOX  I think I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  2016-05-12 INBOX  Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  2016-05-12 INBOX  Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.	2016-05-11	OUTBOX	I am. Going out with now to get food.
2016-05-12 02:30:36, Thu 2016-05-12 11:08:54, Thu  DUTBOX  Totally off topic, but I'm kind of bummed: Randy offered to take Andy to the offsite using a Blackhawk on Monday. It's easier for him to have drive, be it's relatively closer from here. Wah wah.  2016-05-12 11:11:37, Thu  DUTBOX  And I'll start percolating pup next week. Figure a week or two to discuss, then calendar. Though honestly I wonder if it's stupid to even consider before the 15th Two days is doable, though, assuming it's not the week he wants to make the speech  OUTBOX  Yeah, it probably is. And there's a strong risk andy will say you're too important to the case and need to stay here.  OUTBOX  Re public yeah, but it might end up being him and I and a hunch of others too, and I don't really want that. That's what happened last time.  Ithink I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.  INBOX  OK. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \\000010001612) at 10	23:54:20. Wed		
02:30:36, Thu 2016-05-12 11:08:54, Thu  DUTBOX  Totally off topic, but I'm kind of bummed: Randy offered to take Andy to the offsite using a Blackhawk on Monday. It's easier for him to have drive, bc it's relatively closer from here. Wah wah.  Dutbox  And I'll start percolating up next week. Figure a week or two to discuss, then calendar. Though honestly I wonder if it's stupid to even consider before the 15th  Two days is doable, though, assuming it's not the week he wants to make the speech  OUTBOX  Veah, it probably is. And there's a strong risk andy will say you're too important to the case and need to stay here.  Re veah, yeah, but it might end up being him and I and a hunch of others too, and I don't really want that. That's what happened last time.  OUTBOX  I think I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.  INBOX  OK. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \U000016612) at 10	·	OUTBOX	BTW fwiw, still in the ofc with me.
Totally off topic, but I'm kind of bummed: Randy offered to take Andy to the offsite using a Blackhawk on Monday. It's easier for him to have drive, bc it's relatively closer from here. Wah wah.  2016-05-12 INBOX And I'll start percolating up next week. Figure a week or two to discuss, then calendar. Though honestly I wonder if it's stupid to even consider before the 15th  Two days is doable, though, assuming it's not the week he wants to make the speech  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Yeah, it probably is. And there's a strong risk andy will say you're too important to the case and need to stay here.  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Re , yeah, but it might end up being him and I and a hunch of others too, and I don't really want that. That's what happened last time.  2016-05-12 INBOX I think I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  Totally off topic, but I'm kind of bummed: Randy offered to take Andy to the offsite using a Blackhawk on Monday. It's easier for him to have drive, bc it's relatively closer from here. Wah wah.  And I'll start percolating up next week. Figure a week or two to discuss, then calendar. Though honestly I wonder if it's stupid to even consider before the 15th  Two days is doable, though, assuming it's not the week he wants to make the speech  Yeah, it probably is. And there's a strong risk andy will say you're too important to the case and need to stay here.  Re drive, bc it's relatively closer from here. Wah wah.  I think I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.  13:09:40, Thu  2016-05-12 INBOX OK. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \U0001f612) at 10			, ————————————————————————————————————
Andy to the offsite using a Blackhawk on Monday. It's easier for him to have drive, bc it's relatively closer from here. Wah wah.  2016-05-12 INBOX And I'll start percolating up next week. Figure a week or two to discuss, then calendar. Though honestly I wonder if it's stupid to even consider before the 15th  2016-05-12 INBOX Two days is doable, though, assuming it's not the week he wants to make the speech  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Yeah, it probably is. And there's a strong risk andy will say you're too important to the case and need to stay here.  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Re yeah, but it might end up being him and I and a hunch of others too, and I don't really want that. That's what happened last time.  2016-05-12 INBOX I think I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  2016-05-12 INBOX Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.  3:09:40, Thu  2016-05-12 INBOX Ok. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \\00000100016612) at 10	2016-05-12	OUTBOX	Totally off topic, but I'm kind of bummed: Randy offered to take
him to have drive, bc it's relatively closer from here. Wah wah.  2016-05-12 INBOX And I'll start percolating up next week. Figure a week or two to discuss, then calendar. Though honestly I wonder if it's stupid to even consider before the 15th  2016-05-12 INBOX Two days is doable, though, assuming it's not the week he wants to make the speech  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Yeah, it probably is. And there's a strong risk andy will say you're too important to the case and need to stay here.  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Re yeah, but it might end up being him and I and a hunch of others too, and I don't really want that. That's what happened last time.  2016-05-12 INBOX I think I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  2016-05-12 INBOX Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.  13:09:40, Thu  2016-05-12 INBOX Ok. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \\0000100011612) at 10	11:08:54. Thu		
2016-05-12 INBOX And I'll start percolating up next week. Figure a week or two to discuss, then calendar. Though honestly I wonder if it's stupid to even consider before the 15th  2016-05-12 INBOX Two days is doable, though, assuming it's not the week he wants to make the speech  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Yeah, it probably is. And there's a strong risk andy will say you're too important to the case and need to stay here.  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Re yeah, but it might end up being him and I and a hunch of others too, and I don't really want that. That's what happened last time.  2016-05-12 INBOX I think I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  2016-05-12 INBOX Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.  13:09:40, Thu  2016-05-12 INBOX Ok. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \\0000100010f612) at 10	, , ,		
11:11:37, Thu  to discuss, then calendar. Though honestly I wonder if it's stupid to even consider before the 15th  2016-05-12 INBOX Two days is doable, though, assuming it's not the week he wants to make the speech  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Yeah, it probably is. And there's a strong risk andy will say you're too important to the case and need to stay here.  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Re , yeah, but it might end up being him and I and a hunch of others too, and I don't really want that. That's what happened last time.  2016-05-12 INBOX I think I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  2016-05-12 INBOX Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.  13:09:40, Thu  2016-05-12 INBOX Ok. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \U0001f612) at 10			,,
11:11:37, Thu  to discuss, then calendar. Though honestly I wonder if it's stupid to even consider before the 15th  2016-05-12 INBOX Two days is doable, though, assuming it's not the week he wants to make the speech  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Yeah, it probably is. And there's a strong risk andy will say you're too important to the case and need to stay here.  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Re , yeah, but it might end up being him and I and a hunch of others too, and I don't really want that. That's what happened last time.  2016-05-12 INBOX I think I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  2016-05-12 INBOX Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.  13:09:40, Thu  2016-05-12 INBOX Ok. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \\U00016012) at 10	2016-05-12	INBOX	And I'll start percolating up next week. Figure a week or two
even consider before the 15th  2016-05-12 INBOX Two days is doable, though, assuming it's not the week he wants to make the speech  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Yeah, it probably is. And there's a strong risk andy will say you're too important to the case and need to stay here.  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Re , yeah, but it might end up being him and I and a hunch of others too, and I don't really want that. That's what happened last time.  2016-05-12 INBOX I think I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  2016-05-12 INBOX Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.  13:09:40, Thu  2016-05-12 INBOX Ok. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \U0001f612) at 10	11:11:37, Thu		
Two days is doable, though, assuming it's not the week he wants to make the speech  OUTBOX  Yeah, it probably is. And there's a strong risk andy will say you're too important to the case and need to stay here.  OUTBOX  Re , yeah, but it might end up being him and I and a hunch of others too, and I don't really want that. That's what happened last time.  INBOX  I think I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  INBOX  Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  OUTBOX  Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.  OK. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \U00016001612) at 10	,		
2016-05-12 OUTBOX Yeah, it probably is. And there's a strong risk andy will say you're too important to the case and need to stay here.  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Re , yeah, but it might end up being him and I and a hunch of others too, and I don't really want that. That's what happened last time.  2016-05-12 INBOX I think I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  2016-05-12 INBOX Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.  13:09:40, Thu  Ok. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \U0001f612) at 10	2016-05-12	INBOX	
2016-05-12 OUTBOX  Yeah, it probably is. And there's a strong risk andy will say you're too important to the case and need to stay here.  OUTBOX  Re , yeah, but it might end up being him and I and a hunch of others too, and I don't really want that. That's what happened last time.  INBOX  I think I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  INBOX  Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  OUTBOX  Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.  INBOX  Ok. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \U0001f612) at 10	11:12:08, Thu		make the speech
OUTBOX Re , yeah, but it might end up being him and I and a hunch of others too, and I don't really want that. That's what happened last time.  INBOX I think I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  INBOX Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  OUTBOX Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.  INBOX Ok. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \U00016012) at 10	2016-05-12	OUTBOX	Yeah, it probably is. And there's a strong risk andy will say you're
OUTBOX Re , yeah, but it might end up being him and I and a hunch of others too, and I don't really want that. That's what happened last time.  INBOX I think I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  INBOX Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  OUTBOX OUTBOX Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.  OUTBOX OK. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \U00016612) at 10	11:14:20, Thu		too important to the case and need to stay here.
time.  2016-05-12 INBOX I think I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  2016-05-12 INBOX Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.  13:09:40, Thu  2016-05-12 INBOX Ok. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \U0001f612) at 10	2016-05-12	OUTBOX	Re , yeah, but it might end up being him and I and a hunch of
time.  2016-05-12 INBOX I think I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  2016-05-12 INBOX Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.  13:09:40, Thu  2016-05-12 INBOX Ok. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \U0001f612) at 10	11:18:04, Thu		
INBOX I think I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  INBOX I think I need to excuse my team and talk to doj, again, his morning at 11 about timeliness  INBOX	ĺ		
at 11 about timeliness  2016-05-12 INBOX Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.  13:09:40, Thu  2016-05-12 INBOX Ok. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \U0001f612) at 10	2016-05-12	INBOX	
2016-05-12 INBOX Talking with Jon about DoJ. Would like you here - how far out are you?  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.  13:09:40, Thu  2016-05-12 INBOX Ok. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \U0001f612) at 10			,
13:06:57, Thu you?  2016-05-12 OUTBOX Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.  13:09:40, Thu  2016-05-12 INBOX Ok. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \U0001f612) at 10	2016-05-12	INBOX	
2016-05-12         OUTBOX         Probably be in the ofc by 9:30 or so.           13:09:40, Thu         2016-05-12         INBOX         Ok. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \U0001f612) at 10			,
13:09:40, Thu 2016-05-12 INBOX Ok. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \U0001f612) at 10	2016-05-12	OUTBOX	<u>'</u>
2016-05-12 INBOX Ok. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \U0001f612) at 10			
	2016-05-12	INBOX	Ok. Let me know. I've got a hard stop (for Jones \U0001f612) at 10
13.10.73, 1110	13:10:45, Thu		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-12	OUTBOX	Want me to come straight there?
13:28:09, Thu		
2016-05-12	OUTBOX	Hey need to go meet with NCOS on the uco stuff,
16:07:24, Thu		
2016-05-12	INBOX	Updates for you
16:10:02, Thu		
2016-05-12	INBOX	Will be in my office (just above you, I think) - sending a UC to 1:00
16:15:35, Thu		so I have a bit more flexibility
2016-05-12	INBOX	Hi. coming through
20:57:57, Thu		
2016-05-12	OUTBOX	With what?
21:03:50, Thu		
2016-05-12	INBOX	Sitting in CES with them overnight writing the PSEU form so it's
21:05:48, Thu		ready tomorrow morning for Dana and George to sign. Dana is
,		ready.
2016-05-12	OUTBOX	Good. F-ing finally.
21:08:34, Thu		
2016-05-12	OUTBOX	Holy cow are you still talking?!
22:28:44, Thu		
2016-05-12	INBOX	Just finished. Will call. On the way out Eric asked (he suggested no)
22:46:24, Thu		if we needed the meeting tomorrow afternoon
2016-05-12	OUTBOX	Did you ask Andy if he still wanted to meet tomorrow?
22:48:06, Thu		,
2016-05-12	INBOX	I did not. I had left when Eric caught me. I told Eric I deferred to
22:49:41, Thu		Andy about whether or not we needed to meet. We did not talk
<b>,</b>		about what we planned to tell the D on Mon.
2016-05-12	INBOX	Spoke to and relayed Andy's message. Told him Andy was
23:39:39, Thu		relaying to Carlin. Richard said he'd wait to hear from on high.
		Carlin and George are definitely going to have to message down
2016-05-12	OUTBOX	Can I send that to Andy.
23:50:01, Thu		
2016-05-12	INBOX	Think I need an eras
23:53:23, Thu		
2016-05-12	INBOX	And just got a mtg cancel for mtg tomorrow afternoon with Andy
23:54:25, Thu		
2016-05-12	INBOX	Remind me
23:59:27, Thu		
2016-05-13	OUTBOX	Yup. And Eric cancelling cve mtg too.
00:00:36, Fri		
2016-05-13	OUTBOX	Tried tonight but didn't reach him. Will try in am.
00:01:11, Fri		,
2016-05-13	OUTBOX	Hey forgot to ask if you mentioned the whole special counsel thing
00:24:35, Fri		to andy?

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-13	INBOX	Sleeper was in there when I arrived
00:37:41, Fri		
2016-05-13	OUTBOX	Yup. I'm pissed. Especially bc he knows Eric and are tight.
00:38:12, Fri		
2016-05-13	INBOX	Soft power.
00:39:29, Fri		
2016-05-13	OUTBOX	Yeah, I know. I already called Jim and sent an email to trisha.
00:39:59, Fri		\U0001f612
2016-05-13	INBOX	See?!? Perfect
00:40:35, Fri		
2016-05-13	INBOX	I walked through my convo with DoJ. Got more info from
00:41:11, Fri		about Laufman. It's a problem. Told Andy that, too.
2016-05-13	OUTBOX	What info?
00:41:31, Fri		
2016-05-13	INBOX	Who was your poc to get an eras? Or did you just get JG's?
10:41:31, Fri		
2016-05-13	OUTBOX	I did just get I wonder what they asked him when he went to
10:42:36, Fri		turn in his property. Just ask jon, no?
2016-05-13	INBOX	Yeah, that's what I'm going to do.
10:43:13, Fri		
2016-05-13	INBOX	Talked to last night, btw. He spoke to . Shockingly, she
10:44:12, Fri		did not sound surprised about the subpoenas, said she would
		accept service. \U0001f612
2016-05-13	INBOX	Still saying no about the laptops, but focused on Mills'. He said
10:46:03, Fri		they were moving forward on that front as well. Helpfully,
		obliquely told/reminded her pet her prior conments that if she was
		going to call anyone, to do that soon. \U0001f612 Because we're
		just THAT bad.
2016-05-13	INBOX	Of, not pet
10:46:06, Fri		
2016-05-13	OUTBOX	
10:52:59, Fri		
2016-05-13	INBOX	Your calendar isn't SO bad todayJones has his meeting
12:38:25, Fri		scheduled over Eric's farewell. Are you going to that?
2016-05-13	OUTBOX	I am going, yes.
12:38:42, Fri		
2016-05-13	INBOX	Let me see what Jones is thinking. Suppose I cam show up late.
12:42:36, Fri		There will be more than 30 minutes of speechifying, I'm sure
2016-05-13	OUTBOX	I'm sure.
12:42:52, Fri		
2016-05-13	INBOX	Talking in my office with
14:36:20, Fri		
2016-05-13	OUTBOX	Just finished. Need to catch andy.
14:57:44, Fri		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-15	OUTBOX	I'm thinking about not going with Andy tomorrow, going into to
18:30:50, Sun		work but only working on uco policy and house stuff. I'm very torn.
		\U0001f612
2016-05-15	INBOX	You should definitely go before Andy
18:32:07, Sun		leaves. You'll have another chance, but the irons hot now. Flip side,
		I really want you (and him, but at least one of you) at D brief.
2016-05-15	INBOX	Work-wise, probably smarter to go in, I guess.
18:32:43, Sun		
2016-05-15	INBOX	Take the helicopter next time. ;)
18:32:52, Sun		
2016-05-15	OUTBOX	Yes. just texted. Dd flying with the DAG on the bird. So he'll be
20:21:14, Sun		back for mye. So if there are extra seats, I'll join, if not, I'll come in.
2016-05-15	INBOX	That's totally cool.
20:24:05, Sun		Where do you leave from, Reagan?
2016-05-15	INBOX	Troop configuration sits 11 (if you're taking the Blackhawk) but no
20:26:09, Sun		idea how configured. 8, no problem. Take out seats and you can
		cram about 20 all soothed together (but that's SUPER tight). Is
		going?
2016-05-15	INBOX	Scootched not soothed. It is NOT soothed.
20:26:45, Sun		
2016-05-15	INBOX	First time in a blackhawk? Helicopter?
20:27:28, Sun		
2016-05-15	OUTBOX	Never been in a blackhawk, or any helicopter at all
20:33:11, Sun		
2016-05-15	INBOX	Well, I really hope you can go. There's got to be enough room -
20:40:03, Sun		even if the DAG takes +2, +2 detail guys, and Andy, that's only 6.
, , , , , ,		When will you know?
2016-05-15	OUTBOX	Tonight? Not sure
20:58:05, Sun		
2016-05-15	INBOX	Saw your email. Waiting to do something until I get it "officially" -
20:59:49, Sun		or can I use your email for that? We're going to have to go to NSD.
,		I think Bill calling George is the right place to start, then I can
		follow up with
2016-05-15	OUTBOX	Wait. Bill said cd will take the lead.
21:03:33, Sun		
2016-05-15	INBOX	Sigh. I suppose he'll relay that eventually. \U0001f612
21:07:38, Sun		
2016-05-15	OUTBOX	He will.
21:10:17, Sun		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-15	INBOX	And I'm going to ping Jim R tomorrow (or whenever I get it) to say
21:22:31, Sun		hey, per D's request, we've put quite a bit of thought into it,
		including coming up with this, Andy noted you were collecting
		comments, how would you like them.
2016-05-15	INBOX	Well, he hasn't. I'm just going to email him and see if he wants an
21:38:52, Sun		update from last week either tonight or tomorrow morning before
		he goes upstairs.
2016-05-15	OUTBOX	I'm over my email limit so can't send right now. It's utterly freezing
22:46:18, Sun		outside. Sucks.
2016-05-15	OUTBOX	DAG detail saying only room for Andy. is checking with her
22:46:44, Sun		staff.
2016-05-15	INBOX	Oof. That sucks. And bullsh*t, unless it's a smaller helicopter. Is it
22:55:06, Sun		DOJ's or ours? If you cant go, I'll take you to lunch and make
		helicopter noises. ;)\n\nI just did a mass cull down on 324mail.
		Talking to Bill at 7:45. Did some open source
		research, no way we get that data on our own.
2016-05-15	OUTBOX	I know. It has to be nsd.
22:56:05, Sun		
2016-05-15	INBOX	I need some clarifying data - just retention, right? While it might be
23:00:39, Sun		helpful to put in Petraeus, Hitselberger, that's only to show they
·		are different, ie, involve transmittal to another person. He just
		wants fact patterns where it was only retention, not disclosure,
		right? And taking graviman literally, list will not include more
		serious cases/disclosures which are more than retention.
2016-05-15	OUTBOX	Yes, that's what I would say.
23:59:40, Sun		
2016-05-16	INBOX	Just finished with Bill.
00:49:01, Mon		
2016-05-16	INBOX	Talked to
01:06:08, Mon		Bill for about an hour. He mentioned email, said he would send
		(though he hasn't yet). He had a slightly different take than I did
		but we'll get there. His primary concern is asking DoJ in such a way
		that they respond straight to the D (which I can see happening)
		without us getting a chance to review for accuracy / spin.
		The second and the second seco
2016-05-16	OUTBOX	Insist it go through the DD. Then I'll give it to you.
01:07:10, Mon		,
2016-05-16	INBOX	Well I think we start by trying to insist it go thru CD. We'll see. I just
01:08:56, Mon		envision them being whiny about it unless they have something
<u> </u>		already written.
2016-05-16	OUTBOX	They won't. I guarantee it.
01:09:21, Mon		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-16	INBOX	Hope springs eternal. I suppose I could ask
01:10:10, Mon		shark Oraca a sakkara ara ara
2016-05-16	OUTBOX	And yes, obviously I agree about it going thru cd first. Was just
01:10:33, Mon		saying if they balk, there's no way rybicki wouldn't insist on it
		coming to us first.
2016-05-16	INBOX	Is that something doj would ordinarily keep good records of? Or
01:11:00, Mon		will this be a lift?
2016-05-16	OUTBOX	If there are so few that someone like would literally be able to
01:11:09, Mon		recall them then you have much bigger problems.
2016-05-16	OUTBOX	The state of the s
01:11:42, Mon		
2016-05-16	OUTBOX	Description might be hard. But I guess we'll see.
01:12:06, Mon		
2016-05-16	INBOX	The fbi can come up with description
01:12:48, Mon		
2016-05-16	INBOX	20 years is a long time
01:13:08, Mon		
2016-05-16	OUTBOX	I'd like to make a suggestion though. I DO NOT think you should
01:13:17, Mon		ask . I think Bill should ask George. is just going to
		bitch and moan. George sees the d three times a week. He is not
		going to say no.
2016-05-16	OUTBOX	They won't be able to do that. No way. But let THEM come back to
01:14:00, Mon		rybicki about what they can or can't do.
2016-05-16	INBOX	Fine but then George tasks them and they ask mewhen am I
01:14:38, Mon		supposed to mention it? Seeing as how I own this program and all.
2016-05-16	OUTBOX	Pete. I'm trying to save you some crap from them. Don't get your
01:16:04, Mon		back up about it. When they ask you, you talk about it. What's the
		big deal? All they are going to do when you tell them is wait to
		hear from on high. So all I'm saying is start there.
2016-05-16	INBOX	Look, I totally get what you're saying and agree with you. Just
01:16:27, Mon		trying to figure out how best to own my program
2016-05-16	INBOX	Ha. \U0001f60a See, my back's not up. Just trying to find the best
01:17:16, Mon		way to play this.
2016-05-16	OUTBOX	With this one, coming from the d, just let it trickle down from
01:17:19, Mon		higher. Hell, I wouldn't be surprised if George hears it from andy in
		the morning.
2016-05-16	INBOX	Sounds smart
01:20:02, Mon		
2016-05-16	OUTBOX	Haven't heard from Looks like I'm coming to work tomorrow.
01:23:51, Mon		\U0001f612

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-16	INBOX	Also, remind me story Bill had about
01:25:24, Mon		Bob Anderson. \n\nThat really sucks. I'm sorry. You'll have more
		chances, for sure.
2016-05-16	OUTBOX	(Though in think I'm leaving "coop site" on
01:36:26, Mon		my wipe board and just working out of ofc tomorrow.
2016-05-16	INBOX	is out. You can also work out of PS's office tomorrow.
01:37:48, Mon		
2016-05-16	OUTBOX	your phone rings off the hook. I need some
01:41:42, Mon		uninterrupted work and Internet research time.
2016-05-16	INBOX	I'll unplug my phone\U0001f636
01:43:55, Mon		
2016-05-16	INBOX	Is out tomorrow?
01:44:12, Mon		
2016-05-16	OUTBOX	Yes. Though I presume will be there.
01:44:36, Mon		
2016-05-16	INBOX	Your call. You're welcome to it.
01:45:16, Mon		
2016-05-16	OUTBOX	
01:54:01, Mon		
2016-05-16	INBOX	And I'm regretting letting ALL of my UCs go to LV. Trusted to
02:15:08, Mon		check that but my mistake for not double checking.
2016-05-16	INBOX	And finally almost to HQ. I'll double check.my calendar (an OCA
12:19:55, Mon		mtg this morning i think got moved) but truly I think my office will
		be open all day if you want to use it.
2016-05-16	INBOX	Done with 830will call from desk if you'll be in car for 5
12:45:19, Mon		
2016-05-16	OUTBOX	Pulling in in 4
13:00:41, Mon		
2016-05-16	INBOX	Hey done with staff mtg.
16:19:43, Mon		
2016-05-16	INBOX	Calling to get info. Just got vm. You in wrap?
21:22:22, Mon		
2016-05-16	INBOX	Thought it went well.
21:24:03, Mon		
2016-05-16	OUTBOX	Just left.
21:24:27, Mon		
2016-05-16	OUTBOX	Be down in a minute.
21:24:40, Mon		

Date UTC	Type of Message	Body
2016-05-17	INBOX	
00:50:47, Tue		
		otta talk to shortly. Haven't heard from Mike.
		Curious to see what Andy and George's convo is about same topic.
2016-05-17	INBOX	Don't read email then. It's response citing
01:27:44, Tue		USAM 9-19.220
2016-05-17	OUTBOX	I'm not following his email at all. Whatever, will just deal with it
02:01:23, Tue		tomorrow.
2016-05-17	INBOX	I think your initial cite may have misdirected to 9-19.221 rather
02:03:24, Tue		than 9-19.220
2016-05-17	INBOX	Nevermind, I'm wrong. We're interested in documentary materials,
02:06:22 <i>,</i> Tue		not privileged ones. \n\nAnd I'M not even an atty
2016-05-17	INBOX	
10:17:24, Tue		I need DoJ and NSLB to get me an answer, because I'm
		briefing everyone there are different options available. And the 28
		CFR guidance seems problematic from a warrant perspective.
2016-05-17	OUTBOX	I don't think it is. Plus, it's just policy.
10:25:03, Tue		
2016-05-17	INBOX	28 CFR is not just policy. But I think there's a path through it as you
10:27:26, Tue		noted
2016-05-17	OUTBOX	Yes, this is true. But let's be honest, it's not like they've pointed to
10:35:58, Tue		the cfr as the reason. So let them come up with something.
,		
2016-05-17	INBOX	They haven't pointed to it because they haven't done the
10:43:03, Tue		research!!! But when they finally do, presumably before a meeting,
		they will, and we need to have a response lined up.\n\nAnd hi. I
		hate this case.
2016-05-17	OUTBOX	I also hate this case. And it's not even mine.
10:43:47, Tue		
2016-05-17	OUTBOX	I have all of the stress and will get none of the acknowledgement
10:44:39, Tue		for being on the team. But whatever.
2016-05-17	INBOX	Ok that last statement isn't fair.
10:47:08, Tue		
2016-05-17	INBOX	You are on the team, you do a tremendous amount of work on it.
10:48:05, Tue		
2016-05-17	INBOX	When Andy called last week to discuss, he called you and me.
10:49:17, Tue		